



Hurricane Helene Round 1 Allocations

Matt Safford, StRAP Manager


- **\$40 million** total funding for Helene-Related Projects
- DSWC can retain 5% of funds for admin costs
 - Staffing costs (including new hires), inspectors, software, vehicles for site visits, equipment, etc.
 - Leaves **\$38 million** available for awards.
 - Grantees can use up to 15% of awarded funds for administrative expenses (same as previous rounds of StRAP).
- Limitation on Helene-funding: “Shall not expend funds ... On any activities that will be, or likely will be, covered by federal funds.” Waiting for site approval by:
 - FEMA/Army Corps of Engineers
 - Emergency Watershed Protection Program (EWP) through NRCS

Applications Submitted in Round 1

- Applications in 21 counties.
- \$21,855,621 requested in funding
- Planned work would cover 64 miles of stream.

- 15 organizations have submitted applications
 - 10 SWCDs
 - 1 County Government
 - 1 Town
 - 3 Nonprofit Organizations

- Benefits of Planned Work (adjusted):
 - 723 Structures
 - 67 Utilities
 - 5.6 miles of Roadway/Bridge
 - 3,179 acres of ag land.



- Assistance from Division Staff in review of applications:
 - Stephanie Alston
 - Steven Porson
 - StRAP Inspectors
 - Patty Gabriel
 - Christine Vance
 - Jim Summers
 - Jason Walker
 - Don Pittman
 - Julie Henshaw
 - David Williams
 - DSWC Engineers
 - Starr Silvis
 - Rachel Smith
 - Sam Just
 - Matthew Berens
 - CREP Team
 - Jen Roach
 - Jonathan Murray
 - Krishna Tiwari
 - Roy Jones
 - Ben Wilkins

- Due to per-county caps, if an applicant applies in multiple counties, we will treat their funding request in each county as a separate application.
- All portions of applications reviewed by at least 3 DSWC staff
- DSWC Engineers assisted in site review and drafting application review guidance
 - Especially for planned work involving engineering
- Follow-up site visits completed where additional information was needed.
 - Some applications lacked sufficient detail, especially in photos of the site to document why work was needed.
 - Site visits done where necessary to better understand conditions on site.
- **Concerns with some applications:**
 - Unrealistic estimated benefits
 - *May shown lack of proper site assessment or misunderstanding of project purpose/nature/benefits*
 - Higher-than-typical cost estimates
 - May need additional information from applicant, and review by engineering team.
 - Questions about site access, lack of landowner engagement
 - Photos unclear if work is needed

- Site Photos (both from applications and site visits) reviewed to determine if work needed.
- Stream Debris Removal- Sites Categorized from 0-4
 - **0- No Debris in Stream**
 - **1- No impact on Flow** (some debris on banks, no debris spanning stream)
 - **2- Minor impact on flow** (individual logs crossing stream, no full blockages)
 - **3- Some Blockages present** (blockage: logjam of multiple trunks/trees that crosses entire width of stream channel OR crosses over ½ width of stream and is clearly redirecting the channel)
 - **4- Major Impact on Flow** (average of >1 blockage per 500' of project length)
- Streambank Stabilization/Restoration Categorized- Sites Categorized from 0-6 (Category 6 sites have highest justification for work) based on several factors:
 - Material of Bank
 - Bank Slope
 - Bank Height
 - Bank Vegetation
- Division Recommendation:
 - **Category 0-1:** exclude site from application due to apparent lack of need (EX: no debris in stream to be removed).
 - **Category 2:** Do not fund currently, but site may be eligible for future funding if additional information can be submitted to justify funding.
 - **Category 3+:** site warrants work and recommended for funding

- Applications were given a score based on following categories:
 - **Estimated Benefits of Work**
 - Structures, Utilities, Roads, Ag Land benefitting from work
 - Applicant's estimates vetted by DSWC staff
 - Site Descriptions
 - Length of stream to repair
 - **Cost Estimates**
 - Ability to complete work with available funds
 - Is cost estimate reasonable for work planned?
 - Matching funds
 - **Site Conditions**
 - Does site warrant repairs?
 - Additional information collected by DSWC staff during site visits.
 - **Organizational Considerations**
 - Emphasis on locally led conservation
 - Applicant experience as a StRAP grant recipient

