# FARM FORECASTER

CROP AND LIVE STOCK REPORT FOR NORTH CAROLINA

COOPERATIVE CROP REPORTING SERVICE

NORTH CAROLINA
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
DIVISION OF AGRICULTURAL STATISTICS

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
BUREAU OF AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS

RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA

OCTOBER-NOVEMBER | 1930 FALL ISSUE | NUMBER 58


## NOVEMBER CROP REPORT

November finds North Carolina farmers with very confused ideas as to future plans. The year has been quite unsatisfactory so far as profits from crops and livestock are concerned. The agricultural situation is given more in detail on page 3. The November crop report shows that conditions throughout the country were more favorable than since the summer months. Extreme dry conditions, however, prevailed in the northeastern States. It is evident that outside of the Southern Atlantic States feed crops are likely to be much in demand until the next harvest.

Most of North Carolina is fortunate in most of its crop production. Even in the partially drought stricken counties of the Piedmont Belt, bottom land and some farms made fairly good yields. In general, the southeastern half of the State made fairly good crops.

In contrast to most other States, North Carolina's corn yield is practically the same as the ten-year average but some less than last year. Detailed data is shown on page 8. Tobacco continues to show a high record production, with the yield per acre appreciably above the average. It is only in the type 11 or Old Belt that the production for 1930 is less than last year. It was generally understood that while the yield was fairly good, the quality was comparatively inferior, along with the lower level of prices offered.

Cotton shows a decided increase over last year's production for North Carolina in spite of the 10 percent decrease in acreage. The boll weevil ravages were not as serious as a year ago, due largely to the dry weather conditions prevailing since July. These conditions also permitted of early maturing and picking of the crop, together with the ginnings being cleaner than usual. November ginnings were decidedly more complete than for many year. The outlook report on page 3 offers no encouragement for this crop next year.

The peanut crop in North Carolina is reported to be quite short in yield and in quality. An early November inspection trip indicated that with the progress of "picking" a decided improvement might be found in both of these respects. While the peanuts had fewer pods per plant, the harvest showed fewer left in the ground than usual. They are cleaner from dirt than usual, due to the dry soil conditions.

Hay crops are quite variable, with fairly good yields in the area where moisture conditions were satisfactory and short in the northern and western counties. For this reason, corn was generally harvested by cutting the stalk whole and shocking, thus providing not only the grain but roughage material.

The potato situation finds North Carolina with fewer sweet potatoes than last year but appreciably more than the five-year average. The yield per acre is 22 bushels less than last Fall. The Irish potato crop showed a good yield for the commercial early harvest, but short for the Fall yield. In spite of this, the yield of 100 bushels is appreciably above the average, while 10 bushels below last year. The quality is not as good as usual.

The fruit situation shows a considerable shortage in apples, pears and peaches (outside of the Sandhill Belt) over the State. Pecans are slightly below the usual. Most of this crop is found adjacent to homesteads and in the smaller towns of eastern Carolina.

Eastern North Carolina is naturally suited to growing of corn, soy beans and potatoes shown above. The corn at the left averages 75 bushels per acre, the soy beans 30 bushels of beans or 5,0000 pounds of hay; and the sweet potatoes about 200 bushels in Craven County. County Agent Claude Faris was inspecting the beans in September.

# *The Commissioner's Message*

Consecrated service always finds true expression in season of stress and adversity; it is then that the nobler incentives are brought into play. Prosperity brings its own sunshine and generates its own smiles. Adversity throws out its challenge to those who are willing to dare and to do. There is no other calling which presents the problems that are peculiar to agriculture. Upon this calling hinges the prosperity of any nation which undertakes to produce the things that are necessary for a proper and well-balanced economic system. Doubly dependent upon it are those who, unable themselves to produce, must look to other sections for the necessities of life.

The farmers of North Carolina, like those of other States and sections, have felt the heavy hand of adversity during the past season. They have sown with their hopes high and reaped with depressed hearts because of the conditions that came about to harrass them and over which they had no control; but they have not given up in despair, neither have they sought to spread gloom.

Even under adverse circumstances, the farmers of the State of North Carolina have, during the past season, done their work well. Conditions in our commonwealth are not unlike those in many other sections, but, in some respects, we have been singularly blessed, even as in other years when prosperity was at a low ebb.

Reverses have marked the production of some of our leading crops, but these have not been so pronounced as the disadvantages that have resulted from the demoralization of our markets. There has been no let-up in efforts to help the farmer in North Carolina; more thought has been given to the agricultural situation, from an economic standpoint. Many agencies have been employed in the hope that there might be worked out some system whereby conditions could be improved.

Renewed emphasis has been placed upon the importance of producing the real necessities of life, food and feed crops. Ways and means for the expansion and betterment of marketing facilities have been taken into account, not only from a local but from a south-wide standpoint.

Agriculture is being taken more seriously today than in any previous period in our history and we are earnestly endeavoring to secure for it a proper rating as a basic industry, entitling it to the same consideration that has been given other industries. When this is accomplished, and I believe it will be accomplished, then we will witness a change for the better that will justify all efforts that have been exerted.

In this issue of *The Farm Forecaster,* which is the result of much work on the part of those who compiled the data contained therein, are numerous outstanding facts and features, well worth the thought and consideration of those interested in the agricultural advancement of North Carolina.

Harvest time has brought to light many things upon which we should base future calculations and activities. I shall not undertake to review the contents of this issue, but I do take this occasion to express my appreciation of the efforts of those who compiled it and to commend the publication to the farmers of North Carolina for their consideration.

WILLIAM A. GRAHAM
*Commissioner of Agriculture.*

Live at Home - Diversify

TABLE OF CONTENTS



AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS

Your State Department of Agriculture, in cooperating with the National Department, attempts to render a real statistical economics service. Economics simply means "Business." Farm economics relates to the facts concerning crop acreages, yields, stocks, movements, markets and prices. In other words, in our work it deals with the supply and demand features of crops and livestock. No business can be successful that conducts its affairs without a dependable and constant knowledge of present and past facts affecting their affairs. Competition, costs, raw materials, market requirements, standardization, salesmanship and other features are prerequisites to success in any industry. Successful farmers are those who strive to follow business methods. Farm economics reports are available to those really interested. The Agricultural Extension Agents and Vocational Teachers are available to help interpret and to inform concerning these economic facts.

# FARM FORECASTER

**CROP AND LIVE STOCK REPORT FOR NORTH CAROLINA**

W. A. GRAHAM, Commissioner of Agriculture
WILLIAM H. RHODES, Jr., Associate Agricultural Statistician

W. F. CALLANDER, Chairman Crop Reporting Board (U. S.)
FRANK PARKER, Agricultural Statistician

# AGRICULTURAL OUTLOOK

## NOTES FROM NOVEMBER SOUTHERN OUTLOOK CONFERENCE—ATLANTA

"While the demand for Southern farm products is expected to increase during the next year, the credit outlook appears less favorable." It is thought that the "bottom" is about reached. This is based on the low level of industrial activity in comparison with former major depressions.

The present very low prices of raw materials offer such attractions to manufacturers as to warrant increased demand for these. The drought conditions will probably seriously curtail the farmers' credit for 1931 operations. The Carolinas have an advantage in this respect. The northern and western parts of North Carolina had a fair taste of the drought conditions, but nothing to compare with Virginia, Kentucky and Tennessee.

"Tobacco indicates an unfavorable situation for 1931." The stocks are expected to be extremely high just before the markets open next July. The present depression has definitely slowed up the consumption of cigarettes. It was stated that in spite of this the bright leaf tobacco farmers are expected to increase their acreage again. The existing high production and low prices were expected this year. The previous agricultural outlook reports seem either to be ignored or else were not believed by the farmers.

The prospects for a better peach situation are expected in view of the greatly decreased plantings of commercial orchards for the past several years. Strawberries may show a much lower acreage for the 1931 crop.

The "business" depression is said by experts to be responsible for the low prices of cotton and tobacco. Perhaps the ten year "agricultural" depression has finally reached the business interests. Over half of the American people are country folks and those dependent on farmers. These are the greatest cotton wearing consumers. This number having no surplus cash to buy with, it was bound to result in a general depression. With the farmers' "spending" lacking, the demand for manufactured goods was bound to be felt and result in a decline in their demand for raw products. The cure is always harder than the prevention. Farm relief several years ago might have prevented much of the present situation.

The "independent" nature of our farmers is brought on by their isolation. Their lack of study and business methods, practically eliminates the chance of relief through organzation agencies. The only means left is for the individual farmers to use these agencies so as to avoid obvious unprofitable products. The same information indicates good crops to plant or those that promise good returns. This information is available through crop reports.

"The hog situation indicates a smaller number next year. This may mean less grain demand. Truck crops are already overdone. The production has kept steadily ahead of the consumers' demand."

The economists at Atlanta stated on November 14th that "It is absolutely necessary that cotton planters consider some means of readjustment to improve their incomes." This means cheaper production and diversification. Suggested ideas are:

1. Decreasing the cotton acreage on poor soils.
2. It is essential to lower costs in production.
3. Circumstances should determine the alternate crops.
4. Cotton varieties used should be based on the highest income per acre.
5. The local credit and cash resources must be used unusually well.

"Each grower should readjust his farm operations in the light of his opportunities. Credits will be smaller than for several years—so its use must be considered well before spending.

The experts said that "The place of diarying in the South seems best as a supplement to rather than a substitute for the main money crops. Hog producers are apparently offered an opportunity to increase their incomes where pastures and grazing crops are available to reduce the cost of feeding."

"The South is not contributing its share of feed, dairy and poultry products, in spite of ideal climatic conditions for such production."

Farm taxes are expected to remain as a heavy burden on farm lands. These often amount to twenty or more percent of the **gross** farm income. The **net** incomes usually show up as minus quantities—losses.

"The extent of the price depression depends to some extent upon the control that producers exercise over output. The output of most farm products cannot be quickly changed. Growing crops must be harvested and livestock products must be taken when the animals mature. A factory or mine may be stopped at will in contrast. The wholesale price index decline of major groups of products for the past year shows that cotton, grains, feed, livestock and foods (farm products) have averaged more than 20 percent drop. These compare with five percent decrease for fuel, automobiles, fertilizers, farm implements, drugs and house furnishings. With farmers having to pay retail prices, the situation is even worse for them.

The Caledonia State Prison Farm in Halifax County is located in the big bend of the Roanoke River. Approximately 7,000 acres are worked by the 700 prisoners. Big dykes prevent overflow when the river is flooded. The clay loam soil has responded well to good treatment. This farm averaged over 28 bushels of wheat per acre. Almost 400 pounds of lint cotton was averaged—1400 bales. The peanuts averaged about 50 bushels, while corn showed more than 30 bushels per acre. They made good hay, diversified well, provided much meat, vegetables and other food products needed. This is the farm visited last summer by Secretary Arthur Hyde of the national Department of Agriculture.

