

Chapter 5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

5.a.

Introduction

The Goals, Objectives, and Strategies (GOS) are based on issues and needs identified in the *Statewide Forest Resource Assessment's* key findings and priority maps. Seven broad goals have been identified, and each contains objectives that define what is to be accomplished. Strategies identify how to accomplish the objectives. The GOS document helps to provide a 5-year roadmap for NCDNR and its partners.

Each goal statement includes a narrative paragraph summarizing its intent. Each strategy includes a matrix that organizes the strategy into logical and consistent components. Each matrix summarizes key details as follows:

Objective statement—What will be accomplished to meet the associated goal. Included in the statement is a list of the *Statewide Forest Resource Assessment's* chapter sections where key findings are listed and addressed by the associated strategies. USDA Forest Service national objectives are also listed to indicate those that are supported by the associated strategies.

Strategy statement—How the objectives will be accomplished. Components needed to achieve the strategy are identified in columns as follows:

Priority Area(s)—Identifies maps and priority areas that focus a strategy. The strategies will complement and be integrated into existing and newly created NCDNR and forestry stakeholder programs and responsibilities.

DFR Program Areas—NCDNR programs and units that will be directly involved or provide support to accomplish the strategy.

Key Stakeholders and Partners—Agencies, organizations, and groups from which leadership or collaboration will be needed to implement the strategy.

Resources Needed—Programs and resources needed to implement the strategy.

Measures of Success—Performance measures that could potentially be used to monitor strategy accomplishments.

An attempt was made to reduce unnecessary repetition within the GOS document. For example, a strategy or issue was not highlighted in one Goal if that strategy or issue was addressed in detail in another Goal. Specific activities to meet each strategy will be further developed in an annual action plan. NCDNR and its partners will incorporate the activities outlined in the annual action plan into existing or newly created programs. When appropriate, there will be an emphasis on the *Statewide Forest Resource Assessment's* priority areas.

The support and collaboration of partners and stakeholders is important to the successful implementation of the GOS. Therefore, partnership involvement and collaboration is integrated within most strategies. Funding, implementation and oversight of some strategies may be the responsibility of other organizations besides NCDNR. Examples of inter-organizational

a. Introduction

collaborative efforts (within North Carolina and also with other states) that already exist or are planned are included in the *Statewide Forest Resource Assessment*. The activities outlined in the annual action plan will require even more inter-organizational planning and cooperation by North Carolina's forest and natural resource stakeholders.

It was realized early on that an education and outreach program is an integral part of many of the strategies. Information and education efforts, as well as research needs, have been integrated as a component within many strategies.

5.b.

**Goals, Objectives, and Strategies
Summary**

Goal 1.—Increase the sustainable management and conservation of forests..... 292

Objective 1.1.—Conserve high-priority forest ecosystems and landscapes. 292

 Strategy 1.1.1.—Collaborate with other natural resource organizations to identify high-priority forest ecosystems and landscapes..... 292

 Strategy 1.1.2.—Assist land management professionals with the delivery of programs and services that conserve high-priority forest ecosystems and landscapes. 293

Objective 1.2.—Assist landowners with actively and sustainably managing forests for economic and social benefits..... 293

 Strategy 1.2.1.—Provide increased technical and professional assistance to forest landowners that results in more active and sustainable management of their forestland.... 293

 Strategy 1.2.2.—Increase support and funding for state and federal cost-share programs that result in more active and sustainable management of forestland. 294

 Strategy 1.2.3.—Strengthen and support forest nursery and tree improvement programs to ensure a stable supply of seedlings..... 294

 Strategy 1.2.4.— Increase landowners’ understanding of, and participation in, forest certification..... 294

Objective 1.3.—Assess and redefine services provided to forestland owners to efficiently and effectively meet their diverse management objectives. 295

 Strategy 1.3.1.—Assess, evaluate, and develop services to effectively reach nontraditional, underserved, and traditional forest landowners. 295

 Strategy 1.3.2.—Strengthen and develop outside partnerships with public and private entities at federal, state, and local levels to improve and coordinate services and service delivery. 296

Objective 1.4.—Strengthen and support an urban-focused initiative that meets ownership objectives for urban-rural interface landowners and communities. 297

 Strategy 1.4.1.—Assess, evaluate and target services to effectively reach forestland owners in the urban-rural interface. 297

 Strategy 1.4.2.—Increase support and funding for measures that result in the conservation of working forests within the urban-rural interface. 297

b. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies Summary

Strategy 1.4.3.—Provide training to promote a better understanding and implementation of multidisciplinary management opportunities that are appropriate for urban-rural interface ownerships. 298

Strategy 1.4.4.—Assist land management professionals with the delivery of programs and services that target urban-rural interface ownerships. 298

Goal 2.—Reduce negative impacts from forest threats..... 299

Objective 2.1.—Minimize the impacts of wildfire on forests, citizens, and communities. 299

Strategy 2.1.1.—Increase resources and capacity to respond to and manage wildland fires. 299

Strategy 2.1.2.—Educate the public, land management professionals, and government officials on wildland-urban interface fire risks, issues, and mitigation techniques..... 300

Strategy 2.1.3.— Encourage inter-organizational planning, policy-making, and collaboration that lead to the use of FireWise principles in construction and community planning..... 300

Strategy 2.1.4.—Increase decision support tools regarding fire danger, weather products, and fire response planning 301

Strategy 2.1.5.—Encourage preparation and implementation of Community Wildfire Protection Plans. 301

Strategy 2.1.6.—Develop fire prevention and response plans, as well as training, for areas with increased fuel loading..... 302

Objective 2.2.—Minimize negative impacts to forest health caused by major, locally significant, or imminent insects, diseases, and nonnative invasive plants. 302