- **Division Recommends Funding Sites that meet following conditions:**
 1. All Applications with Ranking Score of 35+
 2. All Stream Debris Removal projects with:
 - Rating of 3 or 4
 - Length <10,000'
 3. All Streambank Stabilization/Restoration/Sediment Removal projects with:
 - Category of 3+
- Fund applicants the amount requested up to cost per linear foot caps (developed with Engineering Team):
 - Stream Debris Removal:
 - Segment >500': up to \$50/ft (unless additional justification given)
 - Segment <500': depends on stream width, up to \$100/ft
 - Streambank Stabilization: up to \$700/ft
 - May be eligible for additional funding pending engineering design
 - Stream Restoration & Sediment Removal: up to \$900/ft
 - May be eligible for additional funding pending engineering design



Recommended Awards

- **Applications Recommended for Funding:**

- Total Award Amount: \$4,198,813

Grantee	County	Application Ranking Score (Ranking of 35 or higher required for funding)	Application Approval	Adjusted Total Request (Based on requested amount from only sites that were approved)	Total Proposed Award for April Meeting	Total Amount Pending Additional Information	Notes
Alexander SWCD	Alexander	109	Portion of Application Approved	\$516,000	\$516,000	\$51,750	Several sites approved for funding. 2 sites warrant additional information before they can be awarded funding. DSWC will follow-up with applicant.
Catawba SWCD	Catawba	81	Portion of Application Approved, additional funds pending additional information	\$375,000	\$375,000	\$175,000	Several sites approved for funding. 1 site warrant additional information before they can be awarded funding. DSWC will follow-up with applicant.
Carolina Land and Lakes	Gaston	68	Portion of Application Approved, additional funds pending additional information	\$310,500	\$310,500	\$203,550	Several sites approved for funding. 1 site warrant additional information before they can be awarded funding. DSWC will follow-up with applicant.
Graham County	Graham	43	Approved	\$70,000	\$70,000		Site approved for funding at rate determined by Engineering review. Applicant may be eligible for additional funds if approved engineering design outlines need.
Iredell SWCD	Iredell	64	Portion of Application Approved, additional funds pending additional information	\$460,000	\$310,909		Several sites approved for funding.
Macon SWCD	Macon	60	Approved	\$382,500	\$382,500		Approved
Mountain Valleys RC&D	Madison	90	Portion of Application Approved, additional funds pending additional information	\$1,727,500	\$1,496,250	\$0	Several sites approved for funding. 4 sites did not warrant funding based on submitted information, but applicant has already reached exceeded the maximum amount eligible to be awarded in county. 3 sites were not selected for streambank stabilization funding, but may be candidates for revegetation only: FB Harrison 2, IR Becka, SC Grounds/Norton/Ammons
Mecklenburg SWCD	Mecklenburg	41	Approved	\$140,000	\$140,000		Site approved for funding at rate determined by Engineering review. Applicant may be eligible for additional funds if approved engineering design outlines need.
Surry SWCD	Surry	38	Approved	\$1,057,000	\$526,154		Approved. Applicant had 2 sites that were approved for funding, but total requested amount exceeds county cap. Award adjust to match county cap as no other organization in county approved for funding.
					\$4,127,313	\$430,300	

Recommended Awards

- Deferred Applications Planned for funding at May SWCC Meeting:**

Grantee	County	Application Ranking Score (Ranking of 35 or higher required for funding)	Application Approval	Applicant Total Request for Funding	Total Proposed Award for April Meeting	Total Amount Pending Additional Information	Notes
Nash SWCD	Nash	85	Deferred to May Commission Meeting- Pending Additional Information	\$260,000	0	\$260,000	Application requires additional information before funding can be awarded. Due to long length of stream segment (43,000 LF) which exceeds 10,000 feet, additional documentation of blockages needed to fund stream debris removal. If additional documentation not available, only a portion of requested funds will be awarded at May Commission Meeting.
Union SWCD	Union	51	Deferred to May Commission Meeting- Pending Additional Information	\$318,912	\$0	\$318,912	Needs additional review due to presence of multiple eligible applicants in county. Will wait to award funds until other similar situations are reviewed in application rounds 2 & 3. Additionally, Due to long length of stream segment (31,891 LF) which exceeds 10,000 feet, additional documentation of blockages needed to fund stream debris removal. If additional documentation not available, only a portion of requested funds will be awarded at May Commission Meeting.
Common Saints	Union	40	Deferred to May Commission Meeting- Pending Additional Information	\$310,909	\$0	\$310,909	Needs additional review due to presence of multiple eligible applicants in county. Will wait to award funds until other similar situations are reviewed in application rounds 2 & 3. Additionally, due to long length of stream segment (15,486 LF) which exceeds 10,000 feet, additional documentation of blockages needed to fund stream debris removal. If additional documentation not available, only a portion of requested funds will be awarded at May Commission Meeting.