| DISTRICTS AND COUNTIES | Corn | | Sorghum cane (for sirup) | Potatoes (Irish) late crop | | Sweet Potatoes and Yams | | Tobacco | | Soybeans | Cowpeas | Peanuts | All tame hay | Pasture | Apples | Pears | Pecans | Farm Wages | | | Cotton | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Condition | Probable Yield per Acre | Condition | Condition | Probable yield per acre this year | Condition | Probable yield per acre | Condition | Probable yield per acre | Condition | Condition | Condition | yield per acre | Condition | Condition | Condition | Condition | By month without board | By day with board | By day without board | Condition | Probable yield lint | Percentage of Crop Ginned | Percentage of Crop Picked |
| DISTRICT 1 | % | bu | % | % | bu | % | bu | % | lb | % | % | % | lb | % | % | % | % | $ | $ c | $ c | % | lb | % | % |
| Alleghany | 56 | 12 | 67 | 69 | 99 | 83 | -- | 69 | 600 | 70 | -- | -- | 1,050 | 59 | -- | 12 | -- | 30 | 1.36 | 1.71 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Ashe | 53 | 14 | 58 | -- | 110 | 63 | -- | 73 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 956 | 79 | 35 | 25 | -- | 35 | 1.21 | 1.35 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Avery | 66 | 18 | 78 | 79 | 115 | 75 | 60 | 60 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1,000 | 72 | 44 | -- | -- | 37 | 1.33 | 1.75 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Caldwell | 61 | 21 | 81 | 64 | 63 | 76 | 69 | 75 | -- | 79 | 80 | -- | 1,075 | 82 | 18 | 33 | 75 | 43 | 1.43 | 2.10 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Surry | 41 | 10 | 53 | 55 | 58 | 55 | 39 | 60 | 533 | 60 | 70 | 40 | 850 | 54 | 27 | 15 | 20 | 31 | 1.35 | 1.75 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Watauga | 76 | 29 | 83 | 79 | 98 | 80 | 75 | 88 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1,500 | 82 | 49 | 40 | -- | 40 | 1.31 | 1.63 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Wilkes | 49 | 14 | 70 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 60 | 73 | 528 | 75 | 70 | 75 | 1,380 | 73 | 28 | 23 | 25 | 43 | 1.20 | 1.92 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Yadkin | 77 | 19 | 78 | 70 | 64 | 77 | 50 | 69 | 550 | 54 | 66 | -- | 1,675 | 71 | 21 | 28 | -- | 42 | 1.33 | 1.83 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| **Northern Mountain (NW.)** | **57** | **17** | **70** | **66** | **87** | **68** | **57** | **70** | **547** | **69** | **71** | **68** | **1,091** | **73** | **35** | **23** | **40** | **38** | **1.30** | **1.75** | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| DISTRICT 4—Buncombe | 63 | 21 | 82 | 66 | 71 | 82 | 63 | 75 | 800 | 78 | 75 | -- | 2,000 | 70 | 41 | 38 | -- | 40 | 1.38 | 2.17 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Burke | 53 | 14 | 60 | 63 | 56 | 51 | 33 | -- | -- | 71 | 69 | 62 | 775 | 51 | 26 | 13 | 30 | 37 | 2.00 | 2.50 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Cherokee | 85 | 20 | 80 | 75 | 78 | 79 | 82 | 68 | 725 | 87 | 80 | -- | 1,750 | 75 | 18 | -- | -- | 45 | -- | 1.55 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Clay | 67 | 19 | 61 | 69 | 73 | 73 | 69 | 85 | -- | 80 | 78 | -- | 2,250 | 68 | -- | 13 | -- | 30 | 1.00 | 1.42 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Graham | 75 | 18 | 75 | 84 | 74 | 50 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1,000 | 50 | 45 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Haywood | 85 | 30 | 83 | 66 | 60 | 90 | 50 | 80 | 713 | 50 | 50 | -- | 1,150 | 85 | 45 | -- | -- | -- | 1.50 | 1.63 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Henderson | 52 | 18 | 62 | 49 | 54 | 72 | 81 | 66 | -- | 63 | 50 | -- | 1,500 | 63 | 38 | 12 | -- | 40 | 1.33 | 1.88 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Jackson | 73 | 20 | 73 | 80 | 80 | 85 | 75 | 50 | -- | 73 | -- | -- | 2,000 | 85 | 35 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1.55 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| McDowell | 66 | 16 | 74 | 71 | 70 | 59 | 74 | -- | -- | 80 | 70 | -- | 1,633 | 70 | 25 | 14 | -- | 38 | 1.25 | 2.17 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Macon | 76 | 18 | 83 | 69 | 73 | 81 | 73 | 70 | 450 | 89 | -- | -- | 2,500 | 71 | 37 | 28 | -- | 29 | .95 | 1.44 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Madison | 65 | 25 | 77 | 80 | 93 | 66 | 84 | 71 | 879 | -- | 60 | -- | 1,467 | 78 | 59 | 43 | -- | 30 | 1.10 | 1.38 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Mitchell | 74 | 27 | 82 | 80 | 91 | 82 | 96 | 87 | 550 | 70 | -- | -- | 1,700 | 61 | 54 | 20 | -- | 43 | 1.27 | 1.92 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Polk | 70 | 20 | 65 | 70 | 68 | 50 | 60 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 30 | 30 | -- | 40 | 1.00 | 1.50 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Rutherford | 62 | 13 | 74 | 63 | 63 | 56 | 62 | -- | -- | 57 | 52 | 73 | 2,000 | 56 | 17 | 21 | -- | 24 | .85 | 1.11 | 56 | 234 | 43 | 52 |
| Swain | 76 | 20 | 78 | 78 | 79 | 84 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Transylvania | 85 | 30 | 90 | 68 | 65 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 90 | -- | -- | 1,000 | 90 | 32 | -- | -- | -- | 1.00 | 1.50 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Yancey | 76 | 23 | 83 | 81 | 100 | 75 | 75 | 77 | 733 | -- | 60 | -- | 1,000 | 73 | 45 | 25 | -- | -- | 1.75 | 1.75 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| **Western Mountain (W.)** | **66** | **20** | **75** | **71** | **74** | **67** | **70** | **73** | **706** | **74** | **62** | **68** | **1,507** | **68** | **38** | **26** | **30** | **36** | **1.19** | **1.65** | **56** | **234** | **43** | **52** |
| DISTRICT 2—Alamance | 73 | 18 | 90 | 40 | 40 | 76 | 55 | 78 | 583 | 73 | 71 | 70 | 970 | 67 | 18 | 25 | -- | 32 | 1.19 | 1.50 | 61 | 185 | 4 | 22 |
| Caswell | 72 | 17 | 74 | 75 | 50 | 75 | 63 | 74 | 540 | 59 | 59 | -- | 2,000 | 62 | 37 | 35 | -- | 29 | 1.38 | 1.88 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Durham | 73 | -- | 76 | 58 | -- | 69 | -- | -- | -- | 74 | -- | -- | 1,980 | -- | 15 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1.38 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Forsyth | 73 | 22 | 82 | 72 | 75 | 68 | 92 | 77 | 669 | 73 | 63 | 85 | 1,660 | 53 | 29 | 38 | -- | 45 | 1.70 | 2.05 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Franklin | 55 | 14 | 45 | 42 | 86 | 61 | 97 | 72 | 563 | 65 | 60 | 78 | 1,975 | 61 | 25 | 19 | 58 | 27 | .96 | 1.20 | 53 | 160 | 19 | 31 |
| Granville | 78 | 17 | 72 | 45 | -- | 80 | 83 | 78 | 608 | 84 | 80 | 70 | 1,800 | 63 | 50 | 28 | 56 | 31 | 1.13 | 1.38 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Guilford | 65 | 18 | 68 | 40 | 50 | 56 | 45 | 74 | 567 | 72 | 72 | -- | 850 | 52 | 25 | 8 | 80 | 50 | -- | 1.50 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Orange | 87 | 21 | 86 | 68 | -- | 69 | 55 | 75 | 580 | 77 | 60 | -- | 2,000 | 57 | 10 | 5 | -- | 30 | 1.05 | 1.58 | 45 | 175 | 1 | 8 |
| Person | 60 | 20 | 75 | 64 | 39 | 68 | 81 | 67 | 575 | 69 | 56 | -- | 2,000 | 62 | 55 | 13 | 13 | 40 | 1.31 | 2.17 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Rockingham | 64 | 13 | 73 | 53 | 50 | 72 | 105 | 74 | 650 | 63 | 72 | -- | 1,050 | 80 | 39 | 13 | -- | 39 | 1.75 | 2.17 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Stokes | 50 | 8 | 60 | 45 | 70 | 63 | 100 | 58 | 533 | 58 | 40 | -- | 1,500 | 45 | 17 | 12 | -- | 40 | 1.70 | 2.00 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Vance | 65 | 15 | -- | 40 | -- | 60 | 79 | 88 | 550 | 50 | -- | -- | 1,250 | 60 | 50 | 40 | -- | -- | 1.50 | 1.28 | 51 | 135 | 10 | 20 |
| Warren | 59 | 11 | 59 | 38 | -- | 55 | 65 | 74 | 509 | 60 | 59 | 44 | 1,000 | 55 | 32 | 20 | 45 | 25 | 1.00 | 1.25 | 53 | 156 | 32 | 40 |
| **Northern Piedmont (N.)** | **67** | **17** | **72** | **48** | **51** | **66** | **81** | **73** | **577** | **68** | **62** | **63** | **1,861** | **60** | **27** | **21** | **47** | **35** | **1.29** | **1.69** | **53** | **164** | **19** | **30** |
| DISTRICT 5—Alexander | 58 | 14 | 75 | 76 | 78 | 75 | 50 | 71 | 550 | 86 | 81 | 75 | 1,500 | 80 | 48 | 45 | -- | -- | 1.00 | -- | 65 | 183 | 15 | 20 |
| Catawba | 68 | 19 | 78 | 74 | 76 | 70 | 97 | -- | -- | 90 | 75 | 78 | 2,000 | 80 | 43 | 34 | -- | 30 | -- | -- | 75 | 197 | 30 | 42 |
| Chatham | 79 | 17 | 71 | 65 | 53 | 67 | 58 | 89 | 592 | 81 | 62 | 77 | 1,671 | 64 | 21 | 14 | -- | 29 | 1.00 | 1.27 | 65 | 164 | 6 | 14 |
| Davidson | 77 | 20 | 83 | 60 | 53 | 79 | 103 | 88 | 725 | 75 | 78 | 77 | 2,200 | 79 | 29 | 34 | 38 | 34 | 1.40 | 2.06 | 68 | 242 | 4 | 10 |
| Davie | 72 | -- | -- | 64 | 77 | -- | 89 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1,800 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 65 | 165 | 27 | 35 |
| Iredell | 66 | 15 | 70 | 62 | 82 | 71 | 81 | 68 | 850 | 75 | 68 | 75 | 1,580 | 56 | 36 | 40 | -- | 28 | 1.13 | 1.67 | 66 | 173 | 25 | 35 |
| Lee | 71 | 24 | 82 | 55 | 40 | 77 | 86 | 90 | 817 | 85 | 67 | 40 | 2,250 | 70 | 37 | 43 | 50 | 30 | .88 | 1.25 | 65 | 245 | 15 | 27 |
| Randolph | 83 | 24 | 79 | 69 | 70 | 67 | 78 | 82 | 550 | 72 | 70 | 60 | 875 | 68 | 13 | 9 | -- | 36 | 1.30 | 1.79 | 70 | 136 | 1 | 10 |