Strategy 2.2.1.—Train natural resource professionals to better identify, understand, report, and respond to forest health threats. 302

Strategy 2.2.2.—Develop diverse information and education materials for the public to address identification and management of forest insect, disease, and non-native invasive threats..... 303

Strategy 2.2.3.—Promote the use of local firewood to prevent the spread of invasive pests. 303

Strategy 2.2.4.—Survey and monitor outbreaks and spread of major and locally significant forest insect and disease threats..... 304

Strategy 2.2.5.—Monitor the spread and movement (early detection) of imminent non-native invasive species. 304

Strategy 2.2.6.—Promote interorganizational policy-making, collaboration, and planning, including rapid response planning, to address introduction and containment of forest health threats..... 305

Strategy 2.2.7.—Use sound silviculture practices and urban forest-management practices to mitigate forest health risks and minimize damage from threats. 305

Objective 2.3.—Identify impacts and develop long-term approaches that minimize negative influences on forests caused by climate change, air quality, and weather events. 306

 Strategy 2.3.1.—Promote research and knowledge sharing targeted towards better understanding of potential direct impacts to trees and forests from climate change and air quality. 306

 Strategy 2.3.2.—Develop and promote forest-management practices specifically for areas most likely to be affected by sea-level change and saltwater intrusion. 306

 Strategy 2.3.3 Increase tree planting and use of silviculture practices to expand carbon storage capacities. 306

 Strategy 2.3.4.— Promote interorganizational preplanning (to include response planning, policy-making, and collaboration) that leads to coordinated responses to manage forest resources affected by damaging weather events. 307

Goal Statement 3.—Increase the restoration, maintenance, and management of fire-adapted species and ecosystems. 308

 Objective 3.1.—Promote a greater acceptance of prescribed fire and its increased use. 309

 Strategy 3.1.1.—Promote the application of prescribed fire as a management technique to benefit forest health, wildlife habitat, fuel reduction, and fire-adapted ecosystems. 309

 Strategy 3.1.2.—Promote and conduct applied fire and ecological research to better understand and manage the fire environment. 310

 Strategy 3.1.3.—Increase the resource capacity of trained and qualified personnel to conduct prescribed burning on private land. 310

 Strategy 3.1.4.—Publicize the importance, value, and benefits of prescribed fire and educate the public about these aspects. 311

 Strategy 3.1.5.—Support the efforts of prescribed burners to acquire adequate and affordable liability insurance. 311

 Objective 3.2.—Restore and conserve fire-adapted species, habitats, and forest ecosystems. 312

 Strategy 3.2.1.—Identify, evaluate, and support management and conservation opportunities for fire-adapted species, habitats, and forest ecosystems. 312

 Strategy 3.2.2.—Promote and publicize restoration efforts and the ecological importance of restoring fire to fire-dependent ecosystems, and educate the public about fire restoration efforts and importance. 312

 Strategy 3.2.3.—Promote the increased application of fire and ecological research and techniques to restore and manage fire-adapted species, habitats, and ecosystems. 313

b. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies Summary

Objective 3.3.—Restore and conserve longleaf pine forests. 314

 Strategy 3.3.1.—Identify, evaluate, and support management and conservation opportunities for longleaf pine forests in North Carolina..... 314

 Strategy 3.3.2.—Increase restoration of longleaf pine by afforestation, reforestation, and natural regeneration techniques. 314

 Strategy 3.3.3.—Increase the use of prescribed fire as a management tool to restore longleaf pine ecosystems. 315

 Strategy 3.3.4.—Publicize the benefits of restoring longleaf pine and educate landowners, resource professionals, and the public about these benefits. 315

Goal 4.—Maintain or increase the viability and sustainability of existing and emerging markets..... 316

 Objective 4.1.—Advocate forest sustainability and market viability (current and future) for consumers and producers. 317

 Strategy 4.1.1.—Advocate forest sustainability. 317

 Strategy 4.1.2.—Educate forestland owners and partnering agencies about current and future forest-market opportunities..... 317

 Strategy 4.1.3.— Provide technical assistance, information, and outreach to forest-based industries regarding forest sustainability and market viability..... 318

 Strategy 4.1.4.—Support and advocate for a favorable business environment for forest-based industries..... 318

 Objective 4.2.—Advocate and promote domestic and export market opportunities for traditional forest products, including biomass and underutilized species. 319

 Strategy 4.2.1.—Identify and promote the retention and recruitment of domestic and export markets for biomass, underutilized species, and low-grade materials, and traditional forest products. 319

 Objective 4.3.—Advocate and promote markets for forest-derived ecosystem services, non-timber products, and ecotourism. 320

 Strategy 4.3.1.—Identify and support entities involved in market retention, recruitment, and expansion of forest-derived ecosystem services, non-timber products, and ecotourism..... 320

 Strategy 4.3.2.—Create and disseminate information that explains the concept of ecosystem services, non-timber products, and ecotourism. 321

 Strategy 4.3.3.—Educate forestland owners and partners about current and future market opportunities for forest-derived ecosystem services, non-timber products, and ecotourism. 322

Goal 5.—Increase and enhance forest fish and wildlife habitat. 323

Objective 5.1.—Protect and conserve priority forest fish and wildlife habitat. 324

 Strategy 5.1.1.—Protect and conserve forestland with priority wildlife habitat through acquisition (fee simple) and conservation easements. 324

 Strategy 5.1.2.—Enroll private forestland in long-term, nonpermanent conservation agreements using federal and state conservation programs. 324

 Strategy 5.1.3.—Increase compliance with existing regulations that protect fish and wildlife habitat. 325