Recommended Awards

- **Applications Potentially Eligible for Funding or Additional Funding at May SWCC Meeting:**
- Request delaying awards (or supplemental awards) for these applicants until we have received additional information from applicant, and have a work session to discuss in more depth.

Grantee	County	Application Ranking Score (Ranking of 35 or higher required for funding)	Application Approval	Applicant Total Request for Funding	Total Proposed Award for April Meeting	Total Amount Pending Additional Information	Notes
Alexander SWCD	Alexander	109	Portion of Application Approved	\$567,750	\$516,000	\$51,750	Several sites approved for funding. 2 sites warrant additional information before they can be awarded funding. DSWC will follow-up with applicant.
Catawba SWCD	Catawba	81	Portion of Application Approved, additional funds pending additional information	\$550,000	\$375,000	\$175,000	Several sites approved for funding. 1 site warrant additional information before they can be awarded funding. DSWC will follow-up with applicant.
Cherokee SWCD	Cherokee	52	Pending Additional Information	\$417,400	\$0	\$310,909	3 sites warrant additional information before they can be awarded funding. DSWC will follow-up with applicant.
Clay SWCD	Clay	49	Pending Additional Information	\$336,000	\$0	\$336,000	Site warrants additional information before they can be awarded funding. DSWC will follow-up with applicant.
Carolina Land and Lakes	Gaston	68	Portion of Application Approved, additional funds pending additional information	\$514,050	\$310,500	\$203,550	Several sites approved for funding. 1 site warrant additional information before they can be awarded funding. DSWC will follow-up with applicant.
Town of Bryson City	Swain	54	Engineering Review Needed	\$71,500		\$71,500	Sediment funding pending engineering assessment



Recommended Awards


- Applications Denied Funding:**

Grantee	County	Application Ranking Score (Ranking of 35 or higher required for funding)	Application Approval	Applicant Total Request for Funding	Total Proposed Award for April Meeting	Total Amount Pending Additional Information	Notes
Common Saints	Alexander	-16	Denied due to ranking	\$526,154	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking.
Common Saints	Cabarrus	-20	Denied due to ranking	\$526,154	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking.
Common Saints	Catawba	-8	Denied due to ranking	\$526,154	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking.
Common Saints	Cherokee	-3	Denied due to Ranking	\$310,909	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking.
Common Saints	Clay	21	Denied due to Ranking	\$331,150	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking.
Common Saints	Forsyth	9	Denied due to Ranking	\$310,909	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking. Based on application and follow-up site visit, streams in application did not contain debris needing removal.
Common Saints	Gaston	10	Denied due to Ranking	\$526,154	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking. Based on application and follow-up site visit, streams in application did not contain debris needing removal.
Common Saints	Graham	15	Denied due to Ranking	\$310,909	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking. Based on application and follow-up site visit, streams in application did not contain debris needing removal.
Common Saints	Iredell	9	Denied due to Ranking	\$310,909	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking.
Common Saints	Lee	7	Denied due to Ranking	\$310,909	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking.
Common Saints	Lincoln	-1	Denied due to Ranking	\$526,154	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking.
Common Saints	Macon	NA	Denied due to Landowner	\$526,154	\$0		Landowner requested no work be done on property. Application removed from consideration.
Common Saints	Madison	55	Denied due to stream condition- no justification for work.	\$2,992,500	\$0		Based on application and follow-up site visit, streams in application did not contain debris needing removal.
Common Saints	Mecklenburg	21	Denied due to Ranking	\$310,909	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking.
Common Saints	Nash	21	Denied due to Ranking	\$310,909	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking.
Common Saints	Stanly	25	Denied due to Ranking	\$310,909	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking.
Common Saints	Surry	1	Denied due to Ranking	\$526,154	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking.
Common Saints	Yadkin	NA	Denied due to Landowner	\$526,154	\$0		Landowner requested no work be done on property. Application removed from consideration




Action Item

Division request Commission approval to award \$ 4,198,813 in funding to selected StRAP applicants.