| Rowan | 69 | 18 | 65 | 53 | 56 | 73 | 98 | 60 | 700 | 73 | 76 | 69 | 1,986 | 60 | 34 | 43 | -- | 43 | 1.35 | 1.45 | 65 | 211 | 24 | 34 |
| Wake | 75 | 16 | 73 | 50 | 38 | 69 | 87 | 83 | 706 | 76 | 73 | 77 | 1,850 | 64 | 28 | 30 | 47 | 28 | .95 | 1.24 | 60 | 189 | 19 | 25 |
| **Central Piedmont (C)** | **73** | **18** | **75** | **62** | **65** | **71** | **86** | **82** | **660** | **77** | **71** | **72** | **1,797** | **66** | **32** | **33** | **47** | **32** | **1.02** | **1.50** | **67** | **189** | **16** | **24** |
| DISTRICT 8—Anson | 76 | 17 | 79 | 69 | 60 | 69 | 97 | -- | -- | 76 | 55 | 62 | 1,500 | 58 | 20 | 15 | 52 | 23 | .81 | 1.04 | 63 | 176 | 33 | 44 |
| Cabarrus | 95 | 22 | 89 | 72 | 83 | 79 | -- | -- | -- | 87 | -- | 90 | 2,600 | 85 | 50 | -- | -- | 25 | .75 | 1.00 | 60 | 187 | 35 | 43 |
| Cleveland | 62 | 16 | 73 | 55 | 58 | 67 | 90 | -- | -- | 75 | 62 | 77 | 2,000 | 59 | 38 | 38 | 60 | 26 | .82 | 1.18 | 64 | 250 | 30 | 37 |
| Gaston | 68 | 16 | 75 | 50 | 98 | 75 | 81 | -- | -- | 71 | 75 | 60 | 2,000 | 61 | 36 | 31 | 62 | 27 | 1.12 | 1.30 | 68 | 189 | 30 | 44 |
| Lincoln | 64 | 13 | 77 | 50 | 73 | 67 | 71 | -- | -- | 75 | 75 | 68 | 1,250 | 50 | 36 | 37 | -- | 29 | 1.25 | 1.55 | 62 | 197 | 41 | 47 |
| Mecklenburg | 77 | 17 | 79 | 58 | 81 | 87 | 88 | -- | -- | 83 | 80 | 66 | 1,325 | 58 | 20 | 39 | 54 | 29 | 1.05 | 1.25 | 88 | 207 | 19 | 26 |
| Montgomery | 87 | 16 | 88 | 71 | 80 | 80 | 101 | 85 | 612 | 84 | 75 | -- | 1,850 | 73 | 52 | 42 | 90 | 30 | .83 | 1.35 | 72 | 209 | 11 | 19 |
| Moore | 81 | 23 | 79 | 70 | 62 | 73 | 66 | 78 | 557 | 83 | 79 | -- | 2,150 | 57 | 24 | 40 | 40 | 27 | 1.00 | 1.31 | 68 | 206 | 16 | 20 |
| Richmond | 81 | 18 | 76 | 72 | 84 | 76 | 95 | 82 | 750 | 81 | 92 | 72 | 1,500 | 51 | 45 | 47 | 45 | 25 | .75 | .88 | 65 | 172 | 64 | 72 |
| Stanly | 88 | 29 | 93 | 78 | 98 | 82 | 108 | -- | -- | 78 | 71 | 75 | 1,920 | 71 | 32 | 20 | -- | 30 | 1.00 | 1.33 | 72 | 242 | 22 | 32 |
| Union | 77 | 24 | 93 | 79 | 89 | 84 | 86 | -- | 900 | 85 | 67 | 70 | 2,000 | 73 | 32 | 40 | -- | 27 | .94 | 1.12 | 67 | 192 | 21 | 28 |
| **Southern Piedmont (S)** | **77** | **19** | **82** | **60** | **85** | **76** | **89** | **80** | **633** | **80** | **72** | **72** | **1,796** | **63** | **36** | **34** | **55** | **27** | **.94** | **1.20** | **67** | **209** | **27** | **35** |
| DISTRICT 3—Bertie | 73 | 25 | 53 | 37 | 72 | 46 | 45 | 69 | 679 | 67 | 48 | 61 | ---- | 40 | 27 | 18 | 44 | 30 | 1.09 | 1.12 | 55 | 191 | 20 | 32 |
| Camden | 90 | 18 | -- | -- | -- | 75 | -- | -- | -- | 85 | 80 | -- | ---- | 60 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1.50 | 55 | 225 | 10 | 27 |
| Chowan | 74 | 22 | 95 | -- | -- | 57 | 57 | 67 | 567 | 72 | -- | 60 | 1,000 | 40 | 45 | 40 | 50 | 27 | 1.00 | 1.25 | 70 | 150 | 23 | 35 |
| Currituck | 80 | 20 | -- | -- | 40 | 75 | 60 | -- | -- | 60 | -- | -- | 1,510 | 50 | -- | -- | -- | 50 | 1.50 | 2.00 | 80 | 269 | 0 | 40 |
| Dare | 95 | 22 | -- | -- | -- | 100 | 150 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 2,000 | 90 | -- | -- | -- | 50 | 2.00 | 2.50 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Edgecombe | 70 | 15 | 74 | 58 | -- | 60 | 75 | 40 | 653 | 90 | -- | 75 | 1,000 | -- | 45 | -- | 53 | -- | -- | 1.15 | 52 | 181 | 27 | 44 |
| Gates | 80 | 22 | 72 | -- | -- | 50 | -- | -- | -- | 62 | 65 | 45 | ---- | 42 | -- | -- | -- | 30 | 1.25 | 1.75 | 74 | 129 | 21 | 42 |
| Halifax | 59 | 22 | 48 | 33 | 45 | 59 | 48 | 75 | 608 | 51 | 47 | 46 | 1,433 | 46 | 29 | 17 | 36 | 27 | .97 | 1.22 | 55 | 177 | 30 | 39 |
| Hertford | 73 | 20 | 56 | -- | 50 | 63 | 42 | 77 | 650 | 60 | 60 | 57 | ---- | 40 | 50 | 50 | 60 | 25 | 1.00 | 1.37 | 57 | 203 | 7 | 8 |
| Martin | 75 | 16 | 80 | 42 | -- | 50 | 100 | 70 | 650 | 67 | -- | 50 | ---- | 40 | -- | -- | -- | 30 | 1.25 | 1.50 | 60 | 175 | 30 | 40 |
| Nash | 81 | 26 | 85 | 60 | -- | 67 | 84 | 81 | 671 | 70 | 67 | 75 | 1,375 | 39 | 41 | 39 | 45 | 22 | .83 | 1.03 | 58 | 171 | 25 | 35 |
| Northampton | 58 | 19 | 49 | 34 | -- | 46 | 55 | -- | -- | 51 | 38 | 50 | ---- | 27 | 35 | 15 | 55 | 35 | 1.00 | 1.37 | 52 | 216 | 22 | 27 |
| Pasquotank | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 82 | -- | -- | ---- | 53 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 58 | 180 | 10 | 15 |
| Perquimans | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 73 | -- | -- | ---- | 48 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 72 | -- | -- | -- |
| Tyrrell | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | ---- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Washington | 75 | 23 | -- | -- | -- | 50 | -- | 90 | 600 | 60 | -- | 50 | ---- | -- | -- | 10 | 50 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| **Northern Coastal (NE.)** | **69** | **23** | **60** | **39** | **61** | **58** | **60** | **75** | **620** | **64** | **54** | **54** | **1,326** | **41** | **37** | **24** | **47** | **28** | **1.02** | **1.28** | **57** | **188** | **24** | **34** |
| DISTRICT 6—Beaufort | 88 | 30 | 85 | 60 | 48 | 62 | 88 | 80 | 650 | 83 | 75 | 73 | 2,000 | 65 | 45 | 15 | 60 | 25 | | 1.50 | 73 | 214 | 12 | 18 |
| Carteret | 80 | 17 | -- | -- | -- | 80 | 150 | 80 | -- | 60 | -- | 70 | 1,500 | 60 | -- | -- | 60 | 45 | 1.50 | 2.00 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Craven | 85 | 30 | 84 | -- | -- | 85 | 100 | 75 | 600 | 80 | 65 | 75 | 1,800 | 75 | 20 | 30 | 35 | 48 | -- | .75 | 80 | 200 | 0 | 25 |
| Greene | 74 | 20 | 71 | 51 | 53 | 77 | 109 | 62 | 708 | 77 | 71 | 75 | 1,700 | 80 | 50 | 58 | 52 | 30 | 1.06 | 1.38 | 72 | 231 | 29 | 42 |
| Hyde | 74 | 24 | -- | -- | -- | 100 | 160 | -- | -- | 64 | -- | -- | 2,500 | 66 | 53 | 45 | 53 | 50 | 1.42 | 1.75 | 67 | 247 | 2 | 10 |
| Johnston | 87 | 24 | 78 | 50 | 43 | 70 | 75 | 75 | 665 | 65 | 69 | 59 | 1,350 | 47 | 53 | 54 | 60 | 27 | .72 | 1.17 | 65 | 232 | 30 | 42 |
| Jones | 93 | 24 | 85 | -- | -- | 93 | 156 | 85 | 740 | 78 | -- | 75 | 1,250 | 78 | 12 | 50 | 55 | 45 | 1.00 | 1.38 | 78 | 258 | 12 | 32 |
| Lenoir | 82 | 19 | 86 | 90 | 79 | 79 | 102 | 82 | 821 | 86 | 92 | 87 | 1,000 | 77 | 57 | 45 | 67 | 34 | 1.04 | 1.33 | 70 | 266 | 14 | 41 |
| Pamlico | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 110 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Pitt | 77 | 19 | 90 | 57 | 30 | 61 | 80 | 75 | 650 | 69 | 43 | 50 | 2,000 | 55 | 50 | 50 | 72 | 40 | 1.00 | 1.33 | 57 | 258 | 32 | 55 |
| Wayne | 79 | 18 | 90 | 53 | 36 | 78 | 113 | 82 | 721 | 79 | 65 | 77 | 1,200 | 61 | 40 | 53 | 61 | 32 | 1.00 | 1.33 | 68 | 234 | 36 | 46 |
| Wilson | 72 | 19 | 87 | 54 | -- | 60 | 81 | 76 | 682 | 75 | 73 | 55 | 1,150 | 54 | 46 | 41 | 51 | 29 | 1.14 | 1.33 | 64 | 205 | 19 | 27 |
| **Central Coastal (E)** | **79** | **26** | **82** | **57** | **47** | **72** | **94** | **76** | **708** | **74** | **71** | **66** | **1,539** | **62** | **45** | **49** | **59** | **33** | **1.04** | **1.33** | **68** | **232** | **24** | **37** |
| DISTRICT 9—Bladen | 84 | 20 | 84 | 65 | 40 | 71 | 104 | 74 | 672 | 83 | 71 | 71 | 1,600 | 71 | 45 | 38 | 59 | 32 | .91 | 1.19 | 65 | 299 | 23 | 37 |
| Brunswick | 88 | 26 | 90 | -- | -- | 76 | 89 | 90 | 850 | 92 | 78 | 84 | 1,500 | 63 | 70 | 40 | 65 | 45 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 75 | 283 | 5 | 70 |
| Columbus | 86 | 26 | 73 | -- | -- | 73 | 95 | 78 | 740 | 78 | 76 | 78 | 2,067 | 78 | 40 | 50 | 39 | 33 | .87 | 1.29 | 89 | 355 | 22 | 50 |
| Cumberland | 78 | 29 | 72 | 40 | -- | 82 | 106 | 65 | 600 | 60 | 63 | 80 | 1,500 | 75 | -- | 40 | 40 | -- | .95 | 1.00 | 64 | 200 | 33 | 41 |
| Duplin | 90 | 26 | 82 | 43 | 35 | 74 | 137 | 86 | 725 | 84 | 87 | 72 | 1,200 | 74 | 60 | 48 | 70 | 23 | .90 | 1.18 | 80 | 289 | 31 | 48 |
| Harnett | 71 | 18 | 77 | 60 | 67 | 73 | 98 | 80 | 705 | 76 | 75 | 77 | 2,350 | 68 | 44 | 56 | 69 | 30 | 1.15 | 1.61 | 75 | 263 | 39 | 44 |
| Hoke | 67 | 18 | 80 | -- | -- | 62 | 93 | 74 | 710 | 80 | 60 | 65 | 1,250 | 68 | 40 | 48 | 68 | 28 | .72 | 1.17 | 62 | 223 | 46 | 47 |
| New Hanover | 90 | 30 | 85 | 60 | 50 | 85 | 85 | -- | -- | 95 | 87 | 83 | 3,000 | 90 | -- | -- | 75 | 45 | -- | 1.83 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Onslow | 86 | 26 | 87 | 85 | 82 | 69 | 140 | 80 | 693 | 73 | 42 | 71 | 1,433 | 67 | 60 | 45 | 50 | -- | -- | 1.25 | 60 | 152 | 4 | 14 |
| Pender | 80 | 24 | 95 | -- | -- | 85 | 150 | 90 | -- | 90 | 95 | 90 | ---- | 90 | -- | -- | 68 | 30 | 1.00 | 1.25 | 78 | 295 | 33 | 55 |
| Robeson | 72 | 19 | 67 | -- | -- | 68 | 87 | 74 | 719 | 73 | 66 | 73 | 1,308 | 62 | 30 | 23 | 52 | 26 | .97 | 1.26 | 67 | 263 | 29 | 37 |
| Sampson | 81 | 18 | 79 | -- | -- | 76 | 88 | 78 | 662 | 79 | 65 | 73 | 1,500 | 69 | 55 | 55 | 60 | 20 | .77 | 1.61 | 69 | 225 | 41 | 45 |
| Scotland | 62 | 16 | 75 | | | 75 | 120 | 80 | 760 | 75 | 60 | 66 | 1,600 | 75 | | | 47 | | | 1.03 | 75 | 280 | 35 | 36 |
| **Southern Coastal (SE)** | **79** | **22** | **77** | **55** | **61** | **72** | **105** | **77** | **712** | **79** | **70** | **73** | **1,627** | **71** | **49** | **46** | **56** | **29** | **.93** | **1.27** | **69** | **243** | **30** | **40** |
| **STATE** | **74** | **20** | **75** | **64** | **82** | **70** | **94** | **76** | **685** | **70** | **67** | **57** | **1,700** | **67** | **35** | **30** | **54** | **31** | **1.10** | **1.45** | **63** | **242** | **24** | **27** |