 Strategy 5.1.4.—Provide technical assistance for the protection or mitigation of forest wildlife habitats affected by transportation and utility infrastructure. 325

 Strategy 5.1.5.—Promote the sound management of riparian buffers with native species. 326

Objective 5.2.—Restore and actively manage forests to benefit priority fish and wildlife habitats. 327

 Strategy 5.2.1.—Use technical and financial assistance programs to identify and restore critical terrestrial and aquatic habitats at risk. 327

 Strategy 5.2.2.—Assist landowners with developing and implementing comprehensive forest-management plans that incorporate landowner wildlife management objectives and focus on utilizing silviculture practices that mimic natural ecosystem conditions beneficial to native wildlife species. 328

 Strategy 5.2.3.—Conserve, restore, and connect ecologically functioning forests to decrease fragmentation and enhance wildlife habitats. 329

Objective 5.3.—Promote the restoration and conservation of declining tree species and forest ecosystems. 330

 Strategy 5.3.1.—Assess, identify, and emphasize management and conservation strategies that prioritize declining tree species and forest ecosystems. 330

 Strategy 5.3.2.—Educate the public on the benefits, ecological importance, and value of restoring and conserving declining tree species and forest ecosystems. 331

Objective 5.4.—Educate natural resource professionals, the general public, landowners, and K-12 schoolchildren about forestland conservation, restoration, and management, and the value of forests for fish and wildlife habitat. 332

 Strategy 5.4.1.—Educate natural resource professionals on wildlife habitat management programs and initiatives. 332

 Strategy 5.4.2.—Educate the general public, landowners, policy-makers, and K-12 schoolchildren about forestland conservation, restoration and management and the value of forests for wildlife habitat. 333

b. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies Summary

Goal 6.—Manage, conserve, restore, and enhance forestlands important to current and future supplies of clean water for economic, social, and ecological uses. 334

Objective 6.1.—Increase implementation of forestry BMPs and compliance with water-quality regulations. 334

 Strategy 6.1.1.—Evaluate forestry operations for implementation of forestry BMPs and compliance with water-quality regulations..... 334

 Strategy 6.1.2.—Develop threshold criteria for determining when a noncompliant forestry operation directly contributes to a degradation or loss of in-stream aquatic habitat sufficient to warrant restoration or remediation of the affected water resource..... 335

 Strategy 6.1.3.—Increase the use of portable temporary bridging for crossing streams or ditches during forestry operations. 335

Objective 6.2.—Retain or increase the area of forestland within priority watersheds..... 336

 Strategy 6.2.1.—Conserve and acquire forestlands in priority watersheds for the purposes of protecting or restoring water quality, water supply, and aquatic habitat..... 336

Objective 6.3.—Conduct education and outreach on the relationships between forests and water resources..... 337

 Strategy 6.3.1: Educate natural resources professionals and landowners on how to protect water quality from nonpoint source pollution that may result from forestry operations..... 337

 Strategy 6.3.2.—Raise awareness of landowners, the general public, policy-makers, and K-12 schoolchildren on the relationship between forests, water quality, and nonpoint source pollution prevention..... 338

Objective 6.4.—Offer landowners technical assistance that incorporates water-resource management with forest management..... 339

 Strategy 6.4.1.—Assist landowners with assessing and managing their forests to protect watersheds or restore degraded aquatic conditions. 339

 Strategy 6.4.2.—Evaluate and promote the utilization of forestry practices to manage nonpoint source runoff from nonforested lands in transition areas between rural, suburban, and urban environments..... 340

Goal 7.—Enhance the benefits and sustainable management of urban forests. 341

Objective 7.1.—Reduce the impacts of land-use change and urbanization on forested landscapes in and around urban areas. 342

 Strategy 7.1.1.—Encourage the incorporation of forests and green space in land-use planning through the principles of green infrastructure, low-impact development (LID), and sustainability certification programs. 342

5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

| | |
|--|-----|
| Strategy 7.1.2.—Promote and support the active management of publicly-owned urban wooded areas for multiple-use benefits. | 343 |
| Objective 7.2.—Facilitate strategic planting and maintenance of community trees for public benefits. | 343 |
| Strategy 7.2.1.—Promote and support tree canopy analyses that model regional tree populations to determine their conditions, derived benefits, and values. | 343 |
| Strategy 7.2.2.—Assist communities in the development of long-term goals and large-scale tree-planting plans. | 344 |
| Strategy 7.2.3.—Develop guidelines for tree planting and maintenance to conserve energy and improve air quality. | 344 |
| Objective 7.3.—Assist communities with establishing and managing their urban forests. | 345 |
| Strategy 7.3.1.—Assist communities with establishing and retaining municipal tree manager positions. | 345 |
| Strategy 7.3.2.—Provide local governments assistance for tree inventories and enhanced GIS/spatial analysis capabilities that lead to improved management planning. | 345 |
| Objective 7.4.—Encourage policies and guidelines that sustain urban and community forests for the public’s benefit. | 346 |
| Strategy 7.4.1.—Raise awareness levels of elected officials and policy-makers on the benefits of urban trees and their management. | 346 |
| Strategy 7.4.2.—Enhance technical and professional capacity of tree-care professionals and the green industry. | 346 |
| Strategy 7.4.3.—Improve local governments’ capability to write effective tree ordinances and land-use policies. | 347 |

5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

5.c.

Abbreviations and Acronyms

Some of the acronyms used in the Goals, Objectives, and Strategies matrix differ from those used throughout the other sections of the *Statewide Forest Resources Assessment*. NC is omitted from state agency acronyms and other organizational acronyms to simplify the matrix.

Where confusion could occur between a state, local, federal, or national entity, NC is included in the acronym that applies to a state-level entity. NC is also included for certain commissions, foundations, and trusts.