High Need
 Medium Need
 Low Need
 Round 1 Counties
 Round 2 Counties
 Round 3 Counties



StRAP Round 3 Application Window:

February 20 - April 17, 2026

StRAP Round 3 Eligible Counties

- | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Avery | Haywood |
| Burke | Polk |
| Cleveland | Rowan |
| Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians | Transylvania |
| | Yancey |

Allocation Amounts

(% of funds going to High/Medium/Low Need Counties)


70/20/10

10% withheld for supplemental allocation

\$23,940,000 across all high-need counties, \$1,496,250 each
 \$6,840,000 across all medium-need counties, \$526,154 each
 \$3,420,000 across all low-need counties, \$310,909 each

Sources: Esri, TomTom, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community, Esri, USGS

Need Categories



Sources: Esri, TomTom, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community, Esri, USGS

StRAP Round 1- Applicant Summary

Grantee	County	Application Ranking Score (Ranking of 35 or higher required for funding)	Application Approval	Applicant Total Request for Funding	Adjusted Total Request (Based on requested amount from only sites that were approved)	Total Proposed Award for April Meeting	Total Amount Pending Additional Information	Notes
Alexander SWCD	Alexander	109	Portion of Application Approved	\$567,750	\$516,000	\$516,000	\$51,750	Several sites approved for funding. 2 sites warrant additional information before they can be awarded funding. DSWC will follow-up with applicant.
Common Saints	Alexander	-16	Denied due to ranking	\$526,154	\$410,700	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking.
Common Saints	Cabarrus	-20	Denied due to ranking	\$526,154	\$406,150	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking.
Catawba SWCD	Catawba	81	Portion of Application Approved, additional funds pending additional information	\$550,000	\$375,000	\$375,000	\$175,000	Several sites approved for funding. 1 site warrant additional information before they can be awarded funding. DSWC will follow-up with applicant.
Common Saints	Catawba	-8	Denied due to ranking	\$526,154	\$398,150	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking.
Cherokee SWCD	Cherokee	52	Pending Additional Information	\$417,400	0	\$0	\$341,400	3 sites warrant additional information before they can be awarded funding. DSWC will follow-up with applicant.
Common Saints	Cherokee	-3	Denied due to Ranking	\$310,909	\$139,300	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking.
Clay SWCD	Clay	49	Pending Additional Information	\$336,000	0	\$0	\$336,000	Site warrants additional information before they can be awarded funding. DSWC will follow-up with applicant.
Common Saints	Clay	21	Denied due to Ranking	\$331,150	\$331,150	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking.
Common Saints	Forsyth	9	Denied due to Ranking	\$310,909	0	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking. Based on application and follow-up site visit, streams in application did not contain debris needing removal.
Carolina Land and Lakes	Gaston	68	Portion of Application Approved, additional funds pending additional information	\$514,050	\$310,500	\$310,500	\$203,550	Several sites approved for funding. 1 site warrant additional information before they can be awarded funding. DSWC will follow-up with applicant.
Common Saints	Gaston	10	Denied due to Ranking	\$526,154	\$249,100	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking. Based on application and follow-up site visit, streams in application did not contain debris needing removal.
Common Saints	Graham	15	Denied due to Ranking	\$310,909	\$210,200	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking. Based on application and follow-up site visit, streams in application did not contain debris needing removal.
Graham County	Graham	43	Approved	\$147,400	\$70,000	\$70,000		Site approved for funding at rate determined by Engineering review. Applicant may be eligible for additional funds if approved engineering design outlines need.
Common Saints	Iredell	9	Denied due to Ranking	\$310,909	\$310,909	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking.
Iredell SWCD	Iredell	64	Portion of Application Approved, additional funds pending additional information	\$460,000	\$410,000	\$310,909		Several sites approved for funding. 2 sites warrant additional information before they can be awarded funding. DSWC will follow-up with applicant.
Common Saints	Lee	7	Denied due to Ranking	\$310,909	\$310,909	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking.
Common Saints	Lincoln	-1	Denied due to Ranking	\$526,154	\$316,300	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking.
Macon SWCD	Macon	60	Approved	\$382,500	\$382,500	\$382,500		
Common Saints	Madison	55	Denied due to stream condition	\$2,992,500	0	\$0		
Mountain Valleys RC&D	Madison	90	Portion of Application Approved, additional funds pending additional information	\$2,457,500	\$1,727,500	\$1,496,250	\$0	Several sites approved for funding. 4 sites did not warrant funding based on submitted information, but applicant has already reached exceeded the maximum amount eligible to be awarded in county. 3 sites were not selected for streambank stabilization funding, but may be candidates for revegetation only: FB Harrison 2, IR Becka, SC Grounds/Norton/Ammons
Common Saints	Mecklenburg	21	Denied due to Ranking	\$310,909	\$200,350	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking.
Mecklenburg SWCD	Mecklenburg	41	Approved	\$310,909	\$140,000	\$140,000		Site approved for funding at rate determined by Engineering review. Applicant may be eligible for additional funds if approved engineering design outlines need.
Common Saints	Nash	21	Denied due to Ranking	\$310,909	\$149,700	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking.

