







Agriculture Cost Share Program (ACSP)

- A. Consideration of ACSP Policy Revisions
 - 1. Waste Management Measures
 - 2. Heavy Use Area Protection
- B. FY2026 Detailed Implementation Plan
- C. FY2026 ACSP Cost List
- D. District Financial Assistance Allocation
 - 1. ACSP/II Allocation
 - 2. Upper French Broad Allocation







Consideration of Waste Management Measure BMP Policy Updates







Waste Management BMPs

SWCC Approved

Dry Stack

Lagoon Biosolids Removal

Waste Impoundment Closure

Manure/Litter Transport Retrofit of Ongoing Animal Operations

Constructed Wetlands

Waste Application System

Concentrated Nutrient Source Management System Insect Control Practice

Solids Separation from Tank/Racewaybased Aquaculture Production

Odor Control Management System

Storm Water Management System SWCC Agenda

Waste

Treatment

Lagoon/ Storage

Pond

Manure

Composting

Facility

Waste & Nutrient Management Measures General Policy

Heavy Use Area Protection

In Revision

Feeding/ Waste Storage Structure

Livestock Mortality Management System





Waste Management Measures General Policy







Waste Management Measures policy

General Policy Updates

- Changed name to Waste and Nutrient Management Measures
 - Division staff are now "Nutrient Management Specialists"
- Added a values statement with the definition
- Replaced the WMP certification statement with an upload requirement (already applied to BMPs)
- Modified the temporary contract approval rule to prevent delays







Waste Management Measures policy

General Policy Updates

- Spot checks: changed reference to the commission policy and copied additional instructions
- Added grading minimum (cost list component) and geotextile references from Stream Protection Management general policy
- Expanded fence setback and vegetation policies to reflect Stream Protection Management general policy
- Removed requirement to show the stream on maps—not required in other measures







Waste Management Measures policy

Action: Approve revisions to the Waste and Nutrient Management Measures policy.













- Waste management and stream protection HUAP policies are slightly different
 - > attempting to combine in a single policy
 - The goal is to reduce confusion. A single reference is easier to maintain.
 - This does require additional references where requirements differ.







<u>Updates</u>

- Added the NRCS Feeding Site Assessment Tool and setback requirement for feeding areas.
- Used the concrete pad recommendation from the Trough and Tank policy.
- Split out the statement that HUAP is not approved for access roads to a standalone item.







<u>Updates</u>

- Setback requirements now refer to the relevant measure general policy.
- Added the stable access reference from the stream protection version.
- Added a standard WMP reference.
- Added a clarifying statement the additional spot checks are only for waste & nutrient management BMPs.







Action: Approve revisions to the Heavy Use Area Protection BMP.







FY2026 ACSP Detailed Implementation Plan







FY 2026 Detailed Implementation Plan

- Updates
 - Updated website links, cross-referenced and verified BMP policy definitions
 - Added CREP as receiving earmarked funds in addition to IISI
 - Approved Waste & Nutrient Management BMP updates have been applied
 - Added the new "Use Exclusion Fencing" BMP
 - Extended 3rd party engineer stream restoration design policy
 - Attached the current BMP Technical Competency Requirements: there were no updates to BMP competencies this past year







FY 2026 Detailed Implementation Plan

Action: Approve the Fiscal Year 2026 Detailed Implementation Plan







FY2026 ACSP Cost List Recommendations







Average Cost List Updates

Triennial Cost List Approach

- Utilize a combined approach to update cost for FY2026
 - 1. Producer Price Index values will be applied to the bulk of components
 - 2. Receipts and Average Cost Calculations based on current retail costs will be used where available







FY2026 ACSP Cost List Draft

- Compiled cost data was shared with district staff at 5 regional meetings in February.
- Districts were provided two cost options for comparison Overall PPI vs Partial PPI/Retail Average/Actual Cost from Receipts — and asked for comment
 - Received 87 responses
 - Many recommended using the average of the two options
- District input was used to determine the recommended new costs