NC Division of Forest Resources Programs, DENR

| | |
|--------------------|---|
| Air | Aviation Branch |
| BMP/NPS | Best Management Practices/Nonpoint Source Branch |
| BRIDGE | Young Offenders Conservation Program <i>Building, Rehabilitating, Instructing, Developing, Growing, Employing</i> |
| CWPP | Community Wildfire Protection Plan |
| ESF/SF | Educational State Forest/State Forest System |
| FCPB | Fire Control and Prevention Branch |
| FDP | Forest Development Program |
| FEB | Fire Environment Branch |
| FEPP | Federal Excess Personal Property Program |
| FFP | Firefighter Program |
| FHM | Forest Health Monitoring Program |
| FireWise | FireWise Program |
| FM | Forest Management Branch |
| FM&FD | Forest Management and Forest Development Section |
| Forest Protection | Forest Protection Section |
| Forest Stewardship | Forest Stewardship Program |
| FPG/WQ | Forest Practice Guidelines/Water Quality staff |
| FSPP | Forest Stewardship Plan Program |
| GSB | Geospatial Services Branch |
| I&E | Information and Education Branch |
| LE | Law Enforcement Branch |
| N&TI | Nursery and Tree Improvement Branch |
| Pest Control | Pest Control Branch |
| SP&A | Safety, Planning and Analysis Section |
| SPBPP | Southern Pine Beetle Prevention Program |
| TAR | Total Accomplishments Report |
| TD&P | Technical Development and Planning Branch |

U&CF Urban and Community Forestry Branch

Other Abbreviations and Acronyms

| | |
|------------|--|
| ADFPTF | N.C. Agricultural Development and Farmland Preservation Trust Fund |
| AF&PA | American Forest & Paper Association |
| APHIS | Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA |
| APNEP | Albemarle-Pamlico National Estuary Program, DENR |
| ARRA | American Recovery and Reinvestment Act |
| Assessment | <i>Statewide Forest Resources Assessment</i> document |
| BMP | Best Management Practice |
| CCAP | Community Conservation Assistance Program, DSWC |
| CCX | Chicago Climate Exchange |
| CGIA | NC Center for Geographic Information and Analysis |
| COG | Council of Government |
| CNR | NC State University College of Natural Resources |
| CPT | NC Conservation Planning Tool, DENR |
| CRP | Conservation Reserve Program, DSWC and NRCS |
| CREP | Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program, DSWC and NRCS |
| CWMTF | NC Clean Water Management Trust Fund |
| DACS | NC Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services |
| DAQ | NC Division of Air Quality, DENR |
| DCA | NC Division of Community Assistance, DOC |
| DCM | NC Division of Coastal Management, DENR |
| DEM | NC Division of Emergency Management, DENR |
| DENR | NC Department of Environment and Natural Resources |
| DFR | NC Division of Forest Resources, DENR |
| DLR | NC Division of Land Resources, DENR |
| DMF | NC Division of Marine Fisheries, DENR |
| DOC/CE | NC Department of Correction/Correction Enterprises |
| DOC | NC Department of Commerce |
| DOI | NC Department of Insurance |
| DOR | NC Department of Revenue |
| DOT | NC Department of Transportation |
| DPI | NC Department of Public Instruction |
| DPR | NC Division of Parks & Recreation/NC Parks, DENR |
| DSWC | NC Division of Soil & Water Conservation, DENR |
| DU | Ducks Unlimited |
| DWQ | NC Division of Water Quality, DENR |
| DWR | NC Division of Water Resources, DENR |
| EEP | NC Ecosystem Enhancement Program, DENR |
| EFETAC | Eastern Forest Environmental Threat Assessment Center, USFS |
| EPA | United States Environmental Protection Agency |
| EQIP | Environmental Quality Incentives Program, NRCS |
| FEPP | Federal Excess Personal Property |
| FEOP | Forestry & Environmental Outreach Program, NC State University |

5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

| | |
|---------|--|
| FERC | Federal Energy Regulatory Commission |
| FFP | Forest Fire Protection |
| FHTET | Forest Health Technology Enterprise Team, USFS |
| FIA | Forest Inventory and Analysis Program, USFS and DFR |
| FPG | NC Forest Practices Guidelines Related to Water Quality |
| FSA | Farm Service Agency, USDA |
| FSC | Forest Stewardship Council |
| HOA | home owners association |
| ISA | International Society of Arboriculture |
| LID | low-impact development |
| LEED | Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design |
| LLA | The Longleaf Alliance |
| NASF | National Association of State Foresters |
| NCCC | NC Community College System |
| NCACC | NC Association of County Commissioners |
| NCACF | NC Chapter of the Association of Consulting Foresters |
| NCAFC | NC Association of Fire Chiefs |
| NCAPA | NC Chapter of the American Planning Association |
| NCAPL | NC Association of Professional Loggers |
| NCCES | NC Cooperative Extension Service |
| NCDT | NC Division of Tourism, DOC |
| NCFA | NC Forestry Association |
| NCCGIA | NC Center for Geographical Information and Analysis |
| NCHBA | NC Home Builders Association |
| NCLM | NC League of Municipalities |
| NCMNS | NC Museum of Natural Sciences, DENR |
| NCNLA | NC Nursery & Landscape Association |
| NCPFC | NC Prescribed Fire Council |
| NCSFA | NC State Firemen's Association |
| NCSWCD | NC Soil & Water Conservation Districts |
| NCSU | NC State University |
| NCTFS | NC Tree Farm System (chapter of American Tree Farm System) |
| NCUFC | NC Urban Forest Council |
| NCWRC | NC Wildlife Resources Commission |
| NGO | nongovernmental organization |
| NHP | NC Natural Heritage Program, DENR |
| NHTF | NC Natural Heritage Trust Fund |
| NIPF(L) | non-industrial private forest (landowner) |
| NOAA | National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration |
| NPS | National Park Service |
| NPSP | nonpoint source pollution |
| NPV | net present value |
| NRCS | Natural Resources Conservation Service, USDA |
| NWCG | National Wildfire Coordinating Group |
| NWS | National Weather Service |
| NWTF | National Wild Turkey Federation |