Nash SWCD	Nash	85	Deferred to May Commission Meeting	\$260,000	\$260,000	0	\$260,000	Application requires additional information before funding can be awarded. Due to long length of stream segment (43,000 LF) which exceeds 10,000 feet, additional documentation of blockages needed to fund stream debris removal. If additional documentation not available, only a portion of requested funds will be awarded at May Commission Meeting.
Common Saints	Stanly	25	Denied due to Ranking	\$310,909	\$310,909	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking.
Common Saints	Surry	1	Denied due to Ranking	\$526,154	\$242,950	\$0		Site denied funding due to low ranking.
Surry SWCD	Surry	38	Approved	\$1,057,000	\$1,057,000	\$526,154		
Town of Bryson City	Swain	54	Pending additional information	\$71,500	\$71,500		\$71,500	
Union SWCD	Union	51	Deferred to May Commission Meeting- Pending Additional Information	\$318,912	\$318,912	\$0		Needs additional review due to presence of multiple eligible applicants in county. Will wait to award funds until other similar situations are reviewed in application rounds 2 & 3. Additionally, Due to long length of stream segment (31,891 LF) which exceeds 10,000 feet, additional documentation of blockages needed to fund stream debris removal. If additional documentation not available, only a portion of requested funds will be awarded at May Commission Meeting.
Common Saints	Union	40	Deferred to May Commission Meeting- Pending Additional Information	\$310,909	\$310,909	\$0		Needs additional review due to presence of multiple eligible applicants in county. Will wait to award funds until other similar situations are reviewed in application rounds 2 & 3. Additionally, due to long length of stream segment (15,486 LF) which exceeds 10,000 feet, additional documentation of blockages needed to fund stream debris removal. If additional documentation not available, only a portion of requested funds will be awarded at May Commission Meeting.
							\$4,347,904	\$1,482,700