# NUMBER OF FARMS IN NORTH CAROLINA

## UNITED STATES CENSUS

| COUNTY | 1930 | 1925 | | | 1920 | 1910 | | Increase 1925-1930 | | Increase 1920-1930 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Number Farms | Number Farms | Acres Per Farm | All Farm Population | Number Farms | Number Farms | Acres Per Farm | Number Farms | Per Cent | Number Farms | Per Cent |
| Alamance | 2,628 | 3,161 | 74.1 | 13,985 | 2,705 | 2,508 | 100.7 | — 533 | —16.9 | — 77 | — 2.8 |
| Alexander | 1,686 | 1,860 | 78.1 | 9,453 | 1,921 | 1,924 | 83.1 | — 174 | — 9.4 | — 235 | —12.2 |
| Alleghany | 1,386 | 1,438 | 93.8 | 6,526 | 1,409 | 1,466 | 102.3 | — 52 | — 3.6 | — 23 | — 1.6 |
| Anson | 3,173 | 3,567 | 68.2 | 19,896 | 3,706 | 3,332 | 87.0 | — 394 | —11.0 | — 533 | —14.4 |
| Ashe | 3,720 | 3,576 | 70.1 | 18,345 | 3,407 | 3,215 | 83.0 | 144 | 4.0 | 313 | 9.2 |
| Avery | 1,415 | 1,463 | 62.8 | 7,824 | 1,315 | | | — 48 | — 3.3 | 100 | 7.6 |
| Beaufort | 3,427 | 3,711 | 51.2 | 17,558 | 3,228 | 2,951 | 84.4 | — 284 | — 7.7 | 199 | 6.2 |
| Bertie | 3,472 | 3,444 | 67.3 | 18,011 | 3,340 | 3,183 | 88.7 | 28 | .8 | 132 | 4 0 |
| Bladen | 2,669 | 2,749 | 81.7 | 13,748 | 2,452 | 2,495 | 115.3 | — 80 | — 2.9 | 217 | 8.8 |
| Brunswick | 1,520 | 1,358 | 104.0 | 7,165 | 1,417 | 1,666 | 160.9 | 162 | 11.9 | 103 | 7.3 |
| Buncombe | 3,892 | 3,798 | 62.5 | 17,570 | 3,701 | 4,145 | 101.3 | 94 | 2.5 | 191 | 5 2 |
| Burke | 2,138 | 2,474 | 67.5 | 12,667 | 2,194 | 2,569 | 86.8 | — 336 | —13.6 | — 56 | — 2.6 |
| Cabarrus | 2,370 | 2,672 | 72.7 | 13,575 | 2,427 | 2,374 | 87.4 | — 302 | —11.3 | — 57 | — 2 3 |
| Caldwell | 2,083 | 2,170 | 87.3 | 11,208 | 1,972 | 2,548 | 85.7 | — 87 | — 4.0 | 111 | 5.6 |
| Camden | 722 | 938 | 59.2 | 4,236 | 875 | 821 | 73.1 | — 216 | —23.0 | — 153 | —17 5 |
| Carteret | 839 | 934 | 55.0 | 4,712 | 858 | 982 | 78.6 | — 95 | —10.2 | — 19 | — 2.2 |
| Caswell | 2,934 | 2,274 | 99.4 | 13,497 | 2,558 | 2,002 | 131.8 | 660 | 29.0 | 376 | 14.7 |
| Catawba | 3,103 | 3,086 | 71.0 | 16,039 | 2,916 | 3,199 | 75.3 | 17 | .6 | 187 | 6.4 |
| Chatham | 3,195 | 3,539 | 90.7 | 17,978 | 3,741 | 3,646 | 104.1 | — 344 | — 9.7 | — 546 | —14 6 |
| Cherokee | 1,955 | 2,227 | 95.6 | 11,121 | 1,903 | 1,912 | 105.5 | — 272 | —12.2 | 52 | 2.7 |
| Chowan | 1,104 | 1,261 | 44.2 | 6,128 | 1,028 | 983 | 75.9 | — 157 | —12.5 | 76 | 7.4 |
| Clay | 898 | 859 | 70.1 | 4,298 | 808 | 762 | 108.4 | 39 | 4.5 | 90 | 11.1 |
| Cleveland | 5,178 | 4,670 | 55.8 | 23,805 | 4,016 | 4,032 | 65.2 | 508 | 10.9 | 1,162 | 28.9 |
| Columbus | 4,193 | 3,924 | 57.4 | 19,826 | 3,580 | 3,394 | 98.2 | 269 | 6.9 | 613 | 17.1 |
| Craven | 2,106 | 2,124 | 67.5 | 10,275 | 2,598 | 2,098 | 96.4 | — 18 | — .8 | — 492 | —18.9 |
| Cumberland | 2,841 | 3,151 | 58.4 | 16,632 | 3,100 | 3,463 | 117.7 | — 310 | — 9.8 | — 259 | — 8.4 |
| Currituck | 762 | 873 | 66.2 | 4,185 | 984 | 932 | 93.7 | — 111 | —12.7 | — 222 | —22.6 |
| Dare | 51 | 79 | 65.7 | 354 | 77 | 136 | 53.2 | — 28 | —35.4 | — 26 | —33.8 |
| Davidson | 3,503 | 4,022 | 75.4 | 18,692 | 3,770 | 3,505 | 94.5 | — 519 | —12.9 | — 267 | — 7.1 |
| Davie | 1,741 | 1,869 | 77.3 | 8,802 | 1,768 | 1,794 | 86.7 | — 128 | — 6.8 | — 27 | — 1.5 |
| Duplin | 4,971 | 4,495 | 63.0 | 22,210 | 4,686 | 3,847 | 102.1 | 476 | 10.6 | 285 | 6.1 |
| Durham | 1,649 | 1,700 | 70.7 | 8,795 | 1,769 | 1,616 | 85.0 | — 51 | — 3.0 | — 120 | — 6.8 |
| Edgecombe | 3,998 | 3,953 | 53.7 | 22,418 | 3,840 | 2,929 | 96.6 | 45 | 1.1 | 158 | 4.1 |
| Forsyth | 3,342 | 3,239 | 63.4 | 15,668 | 2,849 | 2,647 | 83.8 | 103 | 3.2 | 493 | 17.3 |
| Franklin | 4,204 | 4,290 | 47.4 | 20,324 | 4,226 | 3,567 | 72.4 | — 86 | — 2.0 | — 22 | — .5 |
| Gaston | 2,551 | 2,493 | 65.6 | 13,500 | 2,339 | 2,859 | 69.9 | 58 | 2.3 | 212 | 9.1 |
| Gates | 1,400 | 1,584 | 65.2 | 8,034 | 1,583 | 1,439 | 95.0 | — 184 | —11.6 | — 183 | —11.6 |
| Graham | 692 | 642 | 80.3 | 2,726 | 746 | 770 | 102.4 | 50 | 7.8 | — 54 | — 7.2 |
| Granville | 3,738 | 4,065 | 69.3 | 19,070 | 3,503 | 3,259 | 96.4 | — 327 | — 8.0 | 235 | 6.7 |
| Greene | 3,260 | 2,825 | 38.3 | 13,187 | 2,740 | 2,193 | 60.2 | 435 | 15.4 | 520 | 19.0 |
| Guilford | 3,866 | 4,067 | 79.7 | 20,546 | 4,021 | 3,776 | 97.1 | — 201 | — 4.9 | — 155 | — 3.9 |
| Halifax | 4,570 | 4,858 | 63.9 | 27,849 | 4,671 | 4,295 | 81.9 | — 288 | — 5.9 | — 101 | — 2 2 |
| Harnett | 3,939 | 3,219 | 61.8 | 17,863 | 3,378 | 2,710 | 90.8 | 720 | 22.4 | 561 | 16.6 |
| Haywood | 2,121 | 2,175 | 87.1 | 12,271 | 2,074 | 2,125 | 91.3 | — 54 | — 2.5 | 47 | 2.3 |
| Henderson | 1,980 | 1,957 | 63.6 | 9,195 | 1,973 | 2,169 | 86.1 | 23 | 1.2 | 7 | .4 |
| Hertford | 2,117 | 2,212 | 65.8 | 10,976 | 2,084 | 2,258 | 81.5 | — 95 | — 4.3 | 33 | — 1.6 |
| Hoke | 1,886 | 2,127 | 65.9 | 10,575 | 1,496 | | | — 241 | —11.3 | 390 | 26.1 |
| Hyde | 1,023 | 1,248 | 55.8 | 6,212 | 1,148 | 1,341 | 63.9 | — 225 | —18.0 | — 125 | —10.9 |
| Iredell | 3,970 | 4,135 | 76.5 | 20,928 | 4,115 | 3,967 | 88.7 | — 165 | — 4.0 | — 145 | — 3 5 |
| Jackson | 2,114 | 2,162 | 72.1 | 11,028 | 1,852 | 1,897 | 86.0 | — 48 | — 2.2 | 262 | 14.1 |
| Johnston | 7,512 | 7,515 | 50.2 | 36,505 | 7,026 | 6,022 | 74.7 | — 3 | * | 486 | 6.9 |
| Jones | 1,531 | 1,408 | 83.4 | 7,569 | 1,540 | 1,367 | 125.0 | 123 | 8.7 | — 9 | — .6 |
| Lee | 1,621 | 1,593 | 72.5 | 8,689 | 1,465 | 1,272 | 94.1 | 28 | 1.8 | 156 | 10.6 |
| Lenoir | 3,765 | 3,353 | 50.3 | 15,728 | 3,162 | 2,423 | 88.8 | 412 | 12.3 | 603 | 19.1 |
| Lincoln | 2,608 | 2,343 | 66.8 | 12,512 | 2,063 | 2,244 | 77.1 | 265 | 11.3 | 545 | 26.4 |
| McDowell | 1,265 | 1,526 | 84.2 | 7,675 | 1,435 | 1,624 | 207.4 | — 261 | —17.1 | — 170 | —11.8 |
| Macon | 1,844 | 1,865 | 86.9 | 9,408 | 1,925 | 1,944 | 105.7 | — 21 | — 1.1 | — 81 | — 4.2 |
| Madison | 3,271 | 3,072 | 74.7 | 14,933 | 2,935 | 3,273 | 78.5 | 199 | 6.5 | 336 | 11 4 |
| Martin | 2,654 | 2,763 | 61.8 | 14,707 | 2,515 | 2,134 | 97.1 | — 109 | — 3.9 | 139 | 5.5 |
| Mecklenburg | 3,753 | 4,013 | 68.5 | 22,394 | 4,344 | 4,439 | 71.7 | — 260 | — 6.5 | — 591 | —13.6 |
| Mitchell | 1,888 | 1,622 | 59.6 | 7,840 | 1,543 | 2,486 | 70.4 | 266 | 16.4 | 345 | 22.4 |
| Montgomery | 1,474 | 1,817 | 89.3 | 9,379 | 1,657 | 1,671 | 122.1 | — 343 | —18.9 | — 183 | —11.0 |
| Moore | 2,058 | 2,135 | 94.8 | 11,804 | 2,176 | 1,825 | 128.5 | — 77 | — 3.6 | — 118 | — 5.4 |
| Nash | 5,826 | 6,007 | 43.0 | 30,022 | 4,451 | 4,194 | 67.4 | — 181 | — 3.0 | 1,375 | 30.9 |
| New Hanover | 278 | 368 | 47.6 | 1,873 | 323 | 420 | 85.1 | — 90 | —24.5 | — 45 | —13.9 |
| Northampton | 3,877 | 3,793 | 48.9 | 19,011 | 3,501 | 3,441 | 80.3 | 84 | 2.2 | 376 | 10.7 |
| Onslow | 2,395 | 2,165 | 92.3 | 11,118 | 2,179 | 2,061 | 124.3 | 230 | 10.6 | 216 | 9.9 |
| Orange | 1,868 | 2,082 | 91.2 | 11,436 | 2,183 | 1,967 | 107.2 | — 214 | —10.3 | — 315 | —14.4 |
| Pamlico | 887 | 1,191 | 69.4 | 5,406 | 1,316 | 1,082 | 83.2 | — 304 | —25.5 | — 429 | —32.6 |
| Pasquotank | 1,178 | 1,530 | 55.9 | 7,489 | 1,360 | 1,264 | 65.1 | — 352 | —23.0 | — 182 | —13.4 |
| Pender | 1,984 | 2,020 | 81.1 | 9,892 | 1,886 | 1,983 | 134.6 | — 36 | — 1.8 | 98 | 5.2 |
| Perquimans | 1,220 | 1,496 | 54.1 | 6,885 | 1,462 | 1,319 | 76.7 | — 276 | —18.4 | — 242 | —16.6 |
| Person | 2,996 | 2,804 | 76.1 | 14,310 | 2,787 | 2,365 | 100.5 | 192 | 6.8 | 209 | 7.5 |
| Pitt | 6,769 | 6,228 | 39.9 | 35,415 | 5,937 | 4,696 | 73.1 | 541 | 8.7 | 832 | 14.0 |
| Polk | 1,228 | 1,225 | 79.8 | 5,952 | 1,200 | 1,166 | 95.8 | 3 | .2 | 28 | 2.3 |
| Randolph | 3,988 | 4,241 | 96.5 | 21,649 | 3,871 | 4,011 | 108.0 | — 253 | — 6.0 | 117 | 3.0 |
| Richmond | 1,729 | 2,384 | 65.1 | 13,527 | 1,797 | 1,621 | 108.3 | — 655 | —27.5 | — 68 | — 3.8 |
| Robeson | 7,673 | 7,048 | 45.1 | 39,027 | 6,564 | 6,450 | 73.5 | 625 | 8.9 | 1,109 | 16.9 |
| Rockingham | 4,190 | 3,846 | 76.5 | 18,300 | 3,664 | 3,189 | 103.0 | 344 | 8.9 | 526 | 14.4 |
| Rowan | 3,225 | 3,557 | 73.4 | 18,924 | 3,474 | 3,241 | 89.2 | — 332 | — 9.3 | — 249 | — 7.2 |
| Rutherford | 3,788 | 3,510 | 67.3 | 18,718 | 3,625 | 3,447 | 80.0 | 278 | 7.9 | 163 | 4.5 |
| Sampson | 6,102 | 5,906 | 53.6 | 28,746 | 5,771 | 4,577 | 107.4 | 196 | 3.3 | 331 | 5.7 |
| Scotland | 1,896 | 2,210 | 47.5 | 12,256 | 1,830 | 1,489 | 83.9 | — 314 | —14.2 | 66 | 3.6 |
| Stanly | 2,404 | 2,485 | 80.6 | 13,251 | 2,519 | 2,445 | 90.7 | — 81 | — 3.3 | — 115 | — 4.6 |
| Stokes | 3,824 | 3,473 | 70.8 | 17,724 | 3,372 | 3,357 | 81.7 | 351 | 10.1 | 452 | 13.4 |
| Surry | 4,324 | 4,563 | 62.0 | 22,748 | 4,065 | 4,187 | 72.1 | — 239 | — 5.2 | 259 | 6.4 |
| Swain | 1,174 | 1,370 | 79.4 | 7,328 | 1,264 | 1,383 | 91.9 | — 196 | —14.3 | — 90 | — 7.1 |
| Transylvania | 728 | 1,023 | 86.5 | 4,738 | 799 | 892 | 121.0 | — 295 | —28.8 | — 71 | — 8.9 |
| Tyrrell | 540 | 675 | 63.9 | 3,347 | 643 | 698 | 80.8 | — 135 | —20.0 | — 103 | —16.0 |
| Union | 5,439 | 4,991 | 65.4 | 26,588 | 4,820 | 4,856 | 74.1 | 448 | 9.0 | 619 | 12.8 |
| Vance | 2,391 | 2,263 | 64.8 | 11,347 | 2,036 | 2,021 | 77.6 | 128 | 5.7 | 355 | 17.4 |
| Wake | 6,475 | 6,604 | 59.7 | 33,562 | 6,804 | 6,137 | 78.4 | — 129 | — 2.0 | — 329 | — 4 8 |
| Warren | 2,888 | 3,180 | 62.4 | 17,750 | 3,169 | 2,720 | 78.1 | — 292 | — 9.2 | — 281 | — 8.9 |
| Washington | 1,091 | 1,273 | 57.2 | 6,337 | 1,110 | 975 | 86.5 | — 182 | —14.3 | — 19 | — 1.7 |
| Watauga | 2,407 | 2,133 | 74.8 | 10,914 | 2,020 | 2,332 | 99.6 | 274 | 12.8 | 387 | 19.2 |
| Wayne | 4,905 | 4,995 | 46.5 | 24,986 | 5,030 | 4,035 | 79.8 | — 90 | — 1.8 | — 125 | — 2.5 |
| Wilkes | 5,127 | 5,208 | 70.6 | 26,290 | 4,971 | 5,233 | 78.6 | — 81 | — 1.6 | 156 | 3.1 |
| Wilson | 4,739 | 4,616 | 38.2 | 22,822 | 4,439 | 3,406 | 57.9 | 123 | 2.7 | 300 | 6.8 |
| Yadkin | 2,790 | 2,910 | 69.7 | 13,899 | 2,646 | 2,476 | 84.1 | — 120 | — 4.1 | 144 | 5.4 |
| Yancey | 2,041 | 2,367 | 66.3 | 12,665 | 2,222 | 2,164 | 76.2 | — 326 | —13.8 | — 181 | — 8.1 |
| State | 279,723 | 283,482 | 65.6 | 1,446,881 | 269,763 | 253,725 | 88.4 | —3,759 | — 1.3 | —9,960 | 3.7 |