c. Abbreviations and Acronyms

| | |
|--------------|---|
| OEE | NC Office of Environmental Education, DENR |
| OSFM | NC Office of State Fire Marshal, DOI |
| PLT | Project Learning Tree |
| PPE | personal protective equipment |
| PSA | public service announcement |
| QU | Quail Unlimited |
| RC&D | Resource Conservation and Development District |
| R(E)PS | Renewable (Energy) Portfolio Standard for North Carolina |
| Riverkeepers | Various river basin and county foundations that focus on river conservation |
| SAF | Society of American Foresters |
| SCO-NC | State Climate Office of North Carolina |
| SFI | Sustainable Forestry Initiative |
| SGSF | Southern Group of State Foresters |
| SMA | Society of Municipal Arborists |
| SPB | southern pine beetle |
| STS | Slow the Spread of Gypsy Moth Program, USDA |
| SWAP | State Wildlife Action Plan (<i>NC Wildlife Action Plan</i> document) |
| SWRA | Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment |
| TCF | The Conservation Fund |
| TIMO | timberland investment management organization |
| TNC | The Nature Conservancy |
| UFORE | Urban Forest Effects Model |
| UNC | University of North Carolina |
| USACE | U.S. Army Corps of Engineers |
| USBIA | U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs |
| USDA | U.S. Department of Agriculture |
| USDOD | U.S. Department of Defense |
| USEPA | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency |
| USFS | U.S. Department of Agriculture–Forest Service |
| USFS-NF | U.S. Department of Agriculture–Forest Service, National Forests |
| USFS-SGCP | U.S. Department of Agriculture–Forest Service, Southern Global Change Program |
| USFS-SPF | U.S. Department of Agriculture–Forest Service, State and Private Forestry |
| USFS-SRS | U.S. Department of Agriculture–Forest Service, Southern Research Station |
| USFWS | U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service |
| USGS | U.S. Geological Survey |
| USNPS | U.S. National Park Service |
| VAD | Voluntary Agriculture District |
| WRP | Wetlands Reserve Program |
| WUI | wildland-urban interface |

5.d.

Goals, Objectives, and Strategies Matrix

Goal 1.—Increase the sustainable management and conservation of forests.

Effectively sustaining and conserving North Carolina’s forestlands will require natural resource professionals and organizations to reach out to private landowners in new ways. Urban and urban-rural interface forests will continue to play an expanding role in delivering the many diverse benefits derived from woodlands. Inter-organizational cooperation will be needed to accomplish far-reaching tasks, such as identifying and conserving high-priority forestlands and reaching non-traditional and underserved landowners. Forestry organizations will need to adapt to an ever-urbanizing population in order to understand and help forestland owners and users meet their multi-dimensional management objectives. Simultaneously, these organizations must remain grounded in the science of silviculture and be able to provide guidance on actively managing forestlands in order to produce desired ecological, social and economic benefits.

| <p>Objective 1.1.—Conserve high-priority forest ecosystems and landscapes. (Addresses key Assessment findings in sections 2b, 3a, 3b, 4g, 4j, and USDA Forest Service national objectives 1.1, 1.2, 2.2, 3.1, 3.2, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7.)</p> | | | | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| <p>Strategy 1.1.1.—Collaborate with other natural resource organizations to identify high-priority forest ecosystems and landscapes.</p> | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map | Forest Legacy | DENR—NHP | Increased funding for GIS mapping capability | Improved level of coordination among state agencies responsible for land acquisition and conservation |
| Conserving Working Forestlands Map | Forest Stewardship | NCWRC | Identification of lead individual and/or agency and formation of interagency team focusing on forestland conservation | Current and new partnerships that develop to assist with conservation efforts or to create new initiatives within high-priority ecosystems or landscapes |
| Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map | FM | Land trusts | | |
| Forest Legacy “Areas of Need” | GSB | ADFPTF | | |
| Priority Ecosystems (State Wildlife Action Plan) | | CWMTF | | |
| Significant Natural Heritage Areas (Natural Heritage Program) | | NHT | | |

d. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies Matrix

| Strategy 1.1.2.—Assist land management professionals with the delivery of programs and services that conserve high-priority forest ecosystems and landscapes. | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Conserving Working Forestlands Map | FM | NCWRC | Increased funding for GIS mapping capability | Acres of forest permanently protected or conserved in high-priority ecosystems and landscapes |
| Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map | Forest Legacy Forest Stewardship GSB | NRCS FSA DENR— DSWC, EEP | Training on conservation easements as well as forestry, wildlife, and conservation programs for financial and tax benefits | Current and new partnerships that develop to assist with the conservation efforts or to create new initiatives within the high-priority areas |
| Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map | BMP/NPS | CREP NCSWCD | Natural resource professional positions for technical assistance in priority areas | Number of technical assistance positions created or devoted to high-priority areas |
| Priority Forest Watershed Map | | Land trusts NCCES USFWS | | Forest conservation workshops delivered in high-priority areas |