StRAP Round 1- All Sites

Grantee	County	Site	Site Cost	Site Length	Requested Cost Per Foot	Modified Site Cost (If cost higher than typical without sufficient justification for added Cost) Calculated at: Debris removal at \$50/ft, Stabiliation at \$900/ft	Debris Removal Rating (Rating: 3+=Approved, 2=Pending further info, 0-1=No)	Stabilization Rating (Rating: 3+ Approved, 2=Pending, 0-1=Denied)	Sediment Removal	Site Approved (If both debris removal and stabilization planned, site can based only on Stabilization rating)	Application Ranking	Application Approval	Applicant Total Request for Funding	Adjusted Total Request (Based on requested amount from only sites that were approved)	Total Proposed Award	Total Amount Pending Additional Information
Alexander SWCD	Alexander	Cully's Branch Section 1	\$25,300	1100	\$23	\$25,300	2	NA		Additional Information Required	109	Portion of Application Approved	\$567,750	\$516,000	\$516,000	\$51,750
Alexander SWCD	Alexander	Duck Creek Section 2	\$40,000	1800	\$22	\$40,000	3	NA		Yes	109					
Alexander SWCD	Alexander	Duck Creek Section 3	\$57,500	4200	\$14	\$57,500	3	NA		Yes	109					
Alexander SWCD	Alexander	Duck Creek Section 4	\$57,500	5200	\$11	\$57,500	3	NA		Yes	109					
Alexander SWCD	Alexander	Duck Creek Section 5	\$20,700	800	\$26	\$20,700	4	NA		Yes	109					
Alexander SWCD	Alexander	Duck Creek Section 6	\$26,450	1800	\$15	\$26,450	2	NA		Additional Information Required	109					
Alexander SWCD	Alexander	Elk Shoals Creek Section 1	\$23,000	1200	\$19	\$23,000	3	NA		Yes	109					
Alexander SWCD	Alexander	Grassy Creek Section 1	\$46,000	5100	\$9	\$46,000	3	NA		Yes	109					
Alexander SWCD	Alexander	Lower Little River Section 3	\$200,000	9500	\$21	\$200,000	3	NA		Yes	109					
Alexander SWCD	Alexander	Outz Creek Section 1	\$25,300	1300	\$19	\$25,300	3	NA		Yes	109					
Alexander SWCD	Alexander	South Yadkin Section 1	\$46,000	2400	\$19	\$46,000	3	NA		Yes	109					
Common Saints	Alexander	Alexander County/Stirewalt Creek/Taylorsville	\$526,154	8214	\$64	\$410,700	2	NA		No	-16	Denied due to ranking	\$526,154	\$410,700		
Common Saints	Cabarrus	Cabarrus County/Mallard Creek	\$526,154	8123	\$65	\$406,150	3	NA		No	-20	Denied due to ranking	\$526,154	\$406,150		
Catawba SWCD	Catawba	Clark Creek- Newton	\$75,000	1500	\$50	\$75,000	3	NA		Yes	81	Portion of Application Approved, additional funds pending additional information	\$550,000	\$375,000	\$375,000	\$175,000
Catawba SWCD	Catawba	Henry Fork / South Fork	\$175,000	2400	\$73	\$175,000	2	NA		Additional Information Required	81					
Catawba SWCD	Catawba	Southfork River/Blackburn Bridge	\$300,000	2400	\$125	\$300,000	3	NA		Yes	81					
Common Saints	Catawba	Catawba/Clark Creek	\$526,154	7963	\$66	\$398,150	2	NA		No	-8	Denied due to ranking	\$526,154	\$398,150		
Cherokee SWCD	Cherokee	Martins Creek/Lance	\$135,000	4400	\$31	\$135,000	2	NA		Additional Information Required	52	Pending Additional Information	\$417,400	0	\$0	\$341,400
Cherokee SWCD	Cherokee	Notla/Phillips	\$76,000	1900	\$40	\$76,000	1	NA		No	52					
Cherokee SWCD	Cherokee	Notla-Davis	\$371,000	4128	\$90	\$206,400	2	NA		Additional Information Required	52					