NOTE: A minus sign (—) denotes a decrease. * Less than one-half of one per cent.

# FARM INCOME

## NORTH CAROLINA PRODUCTION AND DISPOSITION OF CROPS—1924-1928

| CROPS | Year | Production | Home Consumption | Used for Seed | Fed to Livestock | Loss, Waste & Shrinkage | Sold or for Sale | Farm Price | Farm Value | Gross Income | Cash Income |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | $ | $ | $ | $ |
| Corn, bushels | 1924 | 41,706 | 2,080 | ---- | ---- | ---- | 2,004 | 1.40 | 58,388 | 5,718 | 2,806 |
| | 1925 | 44,400 | 2,080 | ---- | ---- | ---- | 1,712 | 1.07 | 47,508 | 4,057 | 1,832 |
| | 1926 | 52,272 | 2,080 | ---- | ---- | ---- | 3,524 | .90 | 47,045 | 5,044 | 3,172 |
| | 1927 | 53,626 | 2,080 | ---- | ---- | ---- | 4,619 | 1.02 | 54,699 | 6,833 | 4,711 |
| | 1928 | 42,642 | 2,080 | ---- | ---- | ---- | 2,450 | 1.14 | 48,612 | 5,164 | 2,793 |
| Wheat, bushels | 1924 | 4,968 | 1,300 | 494 | 447 | 99 | 2,628 | 1.58 | 7,849 | 6,206 | 4,152 |
| | 1925 | 4,466 | 1,300 | 547 | 402 | 90 | 2,127 | 1.76 | 7,860 | 6,032 | 3,744 |
| | 1926 | 6,303 | 1,300 | 598 | 441 | 126 | 3,838 | 1.43 | 9,013 | 7,347 | 5,488 |
| | 1927 | 5,168 | 1,200 | 572 | 517 | 103 | 2,776 | 1.45 | 7,494 | 5,765 | 4,025 |
| | 1928 | 5,150 | 1,200 | 572 | 515 | 103 | 2,760 | 1.50 | 7,699 | 5,920 | 4,126 |
| Oats, bushels | 1924 | 4,644 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | 279 | .81 | 3,762 | 226 | 226 |
| | 1925 | 4,902 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | 343 | .73 | 3,578 | 250 | 250 |
| | 1926 | 6,820 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | 477 | .67 | 4,569 | 320 | 320 |
| | 1927 | 5,733 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | 401 | .71 | 4,070 | 285 | 285 |
| | 1928 | 4,202 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | 252 | .79 | 3,320 | 199 | 199 |
| Barley, bushels | 1924 | 161 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | 3 | 1.25 | 201 | 4 | 4 |
| | 1925 | 230 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | 5 | 1.25 | 288 | 6 | 6 |
| | 1926 | 390 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | 8 | 1.14 | 445 | 9 | 9 |
| | 1927 | 480 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | 10 | 1.21 | 581 | 12 | 12 |
| | 1928 | 736 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | 15 | 1.35 | 994 | 20 | 20 |
| Rye, bushels | 1924 | 639 | 30 | 93 | 192 | 16 | 308 | 1.42 | 907 | 480 | 437 |
| | 1925 | 920 | 30 | 117 | 184 | 28 | 561 | 1.46 | 1,343 | 863 | 819 |
| | 1926 | 1,352 | 30 | 107 | 338 | 27 | 850 | 1.26 | 1,704 | 1,109 | 1,071 |
| | 1927 | 1,128 | 30 | 102 | 282 | 28 | 686 | 1.27 | 1,433 | 909 | 871 |
| | 1928 | 1,024 | 30 | 102 | 236 | 26 | 630 | 1.36 | 1,393 | 898 | 857 |
| Buck-wheat, bushels | 1924 | 180 | 12 | 9 | 42 | ---- | 117 | 1.19 | 214 | 154 | 139 |
| | 1925 | 140 | 12 | 9 | 42 | ---- | 77 | 1.12 | 157 | 100 | 86 |
| | 1926 | 220 | 12 | 9 | 42 | ---- | 157 | .95 | 209 | 161 | 149 |
| | 1927 | 200 | 12 | 9 | 42 | ---- | 137 | .95 | 190 | 142 | 130 |
| | 1928 | 190 | 12 | 9 | 42 | ---- | 127 | .95 | 180 | 132 | 121 |
| Cotton (lint)* pounds | 1924 | 825 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ------- | .23 | 93,150 | 93,150 | 93,150 |
| | 1925 | 1,102 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ------- | .20 | 108,228 | 108,228 | 108,228 |
| | 1926 | 1,213 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ------- | .13 | 76,510 | 76,510 | 76,510 |
| | 1927 | 861 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ------- | .20 | 85,714 | 85,714 | 85,714 |
| | 1928 | 836 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ------- | .19 | 77,330 | 77,330 | 77,330 |
| Cotton-seed, tons | 1924 | 336 | ---- | 39 | 159 | * ---- | 534 | 37.35 | 1,367 | 8,777 | 8,777 |
| | 1925 | 488 | ---- | 39 | 195 | ---- | 742 | 34.60 | 16,885 | 11,280 | 11,280 |
| | 1926 | 538 | ---- | 34 | 202 | ---- | 840 | 24.56 | 13,213 | 9,087 | 9,087 |
| | 1927 | 382 | ---- | 38 | 100 | ---- | 626 | 38.14 | 14,569 | 8,963 | 8,963 |
| | 1928 | 371 | ---- | 38 | 122 | ---- | 582 | 39.20 | 14,543 | 9,134 | 9,134 |
| Tobacco, pounds | 1924 | 286,769 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ------- | .26 | 73,986 | 73,986 | 73,986 |
| | 1925 | 380,165 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ------- | .23 | 87,438 | 87,438 | 87,438 |
| | 1926 | 386,460 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ------- | .26 | 102,025 | 102,025 | 102,025 |
| | 1927 | 485,683 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ------- | .22 | 106,850 | 106,850 | 106,850 |
| | 1928 | 499,608 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | ------- | .19 | 97,090 | 97,090 | 97,090 |
| All Hay, tons | 1924 | 738 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | 74 | 21.00 | 15,498 | 1,554 | 1,554 |
| | 1925 | 518 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | 41 | 20.70 | 10,723 | 849 | 849 |
| | 1926 | 733 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | 29 | 19.45 | 14,257 | 564 | 564 |
| | 1927 | 355 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | 21 | 17.41 | 6,181 | 366 | 366 |
| | 1928 | 794 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- | 48 | 17.78 | 14,117 | 853 | 853 |
| Soy-beans, bushels | 1924 | 1,160 | ---- | 54 | 174 | ---- | 932 | 2.45 | 4,464 | 2,283 | 2,283 |
| | 1925 | 1,348 | ---- | 72 | 202 | ---- | 1,074 | 2.10 | 4,393 | 255 | 255 |
| | 1926 | 1,378 | ---- | 74 | 207 | ---- | 1,097 | 1.65 | 3,445 | 1,810 | 1,810 |
| | 1927 | 1,410 | ---- | 74 | 212 | ---- | 1,124 | 1.45 | 4,536 | 1,630 | 1,630 |
| | 1928 | 1,081 | ---- | 77 | 162 | ---- | 842 | 1.80 | 5,292 | 1,516 | 1,516 |