| Objective 1.2.—Assist landowners with actively and sustainably managing forests for economic and social benefits. (Addresses key Assessment findings in sections 2b, 2d, 2e, 2f, 3a, 3b, 3c, 4a, 4e, 4f, 4g, 4h, 4i, 4k and USDA Forest Service national objectives 1.1, 1.2, 2.2, 3.1, 3.2, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7) | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Strategy 1.2.1.—Provide increased technical and professional assistance to forest landowners that results in more active and sustainable management of their forestland. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Conserving Working Forestlands Map | FM | NRCS | Funding for state and federal forest conservation cost-share programs | Number of forest management, stewardship, wildlife habitat, and urban plans that benefits forests |
| Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map | Forest Stewardship U&CF TD&P | FSA NCWRC NCACF | Funding for state and federal initiatives and conservation programs | Number of acres where forestry management is accomplished or that are affected by management practices that benefit forests |
| Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map | BMP/NPS | USFS NCTFS | Natural resource professionals to provide technical guidance, assistance, and implementation | Number of technical assists to local communities and municipalities for forest management, forest health, and urban assistance |
| Priority Forest Watershed | | | Funding to conduct research and transfer knowledge regarding forest management, wildlife, forest health, fire, and ecological issues Forestry, wildlife, and conservation programs for financial and tax benefits Funding to conduct social marketing and landowner outreach | |

5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

| Strategy 1.2.2.—Increase support and funding for state and federal cost-share programs that result in more active and sustainable management of forestland. | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map Conserving Working Forestlands Map | FM Field staff | DOR NC Legislature Forest product companies FSC NCFA USFS NRCS USFWS | Funding for state and federal forest conservation cost-share programs Outreach and education programs | Levels of legislative and federal funding Continued funding of the USDA Farm Bill components Educational programs directed at funding and supporting forest conservation cost-share programs |
| Strategy 1.2.3.—Strengthen and support forest nursery and tree improvement programs to ensure a stable supply of seedlings. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| North Carolina forest nurseries and tree improvement programs | N&TI Forestation Unit Field staff | USFS NCSU Cooperative Tree Improvement Program | Increased funding and support for nursery, tree improvement, and genetic work in North Carolina | Capacity to meet the current and future reforestation and afforestation needs (including species in decline) Establishment and distribution of genetically-improved planting stock Number of research and/or technical projects participated in by the N&TI program Acres planted with genetically-improved seedlings |
| Strategy 1.2.4.— Increase landowners’ understanding of, and participation in, forest certification. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Rural Priority Landscape Map Urban Priority Landscape Map Conserving Working Forests Map Forest Legacy “Areas of Need” | FM TD&P BMP/NPS Forest Stewardship | NCFA NCTFS FSC AF&PA SFI Green Tag Southern Center of Sustainable Forestry NCCES SGSF Southern Forests Network | Landowner surveys Funding for DFR personnel dedicated to working on forest certification and forest sustainability issues Funding for training and outreach programs for professionals and landowners | Number of acres certified under a forest certification system Supply of certified forest products available for market demands Number of third-party assessment organizations to certify and audit certified forests Number of forest certification workshops, training sessions, and outreach events for landowners and natural resource professionals Increased awareness, understanding, and willingness of landowners to participate in forest certification programs |

d. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies Matrix

| <p>Objective 1.3.—Assess and redefine services provided to forestland owners to efficiently and effectively meet their diverse management objectives.</p> <p>(Addresses key Assessment findings in sections 2b, 2c, 2d, 2e, 2f, 3a, 3b, 3c, 4a, 4b, 4d, 4e, 4f, 4g, 4h, 4i, 4j, 4k and USDA Forest Service national objectives 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 2.2, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7)</p> | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|---|
| <p>Strategy 1.3.1.—Assess, evaluate, and develop services to effectively reach nontraditional, underserved, and traditional forest landowners.</p> | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| <p>Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map</p> <p>Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map</p> | <p>FM</p> <p>Forest Stewardship</p> <p>Forest Legacy</p> <p>U&CF</p> <p>TD&P</p> <p>FPG/WQ</p> <p>Forest Protection</p> <p>FEB</p> <p>Firewise</p> <p>I&E</p> <p>SP&A</p> <p>FIA</p> | <p>NRCS</p> <p>FSA</p> <p>NCWRC</p> <p>NCACF</p> <p>USFS</p> <p>FIA</p> <p>NCTFS</p> <p>NCCES</p> <p>Land trusts</p> <p>NCFA</p> <p>Landowners</p> | <p>Funding for landowner surveys</p> <p>Funding for state and federal initiatives and conservation programs</p> <p>Outreach and education programs for nontraditional and underserved forest landowners</p> <p>Natural resource professionals to provide technical services</p> | <p>Number of forest management, stewardship, wildlife habitat, and urban plans provided</p> <p>Number of nontraditional, underserved, and traditional forestland owners served</p> <p>Number of acres that have forest or wildlife management practices applied</p> <p>Number of technical assists to local communities and municipalities</p> <p>New initiatives and programs aimed at reaching an increased number of forestland owners</p> |

5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

| Strategy 1.3.2.—Strengthen and develop outside partnerships with public and private entities at federal, state, and local levels to improve and coordinate services and service delivery. | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map Forest Legacy “Areas of Need” | FM Forest Stewardship Forest Legacy FPG/WQ BMP/NPS U&CF TD&P Forest Protection FEB Firewise CWPP I&E ESF/SF Field staff GSB | NCTFS NCACF NCFA NCCES FEOP NC Woodlands Land trusts NRCS FSA USFS SGSF NCWRC USFWS TNC USDOD DENR—DEM NCPFC NCDOR Local tax offices NCSWCD NGOs COG and municipal leaders County planning boards | Development and implementation of interorganizational MOUs Identification of lead individuals and organizations and formation of teams focusing on program services Funding to support leadership development and program understanding USFS funding (ex. redesign grants) | Improved level of coordination (ex updated MOUs, joint partnerships) among organizations that affect forests and forestland owners Current and new partnerships that develop to assist with forest conservation efforts Number of interorganizational outreach programs delivered Creation and implementation of landscape-level forest conservation efforts Improved level of coordination among local governments and the forestry community resulting in regional/county green infrastructure plans |

d. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies Matrix

| Objective 1.4.—Strengthen and support an urban-focused initiative that meets ownership objectives for urban-rural interface landowners and communities. (Addresses key Assessment findings in sections 2b, 2c, 2d, 2e, 2f, 3a, 3b, 3c, 4a, 4b, 4e, 4f, 4g, 4h, 4i, 4j, 4k and USDA Forest Service national objectives 1.2, 2.2, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6.) | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| Strategy 1.4.1.—Assess, evaluate and target services to effectively reach forestland owners in the urban-rural interface. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Wildland-urban interface map (Figure 3b-6) Protecting the Wildland-Urban Interface Map Conserving Working Forests Map | FM FSSP U&CF Forest Protection FEB Firewise FIA | USFS NRCS FSA NCSWCD NCCES Land trusts | Funding for surveys and research directed at targeted landowners and communities Funding for state and federal initiatives and conservation programs Outreach and education programs targeted at wildland-urban interface landowners and communities Natural resource professionals to provide technical services | Development of new programs or services to meet management objectives for landowners within the urban-rural interface Increased number of management plans and acres under management by landowners within the urban-rural interface Number of NC FireWise communities |
| Strategy 1.4.2.—Increase support and funding for measures that result in the conservation of working forests within the urban-rural interface. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Wildland-urban interface map (Figure 3b-6) Protecting the Wildland-Urban Interface Map Conserving Working Forests Map Forest Legacy “Areas of Need” | FM Forest Legacy Forest Stewardship U&CF | DENR NC Legislature Land trusts NRCS FSA USFS | Increased state and federal funding for land conservation measures within the urban-rural interface Funding for state and federal initiatives, cost-share programs, and conservation programs Natural resource professionals to provide technical services Favorable tax policies that benefit forestry, wildlife, and conservation programs | Number of landowners that implement conservation practices and measures in the urban-rural interface Number of landowners in the urban-rural interface that enroll their land in conservation easement programs Awareness level of landowners and communities regarding the benefits of working forests within the urban-rural interface Number of technical service providers focusing efforts in the urban-rural interface Number of landowners participating in tax incentive programs relating to forestry, wildlife, and conservation programs |

5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

| Strategy 1.4.3.—Provide training to promote a better understanding and implementation of multidisciplinary management opportunities that are appropriate for urban-rural interface ownerships. | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|---|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Wildland-urban interface map (Figure 3b-6) Protecting the Wildland-Urban Interface Map Conserving Working Forests Map | FM U&CF Forest Stewardship TD&P | NCCES USFS NRCS NCWRC NCTFS | Identification of lead individual and/or agency and formation of interagency team focusing on urban-rural interface management opportunities Funding for urban-rural interface professional training (ex. Changing Roles) Funding to deliver urban-rural landowner training (ex. Small Woodlot Management Program; FireWise) | Number of professionals who have completed the Changing Roles program Trainings on multi-disciplinary management techniques and opportunities (ex. Changing Roles) Development of new training programs to meet management objectives for landowners within the urban-rural interface (ex. Small Woodlot Management Program; FireWise) Increased number of management plans and acres under management by landowners within the urban-rural interface Number of NC FireWise communities |
| Strategy 1.4.4.—Assist land management professionals with the delivery of programs and services that target urban-rural interface ownerships. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Wildland-urban interface map (Figure 3b-6) Protecting the Wildland-Urban Interface Map Conserving Working Forests Map | FM Forest Legacy Forest Stewardship U&CF BMP/NPS Forest Protection FireWise FEB CWPP | DENR NCTFS NCACF NRCS FSA USFS Land trusts | Increased funding for GIS mapping capability Professional training on forestry, wildlife, and conservation programs that benefit the urban-rural interface Natural resource professional positions for technical assistance targeting the urban-rural interface | Number of landowners that implement conservation practices and measures Level of awareness of landowners regarding the benefits of working forests within the urban-rural interface Number of technical assists to local communities or landowners within the urban-rural interface Number of management plans and acres under management by landowners within the urban-rural interface Number of NC FireWise communities Number of technical assistance positions created or devoted to urban-rural interface areas Number of forest conservation programs delivered in urban-rural interface areas |

Goal 2.—Reduce negative impacts from forest threats.

Fires, insects, diseases, invasive weeds, climate changes, and catastrophic events were identified in the *Statewide Forest Resource Assessment* as potential threats to forests, causing impacts such as mortality, loss of productivity and diversity, and declines in forest health.

Objectives and strategies under Goal 2 are designed to reduce the potential for overwhelming harm in priority areas identified as facing high risks from each of these threats. Protecting forests and trees from the negative effects of wildfire, pest insects, and diseases has long been an objective of natural resource management programs within the state. Protecting property and human resources has become increasingly complex because of human population growth into previously wooded areas, lack of prescribed burning, and the introduction of non-native pests and weeds into North Carolina. In addition, sea-level rise, air pollution, and potential increases in the frequency and intensity of weather events provide additional challenges to growing healthy forests.

