

## CCAP Regional Application Ranking Parameters

Parameter	Range	Points
<a href="#">Impaired stream as identified by latest 303(d) list (Categories 4 and 5 are impaired)</a>	Yes	10
	No	0
<a href="#">NC DWR Surface Water Classification</a>	HQW (includes ORW, WS-I, WS-II, SA, PNA) NSW, Tr, Sw, UWL, AFSA, or SHA	10
	WS-III, WS-IV	7
	WS-V, B, SB	4
	C, SC Only	1
	Project is not within 1000ft of surface waters	0
<a href="#">Stormwater Rule (NPDES I, II, etc)</a>	Yes	10
	No	0
<a href="#">SWAP report (In map layers select Assessment Areas and PWS Surface Water Assessment Areas)</a>	High	10
	Moderate	7
	Low	4
	None	0
<b>Benefits derived from the installation of the project</b> <i>(Soil loss, SNAP, or other calculations)</i>	Soil loss > 10 tons OR N > 1.0 pounds AND P > 0.1	10
	Soil loss > 1 ton < 10 OR N > 0.01 < 1.0 pound AND P > 0.01 < 0.1 pounds	5
	No derived results	0
<b>Leveraging additional resources</b> <i>(Prioritizes projects that involve funding partners)</i>	<50% CCAP cost share	10
	50 - 75% CCAP cost share	5
	75% CCAP cost share	0
<b>District priority in submitted letter of support</b> <i>(Be specific on why this is a good project)</i>	High	10
	If more than 1 project submitted, deduct 2 points for each next highest ranked project	8, 6, 4, etc.
	None	0
<b>Training, public education and outreach activities</b> <i>(Prioritizes projects where these activities will occur)</i>	Yes	10
	No	0
<b>Landowner Ingress/Egress issues or local government approval necessary</b> <i>(Prevents delay in getting approval for contract and/or increased project costs)</i>	Conditional sign off and letter of support stating project is a "go" or no issues (partnerships are "on board", if multiple landowners there is documentation stating they agree to the project)	0
	Local gov't has significant rules and review process and has not signed off on the project. If more than one landowner is affected and all do not sign off on the project, the project will be disqualified	-10
<b>Photographs and clear plan map showing need for project</b> <i>(Written description clear and definitive showing the water quality issues demonstrating the need for the project. A short, concise project summary may be written to vet the project)</i>	Good detail with good supporting documentation demonstrating the need for the project	10
	Sufficient detail with sufficient documentation demonstrating the need for the project	5
	Less than sufficient detail and documentation that does not clearly indicate the need for the project	0