| CROPS | Year | Production | Home Consumption | Used for Seed | Fed to Livestock | Loss, Waste & Shrinkage | Sold or for Sale | Farm Price | Farm Value | Gross Income | Cash Income |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | | $ | $ | $ | $ |
| Cowpeas, bushels | 1924 | 502 | 58 | 46 | 251 | ---- | 147 | 2.80 | 2,794 | 574 | 412 |
| | 1925 | 273 | 58 | 52 | 55 | ---- | 108 | 3.10 | 1,978 | 515 | 335 |
| | 1926 | 684 | 58 | 95 | 274 | ---- | 257 | 2.25 | 2,565 | 709 | 578 |
| | 1927 | 801 | 58 | 68 | 400 | ---- | 275 | 1.75 | 3,612 | 583 | 481 |
| | 1928 | 344 | 58 | 44 | 103 | ---- | 139 | 1.90 | 1,778 | 374 | 264 |
| Peanuts, pounds | 1924 | 175,500 | 1,392 | 7,700 | ---- | ---- | 166,408 | .043 | 8,204 | 7,215 | 7,156 |
| | 1925 | 212,750 | 1,392 | 6,755 | ---- | ---- | 204,603 | .055 | 13,915 | 11,330 | 11,253 |
| | 1926 | 185,400 | 1,392 | 7,945 | ---- | ---- | 176,063 | .067 | 12,543 | 11,889 | 11,796 |
| | 1927 | 201,294 | 1,392 | 7,350 | ---- | ---- | 192,552 | .065 | 14,076 | 12,606 | 12,516 |
| | 1928 | 185,250 | 1,392 | 8,085 | ---- | ---- | 175,773 | .043 | 8,578 | 7,618 | 7,558 |
| Potatoes, bushels | 1924 | 6,195 | 1,050 | 160 | ---- | 434 | 4,551 | 1.48 | 9,169 | 8,289 | 6,735 |
| | 1925 | 4,524 | 910 | 151 | ---- | 271 | 3,192 | 1.43 | 6,460 | 5,866 | 4,565 |
| | 1926 | 6,325 | 1,050 | 178 | ---- | 443 | 4,654 | 2.64 | 16,698 | 15,059 | 12,287 |
| | 1927 | 7,368 | 1,120 | 261 | ---- | 368 | 5,619 | 1.92 | 14,147 | 12,939 | 10,788 |
| | 1928 | 10,545 | 1,400 | 218 | ---- | 844 | 8,083 | 1.17 | 12,338 | 11,095 | 9,457 |
| Potatoes, sweet, bushels | 1924 | 7,360 | 2,200 | 160 | ---- | ---- | 5,000 | 1.37 | 10,083 | 9,864 | 6,850 |
| | 1925 | 7,040 | 2,200 | 168 | ---- | ---- | 4,672 | 1.43 | 10,067 | 9,827 | 6,681 |
| | 1926 | 7,560 | 2,200 | 178 | ---- | ---- | 5,182 | 1.20 | 9,072 | 8,858 | 6,218 |
| | 1927 | 10,146 | 2,200 | 160 | ---- | ---- | 7,786 | 1.07 | 10,856 | 10,685 | 8,331 |
| | 1928 | 7,840 | 2,200 | 164 | ---- | ---- | 5,476 | 1.21 | 9,486 | 9,288 | 6,626 |
| Apples, bushels | 1924 | 6,350 | 1,727 | ---- | ---- | 254 | 4,369 | .92 | 5,842 | 5,608 | 4,019 |
| | 1925 | 3,192 | 1,570 | ---- | ---- | 96 | 1,526 | 1.15 | 3,671 | 3,560 | 1,755 |
| | 1926 | 5,986 | 2,041 | ---- | ---- | 479 | 3,466 | .79 | 4,729 | 4,351 | 2,738 |
| | 1927 | 1,825 | 1,256 | ---- | ---- | 36 | 533 | 1.30 | 2,372 | 2,326 | 693 |
| | 1928 | 5,040 | 1,884 | ---- | ---- | 176 | 2,980 | .90 | 4,536 | 4,378 | 2,682 |
| Peaches, bushels | 1924 | 2,500 | 910 | ---- | ---- | 50 | 1,540 | 1.28 | 3,200 | 3,136 | 1,971 |
| | 1925 | 1,500 | 325 | ---- | ---- | 15 | 1,160 | 1.60 | 2,400 | 2,376 | 1,856 |
| | 1926 | 2,250 | 910 | ---- | ---- | 90 | 1,250 | .90 | 2,025 | 1,944 | 1,125 |
| | 1927 | 1,300 | 260 | ---- | ---- | 13 | 1,027 | 1.70 | 2,210 | 2,188 | 1,746 |
| | 1928 | 2,590 | 1,040 | ---- | ---- | 104 | 1,446 | 1.15 | 2,978 | 2,859 | 1,663 |
| Pears, bushels | 1924 | 273 | 140 | ---- | ---- | 8 | 125 | 1.41 | 385 | 374 | 176 |
| | 1925 | 158 | 105 | ---- | ---- | 5 | 48 | 1.70 | 269 | 260 | 82 |
| | 1926 | 270 | 140 | ---- | ---- | 11 | 119 | 1.15 | 310 | 298 | 137 |
| | 1927 | 100 | 70 | ---- | ---- | 3 | 27 | 1.35 | 135 | 131 | 36 |
| | 1928 | 234 | 126 | ---- | ---- | 7 | 101 | 1.10 | 257 | 250 | 111 |
| Grapes, Prod. in tons (000) not omitted | 1924 | 6,600 | 2,490 | ---- | ---- | 198 | 3,912 | 65.00 | 429 | 416 | 254 |
| | 1925 | 4,950 | 1,860 | ---- | ---- | 99 | 2,991 | 74.00 | 366 | 359 | 221 |
| | 1926 | 6,840 | 2,940 | ---- | ---- | 205 | 3,695 | 50.00 | 342 | 332 | 185 |
| | 1927 | 5,135 | 1,860 | ---- | ---- | 154 | 3,121 | 50.00 | 257 | 249 | 156 |
| | 1928 | 6,000 | 1,660 | ---- | ---- | 180 | 4,160 | 45.00 | 270 | 262 | 187 |
| Straw-berries, quarts (commer-cial) | 1924 | 16,713 | 95 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ------- | .13 | 2,294 | 2,294 | 2,282 |
| | 1925 | 12,312 | 95 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ------- | .14 | 1,823 | 1,823 | 1,810 |
| | 1926 | 10,907 | 95 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ------- | .16 | 1,847 | 1,847 | 1,832 |
| | 1927 | 16,658 | 95 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ------- | .16 | 2,813 | 2,813 | 2,798 |
| | 1928 | 16,659 | 95 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ------- | .12 | 2,110 | 2,110 | 2,099 |
| Pecans, pounds | 1924 | 474 | 213 | ---- | ---- | ---- | 261 | .35 | 168 | 168 | 92 |
| | 1925 | 213 | 149 | ---- | ---- | ---- | 64 | .39 | 82 | 82 | 25 |
| | 1926 | 711 | 249 | ---- | ---- | ---- | 462 | .36 | 256 | 256 | 166 |
| | 1927 | 711 | 256 | ---- | ---- | ---- | 455 | .35 | 248 | 248 | 159 |
| | 1928 | 630 | 252 | ---- | ---- | ---- | 378 | .31 | 193 | 193 | 116 |
| Sorghum Sirup, gals. | 1924 | 2,697 | 1,214 | ---- | ---- | * 674 | 809 | .90 | 2,427 | 1,821 | 728 |
| | 1925 | 1,904 | 857 | ---- | ---- | 476 | 571 | .98 | 1,866 | 1,400 | 560 |
| | 1926 | 2,730 | 1,228 | ---- | ---- | 683 | 819 | .90 | 2,457 | 1,842 | 737 |
| | 1927 | 2,024 | 911 | ---- | ---- | 506 | 607 | .98 | 1,822 | 1,366 | 546 |
| | 1928 | 1,720 | 774 | ---- | ---- | 430 | 516 | .90 | 1,548 | 1,161 | 465 |

Thousands (000) omitted in tables above.

Note * (1) Cotton—Production given in bales.
(2) Cottonseed—33% of that remaining on farm fed to livestock.
(3) Cottonseed—55% of that for sale includes that delivered to Oil Mills and exchanged for meal.
(4) Sorghum Sirup—given as toll.

### FARM INCOME

The above farm income study is the first available on this subject. This is not the results of an actual enumeration, but are approximations arrived from a large number of reports from all parts of North Carolina. Expressed in a digested form, the farm value relates to the value of the total outturn of each commodity. This is irrespective of its use—whether it is sold, consumed on or off of the farm where grown. Cash income relates to the value of quantities actually sold off of the farm where produced. Gross income relates to the cash income PLUS the value of the products consumed in the farm household of the farm where produced. It is planned to prepare similar statements annually hereafter.

### CROP AND LIVESTOCK

Corn for the years 1924-28 inclusive has shown a gross income of about five million dollars, except in 1927 when it went to almost seven million. This compares with the farm value of from forty-seven to fifty-eight million dollars. The cash income has ranged between two to five million, or approximately 5 percent of the total value. The income from wheat shows quite a different situation in as much as the gross income indicates a large percentage of the value of the crop. The cash income indicates from fifty to sixty percent of the total value. Oats, on the other hand, show a small cash revenue. Rye is more like wheat, in that over half of its value results in a cash income.

Cotton, tobacco, peanuts, potatoes, truck crops, fruits, forest products, gardens, etc., show a gross income almost equal to the total farm value, with a large cash income resulting.

Poultry and dairy products show large percentages of income in relation to their value. The gross income from almost all livestock expresses almost full farm values.