Common Saints	Cherokee	Cherokee County/PeachTree Creek	\$310,909	2786	\$112	\$139,300	3	NA		Yes	-3	Denied due to Ranking	\$310,909	\$139,300		
Clay SWCD	Clay	Crawford Creek-Davis	\$336,000	8000	\$42	\$336,000	2	NA		Additional Information Required	49	Pending Additional Information	\$336,000	0	\$0	\$336,000
Common Saints	Clay	Clay County/ Shooting Creek	\$526,154	6623	\$79	\$331,150	3	NA		Yes	21	Denied due to Ranking	\$331,150	\$331,150		
Common Saints	Forsyth	Forsyth County/Tarbranch	\$310,909	4939	\$63	\$246,950	0	NA		No	9	Denied due to Ranking	\$310,909	0		
Carolina Land and Lakes	Gaston	Catawba Creek Gastonia	\$155,250	3800	\$41	\$155,250	3	NA		Yes	68	Portion of Application Approved, additional funds pending additional information	\$514,050	\$310,500	\$310,500	\$203,550
Carolina Land and Lakes	Gaston	Cramerton Duharts Creek	\$155,250	3800	\$41	\$155,250	3	NA		Yes	68					
Carolina Land and Lakes	Gaston	Little Long Creek	\$203,550	5000	\$41	\$203,550	2	NA		Additional Information Required	68					
Common Saints	Gaston	Gaston County/Long creek	\$526,154	4982	\$106	\$249,100	1	NA		No	10	Denied due to ranking	\$526,154	\$249,100		
Common Saints	Graham	Graham County/Tobacco Branch	\$310,909	4204	\$74	\$210,200	2	NA		Additional Information Required	15	Denied due to ranking	\$310,909	\$210,200		
Graham County	Graham	Graham County Creek Project	\$147,400	100	\$1,474	\$70,000	NA		5	Yes	43	Approved	\$147,400	\$70,000	\$70,000	
Common Saints	Iredell	Iredell County/Third Creek	\$310,909	7264	\$43	\$310,909	3	NA		Yes	9		\$310,909	\$310,909		
Iredell SWCD	Iredell	Bradford/Snow Creek	\$75,000	3810	\$20	\$75,000	2		6	Additional Information Required	64	Portion of Application Approved, additional funds pending additional information	\$460,000	\$410,000	\$310,909	
Iredell SWCD	Iredell	Dale Brown	\$50,000	2800	\$18	\$50,000	1	NA		No	64					
Iredell SWCD	Iredell	Glenn Parker/Rocky Creek	\$40,000	886	\$45	\$40,000	2		5	Yes	64					
Iredell SWCD	Iredell	Jeff Sloan Camel Branch/Rocky Creek	\$120,000	5457	\$22	\$120,000	3	NA		Yes	64					
Iredell SWCD	Iredell	Mike Anderson	\$75,000	1532	\$49	\$75,000	3	NA		Yes	64					
Iredell SWCD	Iredell	Milton Hancock South Yadkin/Snow Creek	\$100,000	300	\$333	\$100,000	4	NA		Yes	64					
Common Saints	Lee	Lee County/Big Buffalo Creek	\$310,909	6855	\$45	\$310,909	3	NA		Yes	7	Denied due to Ranking	\$310,909	\$310,909		
Common Saints	Lincoln	Lincoln County/Hoyle Creek	\$526,154	6326	\$83	\$316,300	3	NA		Yes	-1	Denied due to Ranking	\$526,154	\$316,300		
Macon SWCD	Macon	Cartoogechaye 1	\$40,000	200	\$200	\$40,000	0		4	Yes	60	Approved	\$382,500	\$382,500	\$382,500	
Macon SWCD	Macon	Cullasaja 1	\$135,000	600	\$225	\$135,000	NA		6	Yes	60	Approved				
Macon SWCD	Macon	Cullasaja 2	\$157,500	700	\$225	\$157,500	0		5	Yes	60	Approved				
Macon SWCD	Macon	Lowery Creek 1	\$50,000	250	\$200	\$50,000	3		3	Yes	60	Approved				
Common Saints	Madison	Madison County/Bull Creek	\$1,496,250	22777	\$66	\$1,138,850	0	NA		No	55	Denied due to stream condition	\$2,992,500	0	\$0	
Common Saints	Madison	Madison County/Spring Creek	\$1,496,250	22777	\$66	\$1,138,850	0	NA		No	55					

