U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FOOD SAFETY AND INSPECTION SERVICE	EST. NO.	DATES CSO VISITED ES	ST.
OFFICE OF FIELD OPERATIONS	NAME AND ADDRESS OF ESTABLISHMENT		
COMPREHENSIVE ASSESSMENT OF THE EXECUTION AND DESIGN OF AN ESTABLISHMENT'S FOOD SAFETY SYSTEMS			
	NAME OF CSO:		
DISTRIBUTION INSTRUCTIONS: Submit this report to your District Manager and the Front-Line Field Supervisor via email.	DISTRICT	CIRCUIT VISITED	
REASON FOR VISIT:(Check all that apply).	•	•	
A. District Office Direction F. STEPS-triggered Sample F	Form # H. Other (Specify):		
B. Consumer Complaints G. Salmonella Performance St	Standard Failure E. Coli O157:H7 reassessment		
C. Foodborne Illness A set			
D. Foreign Particle Contamin. B set			
E. Repetitive Lm Findings			
SUMMARY OF DATA ASSESSMENT PRIOR TO VISIT:			

FSIS FORM 5000-8A (10/13/2003)

RECOMMENDATIONS (Check only one):

E. Suspension/Withdrawal

F. Summary of reason(s) for recommendation:

A. No further action

C. NOIE

B. 30 day letter

D. NOIE & 30 day letter

SUMMARY FINDINGS - E. Coli 0157:H7 REASSESSMENT :				
Did the establishment reassess its HACCP plan(s) based on the relevant scientific data cited in the Federal Register notice?	Did the establishment change its HACCP plan(s) as a result of considering the new scientific data cited in the Federal Register notice? YES NO			
3. If YES, how were the HACCP plan(s) modified? (Check all that apply)				
A. The hazard analysis was modified to recognize that E. coli C	D157:H7 is a hazard reasonably likely to occur.			
B. The HACCP plan was modified to include microbial intervention(s) as CCP's. (describe the interventions in your narrative report)				
C. The HACCP plan was modified to include a statistically valid microbiological sampling program for <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 to verify the effectiveness of the intervention(s) (CCP's).				
D. The HACCP plan was modified to include a CCP requiring its suppliers certify that all lots of raw materials received are subjected to a validated intervention and have tested negative for <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7.				
E. Other (describe below in narrative)				
4. If the HACCP plan(s) were not changed, what was the reason(s) the plan(s) were not changed? (Check only one item)				
A. The HACCP plan was not changed because prior to the <i>Federal Register</i> notice the establishment had already considered <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 as a hazard reasonably likely to occur.				
B. The establishment concluded, even after considering the new scientific data cited in the <i>Federal Register</i> , <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 is still not a hazard reasonably likely to occur in its process.				
C. Other (describe below in narrative)				
 Did grinding establishments incorporate controls into their Sanitation SOP's or other prerequisite programs? (Check <u>all</u> that apply) 				
A. The SSOP was modified to include specific controls for <i>E. c. in narrative below.</i>)	oli O157:H7. (Please describe the controls			
B. Other prerequisite programs were modified to include control program and how in narrative below.)	ols for E. coli O157:H7. (Please specify which			
C. The establishment either had in place or incorporated purcha materials under a prerequisite program, and shares records v	ise specifications for suppliers to test all lots of raw with inspection personnel.			
D. The establishment either had in place or incorporated temperature controls under a prerequisite program to ensure no growth of <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7, and shares records with inspection personnel.				
E. Other (describe below in narrative)				

NARRATIVE: Attach/Save an MS Word document with the full narrative to this PDF