# FARM VALUE FOR NORTH CAROLINA

## GROSS INCOME AND CASH INCOME FROM FARM PRODUCTION

| HOGS | 1924 | 1925 | 1926 | 1927 | 1928 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Balance Sheet (number in 1,000 heads) | | | | | |
| On hand Jan. 1. | 1,020 | 894 | 832 | 849 | 951 |
| Pigs Saved: Spring | 609 | 660 | 708 | 793 | 737 |
| Fall | 498 | 448 | 494 | 586 | 497 |
| Total | 1,107 | 1,108 | 1,202 | 1,379 | 1,234 |
| Sum of debits | 2,127 | 2,002 | 2,034 | 2,228 | 2,185 |
| Shipped out | 137 | 100 | 109 | 116 | 166 |
| Local slaughter | ---- | ---- | 30 | 30 | 35 |
| Farm slaughter | 920 | 900 | 866 | 920 | 935 |
| Died during year: Old Hogs | 25 | 22 | 21 | 22 | 25 |
| Pigs | 151 | 148 | 165 | 189 | 150 |
| Total | 176 | 170 | 186 | 211 | 175 |
| Sum of credits | 1,233 | 1,170 | 1,185 | 1,277 | 1,311 |
| On hand Jan. 1 following year | 894 | 832 | 849 | 951 | 874 |
| Change in Inventory: Increase | ---- | ---- | 17 | 102 | ---- |
| Decrease | 126 | 62 | ---- | ---- | 77 |
| Production and Farm Value (1000 lbs.) | | | | | |
| Shipped and Slaughtered | 239,000 | 227,000 | 225,000 | 240,800 | 245,900 |
| Net Disappearance (less deaths) | 239,000 | 227,000 | 225,000 | 240,800 | 245,900 |
| Change in Inventory: Increase | ---- | ---- | 1,075 | 10,250 | ---- |
| Decrease | 14,275 | 5,450 | ---- | ---- | 8,250 |
| Net Production | 224,725 | 221,550 | 226,675 | 251,050 | 237,650 |
| Farm Price (cents per pound) | 9.8 | 11.3 | 11.0 | 10.5 | 9.9 |
| Farm Value (1000 dollars) | 22,023 | 25,035 | 24,934 | 26,360 | 23,527 |
| Income | | | | | |
| Shipped and Local Slaughter: | | | | | |
| Quantity (1000 lbs.) | 27,400 | 20,000 | 27,800 | 29,200 | 40,200 |
| Farm Price (cents per lb.) | 9.8 | 11.3 | 11.0 | 10.5 | 9.9 |
| Total Value (1000 dollars) | 2,685 | 2,260 | 3,058 | 3,066 | 3,980 |
| In shipments stocker, feeders and breeders, cost per pound, cts. | 11.3 | 12.8 | 12.5 | 12.0 | 11.4 |
| Cash incomes from sale of hogs (1000 dollars) | 2,685 | 2,260 | 3,058 | 3,066 | 3,980 |
| Farm Slaughter: Quantity ($1000) | 211,600 | 207,000 | 197,800 | 211,600 | 205,700 |
| Farm Price, cts. per lbs. | 9.8 | 11.3 | 11.0 | 10.5 | 9.9 |
| Total Value $ | 20,737 | 23,391 | 21,758 | 22,218 | 22,364 |
| Sold as pork % | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| Value of Home Consumption ($1000) | 17,626 | 19,882 | 18,494 | 18,885 | 19,009 |
| Cash income from sale of pork " | 4,044 | 4,562 | 4,243 | 4,333 | 4,362 |
| Cash income from hogs and pork " | 6,729 | 6,822 | 7,301 | 7,399 | 8,342 |
| Gross income from hogs and pork " | 24,355 | 26,704 | 25,795 | 26,284 | 27,351 |

### SHEEP AND LAMBS

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Balance Sheet (numbers in 1000) | | | | | |
| On hand Jan. 1 | 70 | 67 | 73 | 80 | 85 |
| Lambs raised: Number | 51 | 50 | 47 | 59 | 62 |
| Percent of Ewes | 98 | 102 | 91 | 104 | 105 |
| Sum of Debits | 121 | 117 | 120 | 139 | 147 |
| Shipped out: Sheep | ---- | 2 | 3 | 7 | 9 |
| Lambs | ---- | 29 | 24 | 33 | 32 |
| Local Slaughter: Sheep | 8 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Lambs | 33 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Farm Slaughter: Sheep | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Lambs | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Deaths: Sheep | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| Lambs | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 7 |
| Sum of Disposals | 54 | 44 | 40 | 54 | 58 |
| On hand Jan. 1 following year | 67 | 73 | 80 | 85 | 89 |
| Shipped and Slaughtered: | | | | | |
| Sheep (Number 1000) | 11 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 11 |
| (lbs. 1000) | 935 | 425 | 510 | 850 | 945 |
| Lambs (number 1000) | 35 | 31 | 26 | 35 | 35 |
| (lbs. 1000) | 1,925 | 1,705 | 1,430 | 1,925 | 1,925 |
| Net disappearance (less death) | | | | | |
| Sheep (number 1000) | 11 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 11 |
| (lbs. 1000) | 935 | 425 | 510 | 850 | 945 |
| Lambs (number 1000) | 35 | 31 | 26 | 35 | 35 |
| (lbs. 1000) | 1,925 | 1,705 | 1,430 | 1,925 | 1,925 |
| Change in Inventory: | | | | | |
| Sheep Increase (lbs. 1000) | ---- | 255 | 415 | 265 | 255 |
| Decrease | 255 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Lambs Increase (lbs. 1000) | ---- | 155 | 110 | 110 | 55 |
| Net Production: | | | | | |
| Sheep (lbs. 1000) | 680 | 680 | 925 | 1,115 | 1,200 |
| (Value 1000) | 44 | 48 | 56 | 78 | 100 |
| Lambs (lbs. 1000) | 1,925 | 1,680 | 1,540 | 2,035 | 1,980 |
| (Value 1000) | 173 | 199 | 154 | 224 | 226 |
| Income | | | | | |
| Shipped and Local Slaughter: | | | | | |
| Sheep (1000 lbs.) | 680 | 170 | 255 | 595 | 765 |
| Farm Price, cts. per lb. | 6.5 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 8.3 |
| Value $1000 | 44 | 12 | 15 | 42 | 63 |
| Lambs (1000 lbs.) | 1,815 | 1,595 | 1,320 | 1,815 | 1,760 |
| Farm Price, cts. per lb. | 9.0 | 10.7 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 11.4 |
| Value $1000 | 163 | 171 | 132 | 200 | 201 |
| Farm Slaughter: | | | | | |
| Sheep 1000 lbs. | 255 | 255 | 255 | 255 | 180 |
| Farm Price, cts. per lb. | 6.5 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 8.3 |
| Value $1000 | 17 | 18 | 15 | 18 | 15 |
| Lambs 1000 lbs. | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 165 |
| Farm Price, cts. per lb. | 9.0 | 10.7 | 10.0 | 11.0 | 11.4 |
| Value $1000 | 10 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 19 |
| Money income from: | | | | | |
| Sheep (000 omitted) | 44 | 12 | 15 | 42 | 63 |
| Lambs (000 omitted) | 163 | 171 | 132 | 200 | 201 |
| Sheep and Lambs (000 omitted) | 207 | 183 | 147 | 242 | 264 |
| Farm Slaughter--Total value ($1000) | 27 | 30 | 26 | 30 | 34 |
| Percent sold as Lamb and Mutton | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Value of quantity consu'ed on farm | 14 | 15 | 13 | 15 | 17 |
| Money income from l'b & mutton | 17 | 20 | 17 | 20 | 22 |
| Cash income | 224 | 203 | 164 | 262 | 286 |
| Gross income | 238 | 218 | 177 | 277 | 303 |
| Farm Value—Sheep and Lambs | 217 | 247 | 210 | 302 | 326 |

NOTE: Numbers and values in the tables above are shown in thousands (000) omitted.

| CATTLE AND CALVES | 1924 | 1925 | 1926 | 1927 | 1928 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Balance Sheet: (1000 heads) | | | | | |
| On hand Jan. 1 Total | 562 | 545 | 523 | 486 | 496 |
| Milk Cows | 306 | 312 | 303 | 297 | 294 |
| Other Cows | 28 | 24 | 24 | 20 | 20 |
| Other Cattle | 117 | 112 | 103 | 88 | 93 |
| Calves | 111 | 97 | 93 | 81 | 89 |
| Calves born: Number | 194 | 195 | 190 | 184 | 185 |
| % of all Cows | 58 | 58 | 58 | 58 | 59 |
| Shipped in | ---- | ---- | ---- | 2 | 1 |
| Sum of debits | 756 | 740 | 713 | 672 | 682 |
| Shipped out: Cattle | 10 | 11 | 31 | ---- | ---- |
| Calves | 47 | 52 | 57 | 44 | 40 |
| Local Slaughter: Cattle | 69 | 69 | 60 | 56 | 58 |
| Calves | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 18 |
| Farm Slaughter: Cattle | 20 | 20 | 17 | 16 | 18 |
| Calves | 15 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 19 |
| Deaths: Cattle | 15 | 15 | 10 | 9 | 10 |
| Calves | 15 | 15 | 12 | 11 | 12 |
| Sum of credits | 211 | 217 | 227 | 176 | 176 |
| On hand Jan. 1 following year | | | | | |
| Calves | 97 | 93 | 81 | 89 | 95 |
| Total | 545 | 523 | 486 | 496 | 506 |
| Production (000 omitted) | | | | | |
| Cattle Shipped & Slaghter: Number | 99 | 100 | 108 | 72 | 76 |
| Pounds | 67,300 | 68,000 | 73,900 | 48,800 | 51,400 |
| Shipped in: Number | ---- | ---- | ---- | 2 | 1 |
| Pounds | ---- | ---- | ---- | 1,400 | 700 |
| Net disappearance (less death) No | 99 | 100 | 108 | 70 | 75 |
| Pounds | 67,300 | 68,000 | 73,900 | 47,400 | 50,700 |
| Change in Inventory: Increase | ---- | ---- | ---- | 1,500 | 2,700 |
| Decrease | 2,700 | 10,700 | 15,150 | ---- | ---- |
| Net Production: Pounds | 64,600 | 57,300 | 58,750 | 48,900 | 53,450 |
| Value ($1,000) | 3,359 | 3,209 | 2,644 | 2,934 | 4,062 |
| Calves Shipped & Slaughted: No. | 82 | 87 | 97 | 84 | 77 |
| Pounds | 10,400 | 11,025 | 12,325 | 10,500 | 9,625 |
| Value ($1,000) | 624 | 662 | 740 | 840 | 1,020 |
| Income (1000 omitted) | | | | | |
| Cattle shipped local slaughter: Lbs. | 55,300 | 56,000 | 63,700 | 39,200 | 40,600 |
| Price per pound, cents | 5.2 | 5.6 | 4.5 | 6.0 | 7.6 |
| Total value shipped & slaughtered | 2,876 | 3,136 | 2,866 | 2,352 | 3,086 |
| In shipments stocker, feeder&dairy | ---- | ---- | ---- | 1,400 | 700 |
| Cost per pound, cts. | ---- | ---- | ---- | 5.0 | 6.3 |
| Additional cost as dairy cattle | ---- | ---- | ---- | 78 | ---- |
| Total cost of in shipments | ---- | ---- | ---- | 148 | 44 |
| Money income from cattle | 2,876 | 3,136 | 2,866 | 2,204 | 3,042 |
| Calves shipped & local slaughter, lbs | 8,375 | 9,000 | 9,625 | 8,000 | 7,250 |
| Price per pound, cents | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 10.6 |
| Money income from calves, dollars | 502 | 540 | 578 | 640 | 768 |
| Money income from cattle & calves | 3,378 | 3,676 | 3,444 | 2,844 | 3,810 |
| Cattle farm slaughter, pounds | 12,000 | 12,000 | 10,200 | 9,600 | 10,800 |
| Price per pound, cents | 5.2 | 5.6 | 4.5 | 6.0 | 7.6 |
| Total value cattle slaughtered | 624 | 672 | 459 | 576 | 821 |
| Calves farm slaughter, pounds | 2,025 | 2,025 | 2,700 | 2,500 | 2,375 |
| Price per pound, cents | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 10.6 |
| Total value calves slaughtered | 122 | 122 | 162 | 200 | 252 |
| Tot. val. cattle&calves slaughtered | 746 | 794 | 621 | 776 | 1,073 |
| Percent sold as beef and veal | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 | 65 |
| Value of amount consumed on farm | 261 | 278 | 217 | 272 | 376 |
| Cash income from beef & veal sold | 630 | 671 | 525 | 655 | 906 |
| Cash income cattle,calves,veal sold | 4,008 | 4,347 | 3,969 | 3,499 | 4,716 |
| Estimated No. of all Chickens on Farms Jan. 1 (000 omitted) | 9,570 | 8,900 | 8,900 | 9,345 | 10,116 |
| Chickens, number: Raised | 16,881 | 17,226 | 18,087 | 19,896 | 16,514 |
| Lost | 1,148 | 1,068 | 1,068 | 1,121 | 1,214 |
| Produced | 15,733 | 16,158 | 17,019 | 18,775 | 15,300 |
| Home consumption | 10,457 | 10,672 | 9,810 | 11,427 | 10,241 |
| Sold | 5,946 | 5,486 | 6,764 | 6,577 | 6,500 |
| Farm Price per head, dollars | .55 | .55 | .58 | .57 | .58 |
| Farm value | 8,653 | 8,887 | 9,871 | 10,702 | 8,874 |
| Gross income | 9,021 | 8,887 | 9,613 | 10,262 | 9,710 |
| Cash income | 3,270 | 3,017 | 3,923 | 3,749 | 3,770 |
| Eggs, number: Laid | 442 | 455 | 470 | 509 | 500 |
| Used for hatching | 34 | 34 | 36 | 40 | 33 |
| Home consumption | 164 | 161 | 154 | 169 | 158 |
| Sold (except for hatching) | 244 | 260 | 280 | 300 | 309 |
| Farm Price per egg, cents | 2.3 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| Farm value ($1,000) | 10,299 | 11,739 | 12,549 | 11,860 | 11,650 |
| Gross income ($1,000) | 9,506 | 10,862 | 11,588 | 10,928 | 10,881 |
| Cash income ($1,000) | 5,685 | 6,708 | 7,476 | 6,990 | 7,200 |