Mountain Valleys RC&D	Madison	AB Buscher	\$36,000	120	\$300	\$36,000	NA	3	Yes	90	Portion of Application Approved, additional funds pending additional information	\$2,457,500	\$1,727,500	\$1,496,250		
Mountain Valleys RC&D	Madison	BB- Joy	\$20,000	100	\$200	\$20,000	NA	5	Yes	90						
Mountain Valleys RC&D	Madison	EB Silsbee	\$90,000	300	\$300	\$90,000	NA	4	Yes	90						
Mountain Valleys RC&D	Madison	FB- Barnard	\$240,000	800	\$300	\$240,000	NA	4	Yes	90						
Mountain Valleys RC&D	Madison	FB Duke	\$420,000	1400	\$300	\$420,000	NA	5	Yes	90						
Mountain Valleys RC&D	Madison	FB- Harrison 1	\$150,000	500	\$300	\$150,000	NA	5	Yes	90						
Mountain Valleys RC&D	Madison	FB- Harrison 2	\$150,000	500	\$300	\$150,000	0	2	Additional Information Required	90						
Mountain Valleys RC&D	Madison	FB Sundquist	\$133,500	445	\$300	\$133,500	NA	3	Yes	90						
Mountain Valleys RC&D	Madison	GC Spiller	\$90,000	300	\$300	\$90,000	1	2	Additional Information Required	90						
Mountain Valleys RC&D	Madison	IR Becka	\$60,000	200	\$300	\$60,000	NA	2	Additional Information Required	90						
Mountain Valleys RC&D	Madison	IR Buehler	\$36,000	120	\$300	\$36,000	NA	3	Yes	90						
Mountain Valleys RC&D	Madison	LC Justice	\$125,000	415	\$301	\$125,000	NA	3	Yes	90						
Mountain Valleys RC&D	Madison	MF Kelly	\$135,000	450	\$300	\$135,000	NA	4	Yes	90						
Mountain Valleys RC&D	Madison	MF- Foster	\$90,000	300	\$300	\$90,000	3	5	Yes	90						
Mountain Valleys RC&D	Madison	SC Bennett	\$105,000	350	\$300	\$105,000	NA	6	Yes	90						
Mountain Valleys RC&D	Madison	SC Decker	\$200,000	500	\$400	\$200,000	NA	2	Pending	Additional Information Required	90					
Mountain Valleys RC&D	Madison	SC Grounds/Norton/Ammons	\$230,000	600	\$383	\$230,000	1	1	No	No	90					
Mountain Valleys RC&D	Madison	SC Kennedy	\$27,000	90	\$300	\$27,000	NA	3	Yes	90						
Mountain Valleys RC&D	Madison	SC Kirkpatrick	\$60,000	200	\$300	\$60,000	NA	3	Yes	90						
Mountain Valleys RC&D	Madison	SC Ward	\$60,000	200	\$300	\$60,000	NA	3	Yes	90						
Common Saints	Mecklenburg	Mecklenburg County/Irbins Creek Mecklenburg County	\$310,909	4007	\$78	\$200,350	3	NA	No	21	Denied due to Ranking	\$310,909	\$200,350			
Mecklenburg SWCD	Mecklenburg	Fair Oaks Farm	\$310,909	200	\$1,555	\$140,000	3	6	Yes	41	Approved	\$310,909	\$140,000	\$140,000		
Common Saints	Nash	Nash County	\$310,909	2994	\$104	\$149,700	NA	NA	Additional Information Required	21	Denied due to Ranking	\$310,909	\$149,700			
Nash SWCD	Nash	Swift Creek Phase 3	\$260,000	43000	\$6	\$260,000	3	NA	Yes	85	Deferred to May Commission Meeting- Pending Additional Information	\$260,000	\$260,000	\$260,000		
Common Saints	Stanly	Stanley County-Currtail Creek	\$310,909	10658	\$29	\$310,909	2	NA	Additional Information Required	25	Denied due to Ranking	\$310,909	\$310,909			
Common Saints	Surry	Surry County	\$526,154	4859	\$108	\$242,950	3	NA	Yes	1	Denied due to Ranking	\$526,154	\$242,950			
Surry SWCD	Surry	Burkes Creek	\$512,000	850	\$602	\$512,000	4	6	Yes	38	Approved	\$1,057,000	\$1,057,000	\$526,154		
Surry SWCD	Surry	Ramey Creek	\$545,000	600	\$908	\$545,000	4	6	Yes	38	Approved					
Town of Bryson City	Swain	Water Street	\$71,500	1040	\$69	\$71,500	1	NA	Yes	No	54	Pending additional review	\$71,500	\$71,500	\$71,500	
Union SWCD	Union	Lanes Creek	\$318,912	31891	\$10	\$318,912	3	NA	Yes	51	Deferred to May Commission Meeting- Pending Additional Information	\$318,912	\$318,912			
Common Saints	Union	Union County	\$310,909	15,486	\$20	\$310,909	3	NA	Yes	40	Deferred to May Commission Meeting- Pending Additional Information	\$310,909	\$310,909			
														\$4,127,313	\$1,482,700	