FY 2026 Cost List Recommendations

- Increases have been applied for all items
- Component names have been adjusted for clarity
- Approved Waste & Nutrient BMP costs have been added or updated







FY 2026 Cost List Recommendations

New Components

- ✓ Dewatering: for use with culvert installation
- ✓ Additional pipe sizes added to existing pipe types
- ✓ Animal guard by type: inside vs band
- ✓ Mulch netting, installed
- ✓ Streamside/floodplain fence replaced with 1-2 strand permanent fence
- ✓ Fully programmable water control structure added







New Components

| Component | Unit Type | Unit Cost | Maximum Cost Share 75 Percent | Maximum Cost Share 90 Percent | Cost Type |
|--|-----------|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| DEWATERING | 300 | Cost Share percent of actual amount not to exceed | \$3,750.00 | \$4,500.00 | Actual |
| PIPE- CORRUGATED PLASTIC, polyethylene, double wall, 54" - does not include excavation | LinFt | \$205.25 | \$ - | \$ - | Average |
| ANIMAL GUARD - Band type | Each | \$187.00 | \$ - | \$ - | Average |
| ANIMAL GUARD - Inside type | Each | \$157.00 | \$ - | \$ - | Average |
| VEGETATION- mulch netting installed | SqYd | \$1.00 | \$ - | \$ - | Average |
| FENCE-1-2 strand perm, electric or barbed, incl. Gates | LinFt | \$4.70 | \$ - | \$ - | Average |
| WATER CONTROL STRUCTURE – Automated Valve - fully programmable | Each | \$8,600.00 | \$ - | \$ - | Average |

NORTH CAROLINA

FY 2026 Cost List

Action: Approve the Fiscal Year 2026 Agriculture Cost Share Program Cost List.













- FY 2026 Strategic Plan –ACSP Requests
 - 100 counties requested \$16,420,133 in regular agriculture Cost Share funds (CS)
 - 39 counties requested \$2,649,767 for the Impaired and Impacted streams initiative (II)







| SOURCE | | AMOUNT | | |
|---|--|-----------|--|--|
| FY2026 Appropriation | | 4,035,498 | | |
| Available funds from cancelations, releases and | | 1,277,746 | | |
| unencumbered Regular Cost Share, Impaired & | | | | |
| Impacted, and CREP funds | | | | |
| TOTAL AVAILABLE FUNDS | | 5,313,244 | | |
| 5% Contingency Reserve | | 201,775 | | |
| CREP Earmark* | | 30,000 | | |
| Total CS/SFR/II Allocated FY2026 | | 5,081,469 | | |

^{*}CE funds will be allocated to districts as CREP contracts are received.







- TOTAL DISTRICT FUNDING FY 2026 = \$5,111,469
 - REGULAR ACSP (CS/SFR) Total = \$ 4,581,469
 - IMPAIRED/IMPACTED (II) Total = \$500,000
 - CREP (CE) Total = \$30,000







- CS/SFR allocations were made to all districts requesting funds
- II funds were allocated to all counties requesting funds with a current impaired/impacted survey
- Funds were allocated using the allocation parameters described in rule 02 NCAC 59D .0103
- \$20,000 minimum allocation (unless requesting less)







Upper French Broad River Non-Recurring Allocation

- 2023-24 State Budget included funding for livestock exclusion in the Upper French Broad River Basin including Transylvania, Henderson, Buncombe, Haywood, and Madison Counties
- Haywood County was added in May 2024 (S.L. 2024-1)







Upper French Broad River Non-Recurring Allocation

- \$2,000,000 State Appropriation (2024)
- \$936,637 Available for FY2026 Allocation
- All eligible districts requested allocations totaling \$700,000
- Remaining \$236,637 available for just-in-time allocations based on district request







• Action: Approve the Fiscal Year 2026 Agriculture Cost Share Program District Financial Assistance Allocations.