### MILK

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Cows milked (1000) | 294 | 292 | 285 | 281 | 279 |
| Milk per cow (lbs.) | 3,440 | 3,350 | 3,640 | 3,730 | 3,660 |
| Milk produced—million lbs. | 1,011 | 978 | 1,037 | 1,048 | 1,021 |
| Price per 100 pounds (dollars) | 2.60 | 2.70 | 2.90 | 2.60 | 2.80 |
| Gross Value (thousand dollars) | 26,286 | 26,406 | 30,073 | 27,248 | 28,588 |
| Whole milk fed to calves--million lbs. | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 17 |
| Milk produced less that fed " " | 993 | 960 | 1,019 | 1,030 | 1,004 |
| Gross income (thousand dollars) | 25,818 | 25,920 | 29,551 | 26,780 | 28,112 |
| Butterfat in milk (percent) | 4.40 | ---- | ---- | ---- | ---- |
| Milk equivalent consumed on farm | | | | | |
| Farm Butter (million lbs.) | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 365 |
| Milk or cream (million lbs.) | 353 | 353 | 353 | 353 | 353 |
| Total (million lbs.) | 718 | 718 | 718 | 718 | 718 |
| Milk equivalent sold (million lbs.) | | | | | |
| Farm butter | 107 | 106 | 106 | 104 | 104 |
| Other milk products | 168 | 136 | 195 | 208 | 182 |
| Total | 275 | 242 | 301 | 312 | 286 |
| Cash income (thousand dollars) | 7,150 | 6,534 | 8,729 | 8,112 | 8,008 |

### HONEY CROPS

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Production (1000 lbs.) | 1,073 | 1,073 | 1,073 | 486 | 1,090 |
| Home consumption (1000 lbs.) | 971 | 971 | 971 | 431 | 971 |
| Sold or for sale (1000 lbs.) | 102 | 102 | 102 | 55 | 119 |
| Farm price per lb. (cents) | 24 | 23 | 24 | 23 | 23 |
| Farm value (1000 dollars) | 258 | 247 | 256 | 112 | 252 |
| Gross income (1000 dollars) | 258 | 247 | 256 | 112 | 252 |
| Cash income (1000 dollars) | 24 | 23 | 24 | 13 | 27 |

| NOVEMBER | NORTH CAROLINA CROPS | | | | | | UNITED STATES CROPS | | | | | | U. S. | N. C. | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CROPS | Acreage | | Yield per Acre | | Production | | Acreage (000 omitted) | | Yield per Acre | | Production (000 omitted) | | Total Value | Value per Unit | Value Total |
| | 1930 | 1929 | 1930 | 1929 | 1930 | 1929 | 1930 | 1929 | 1930 | 1929 | 1930 | 1929 | 1929 000 omitted | 1929 | 1929 000 omitted |
| Corn ---- bu. | 2,462,000 | 2,259,000 | 20.5 | 21.5 | 50,471,000 | 48,568,000 | 01,531 | 98,018 | 20.6 | 26.8 | 2,094,000 | 2,622,189 | 2,048,134 | 1.00 | 48,623 |
| Cotton ---- lbs. | 1,715,000 | 1,878,000 | 234.0 | 190.0 | 840,000 | 747,000 | 44,791 | 47,569 | 154.0 | 155.3 | 14,438 | 14,919 | 1,225,032 | .174 | 62,129 |
| Tobacco ---- lbs. | 787,000 | 764,000 | 685.0 | 655.0 | 539,095,000 | 508,060,000 | 2,140 | 2,016 | 710.0 | 744.3 | 1,519,000 | 1,500,891 | 285,583 | .183 | 92,295 |
| Peanuts ---- lbs. | 233,000 | 220,000 | 850.0 | 1020.0 | 181,050,000 | 220,400,000 | 1,160 | 1,328 | 655.0 | 700.8 | 759,000 | 930,700 | 49,247 | .04 | 9,996 |
| Wheat (winter) ---- bu. | 366,000 | 457,000 | 12.5 | 11.7 | 4,575,000 | 5,347,000 | 59,024 | 40,162 | 14.2 | 14.4 | 840,000 | 578,336 | 616,128 | 1.41 | 7,539 |
| Oats ---- bu. | 281,000 | 258,000 | 23.6 | 24.0 | 6,632,000 | 6,192,000 | 41,398 | 40,217 | 33.7 | 30.8 | 1,411,000 | 1,238,654 | 538,445 | .75 | 4,644 |
| Rye ---- bu. | 89,000 | 98,000 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 1,068,000 | 1,176,000 | 3,498 | 3,225 | 13.3 | 12.6 | 46,700 | 40,629 | 35,371 | 1.40 | 1,646 |
| Barley ---- bu. | 39,000 | 40,000 | 22.0 | 24.0 | 858,000 | 960,000 | 12,780 | 13,212 | 25.7 | 23.2 | 328,000 | 307,105 | 168,807 | 1.28 | 1,229 |
| Potatoes, Irish ---- bu. | 85,000 | 74,000 | 100.0 | 110.0 | 8,494,000 | 8,130,000 | 3,482 | 3,370 | 106.0 | 106.1 | 368,000 | 357,451 | 469,701 | 1.20 | 9,768 |
| Potatoes, Sweet ---- bu. | 90,000 | 78,000 | 95.0 | 117.0 | 8,550,000 | 9,126,000 | 858 | 822 | 84.6 | 103.0 | 72,600 | 84,661 | 80,015 | .90 | 8,213 |
| Buckwheat ---- bu. | 8,000 | 11,000 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 120,000 | 220,000 | 727 | 729 | 12.9 | 15.8 | 9,400 | 11,520 | 11,241 | 1.07 | 235 |
| Hays, Tame ---- tons | 836,000 | 813,000 | .85 | .95 | 711,000 | 776,000 | 59,807 | 60,996 | 1.41 | 1.67 | 84,100 | 101,715 | 1,244,256 | 18.77 | 15,049 |
| Soy Beans ---- bu. | 194,000 | 162,000 | 9.0 | 12.0 | 1,746,000 | 1,944,000 | 1,056 | 893 | 11.5 | 12.8 | 12,106 | 11,414 | 39,979 | 1.70 | 3,305 |
| Cowpeas ---- bu. | 65,000 | 50,000 | 7.0 | 9.0 | 455,000 | 450,000 | 912 | 735 | 5.2 | 5.8 | 4,773 | 4,269 | 23,442 | 2.75 | 1,238 |
| Velvet Beans ---- lbs. | | 14,000 | | 1300.0 | | 18,200,000 | 2,049 | 1,865 | 810.0 | 898.7 | 1,660 | 1,676 | | | |
| Flax ---- bu. | 4,500 | | 9.0 | | 40,500 | | 4,389 | 2,992 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 25,168 | 16,755 | | | |
| Sorghum Syrups ---- gals. | 22,000 | 20,000 | 82.0 | 94.0 | 1,804,000 | 1,880,000 | 372 | 346 | 62.8 | 75.7 | 23,400 | 26,200 | 24,126 | .85 | 1,598 |
| Sugar Cane ---- gals. | | 1,000 | | 133.0 | | 133,000 | 120 | 117 | 158.6 | 189.0 | 19,000 | 22,100 | | | |
| Broom Corn ---- tons | 500 | 200 | 250† | 325† | | | 396 | 303 | 3.13 | 2.43 | 48 | 47 | | | |
| FRUITS | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Apples ---- bu. | | | 35* | 36* | 2,555,000 | 2,628,000 | | | 52* | 46* | 162,016 | 142,078 | 184,107 | 125 | 3,285 |
| Peaches ---- bu. | | | 45* | 40* | 1,665,000 | 1,400,000 | | | 53* | 49* | 49,300 | 45,800 | 62,705 | 140 | 1,960 |
| Pears ---- bu. | | | 32* | 57* | 115,000 | 205,000 | | | 74* | 62* | 25,229 | 21,563 | 29,952 | 120 | 246 |
| Pecans ---- lbs. | | | 54* | 57* | 600,000 | 664,000 | | | 39* | 41* | 36,750 | 38,005 | | 281 | 118 |
| Grapes ---- tons | | | 73* | 70* | 5,548 | 5,320 | | | 81* | 66* | 2,368 | 2,098 | 59,387 | 5000 | 266 |

NOTE—(*) Per cent of a full crop (normal) production. (†) Truck crops, vegetables are very important this year, but not practicable to show here.

The Harvest Season for the year 1930 finds North Carolina farmers facing a serious economic situation. This is emphasized by the fact that the ratio of prices received by farmers to the prices paid for necessities by them—in other words, the purchasing power of the farmers' products is only about 70 percent of what it was before the World War. This means that a unit of farm produce now buys less than half of the non-agricultural products that it did before the World War, the purchasing power of manufactured products showing 149 percent of the prewar level. Small grains, cotton and tobacco show the lowest levels. Fruits and vegetables, as of October, showed the highest index number at 150 percent. Even livestock products are on a low basis.

The country as a whole is experiencing a bad agricultural year. The yields are low (due largely to drought conditions), while at the same time prices received are low. The surplus productions of products is very spotted. Within given States, counties show decidedly different conditions. For instance, in North Carolina, the Northern Piedmont and Mountain counties have experienced dry conditions, although not nearly as disastrous as were experienced in Virginia, Kentucky and Tennessee, due to light rains in August and September. Livestock production in this area was affected, due to the shortage of grains, hays and pastures.

The prospective crop yield for North Carolina, expressed as a percentage of the average during the past ten years, shows 99.6 percent, as compared with Virginia's 65 percent and South Carolina's 117 percent. Thus, it will be observed that it is easily reasonable that about half of our State experienced favorable conditions and about half less favorable. September and October showed an improvement of about 8 percent in the condition of crops over the August prospects.

Cotton showed a condition of 63 percent of a full crop promise, or an estimate of 242 pounds yield per acre, for October. This compares with a ten-year average of 60 percent condition and 255 pounds yield. The acreage was reduced about 10 percent from last year. Tobacco showed a final condition of 76 percent as compared with the ten-year average of 74. As a result of the gradual increase in acreage the 1930 crop of 539,000,000 pounds is a decided record for the State.

It is worthy of mention to note that due to a program of the Commissioner of Agriculture and to allied campaigns, the acreages of feed and food crops have been increased appreciably during the current year. This particularly applies to corn, hays, vegetables and legumes. Shortages have occurred in cotton, peanuts and wheat. Favorable prices were received for fruits, due to the decreased numbers of producing trees.

The outlook for 1931 is still quite problematical. However, present conditions warrant certain shifts in crop acreages, looking to better diversification and safe farming practices. The probabilities are for decreased acreages in cotton and tobacco, with increases in small grains, hays, peanuts, fruits and vegetables. The farmers are satisfied that they need not expect to obtain good prices next year and consequently will probably plant the crops essential for the farm needs.

Wilkes County has much rugged land. The fields are often on mountain faces or covered with stones. Orchards are usually set high up above the "frost line." Homesteads are built in the valleys near the roads. Water is piped from "up stream." The fellows shown on the front page wonder how these "hill" farmers make a living from their barren patches of land. They have fruits, small grains, vegetables and livestock products instead of "cash" crops—so much enjoyed in the east.