Improved cooperation needs to occur among a diverse base of (1) local, state, and federal agencies and programs; (2) nongovernment organizations; and (3) landowners and homeowners. Cooperation will be a key component of any strategy designed to successfully manage for, prepare for, and respond to these forest threats. Emphasis on information and education, prevention, mitigation, monitoring, and control will be employed along with management to improve overall forest health, resilience, and resistance to threats.

| Objective 2.1.—Minimize the impacts of wildfire on forests, citizens, and communities. (Addresses key Assessment findings in sections 3a, 3b, 3c and USDA Forest Service national objectives 2.1, 2.2, 3.3) | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Strategy 2.1.1.—Increase resources and capacity to respond to and manage wildland fires. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Protecting Forests and Communities from Wildfire Risk Map | FCPB FEPP FFP | OSFM NCAFC NCSFA | Funding for wildland fire agencies' equipment, such as PPEs, engines, tractor-plow units, aircraft, weather stations, smoke monitors, etc. | Number of wildland fire emergency responders trained Number of fire department members trained |
| Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map | Fire Department Assistance FEB | USFS USFWS NPS | Funding for fire department wildland fire equipment, such as PPEs, brush trucks, engines, Class A foam equipment, etc. | Trained wildland firefighters and incident management teams FEPP/FFP equipment screened and distributed |
| Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map | I&E | USBIA USDOD DENR—DEM, DPR NCWRC | Funding for training Funding for PPE and safety equipment for fire departments | Quantities of agency firefighting equipment obtained. Quantity of wildland PPE, equipment, and foam purchased by fire departments |

5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

| Strategy 2.1.2.—Educate the public, land management professionals, and government officials on wildland-urban interface fire risks, issues, and mitigation techniques. | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Protecting Forests and Communities from Wildfire Risk Map | FCPB FireWise Fire prevention and mitigation | OSFM NCAFC NCFSA USFS | Funding for landowner and community surveys (ex. educational needs assessment) | Number of FireWise communities Number of outreach efforts targeting wildland-urban interface landowners and communities (ex. number of attendees) |
| Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map | FEB CWPP U&CF | USFWS USNPS/USBIA USDOD | Funding to conduct public outreach, including materials (ex. billboards, television PSAs, workshops, and newspaper print ads) | Level of public awareness about the risks, issues, and mitigation techniques relating to wildland-urban interface fires Number of acres treated to reduce hazardous fuel loads |
| Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map | FM I&E I&E SP&A | DENR—DEM NCCES UNC System NCCC NCPFC TNC | Funding for Fire Danger Adjective Rating signs | |
| Strategy 2.1.3.— Encourage inter-organizational planning, policy-making, and collaboration that lead to the use of FireWise principles in construction and community planning. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Protecting Forests and Communities from Wildfire Risk Map | FCPB FEB U&CF I&E | OSFM NC Building Code Council NCAFC NCSFA | Policy aimed at mitigating wildfire risk through state or local government regulations and policies (ex. building code changes) | Reduced number of homes lost to wildfire Number of FireWise communities Changes to state and local government regulations or policies based on FireWise principles (ex. NC building code handbook) |
| Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map | FireWise Fire Prevention and Mitigation | NCHBA USFS | Development and implementation of interorganizational MOUs | Improved level of coordination (ex. updated MOUs, joint partnerships) between organizations that leads to the use of FireWise principles in construction and community planning. |
| Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map | CWPP | USFWS USNPS BIA USDOD DENR—DEM NCCES UNC System NCACC DCA | Identification of lead individuals and/or organization and formation of teams focusing on FireWise Funding to support leadership development and FireWise program understanding USFS funding (ex. redesign grants) | Number of interorganizational outreach programs delivered |

d. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies Matrix

| Strategy 2.1.4.—Increase decision support tools regarding fire danger, weather products, and fire response planning. | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Protecting Forests and Communities from Wildfire Risk Map Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map | FCPB FEB | NWS SCO–NC OSFM NCAFC NCFSA USFS USFWS USNPS BIA USDOD DENR—DEM | Funding for research and expansion of DFR FEB Funding for professional and landowner training on assessing burning conditions for wildfire protection or prescribed fire burning utilizing developed tools Funding to develop and support a NC Interagency Fire Environment Weather Observation network Funding for education and outreach Climatology research and data for planned and unplanned ignitions | Decision support tools developed Average acreage per wildland fire Improved wildfire control efficiency and effectiveness at field level Development of an NC Interagency Fire Danger Operating Plan and Weather Observation network Number of hazard reduction burned acres Number of structures threatened or destroyed Development of a Burn versus No-Burn Days Program Report on fire weather and fuel conditions that support large or extreme fire growth and successful planned ignitions |
| Strategy 2.1.5.—Encourage preparation and implementation of Community Wildfire Protection Plans. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Protecting Forests and Communities from Wildfire Risk Map Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map | FCPB FireWise Fire Prevention and Mitigation CWPP FEB U&CF FM I&E GSB | OSFM NCAFC NCFSA USFS USFWS USNPS BIA USDOD DENR—DEM NCCES UNC System | Funding for training professionals Funding for outreach program (ex. Fire Danger Adjective Rating materials) Increased funding for GIS capability | Number of completed CWPPs Acres of fuel mitigation accomplished Number of mitigation actions recommended in plans being implemented |

5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

| Strategy 2.1.6.—Develop fire prevention and response plans, as well as training, for areas with increased fuel loading. | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Protecting Forests and Communities from Wildfire Risk Map | FCPB FireWise Fire Prevention and Mitigation | OSFM NC Building Code Council NCAFC | Funding for fuels and fire weather workshops to assess burning conditions and areas of concern | Number of fire prevention and response plans written Number of acres under fire prevention and response plans Number of response trainings completed |
| Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map | CWPP Pest Control U&CF | NCSFA NCHBA USFS | Funding for semipermanent Fire Environment weather observation stations | Number of Annual Fire Season Assessment Forecast reports delivered Number of deployments for Fire Environment monitoring to areas of elevated risk |
| Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map | FEB I&E FHM | USFWS USNPS BIA USDOD DENR—DEM NCCES UNC System NCACC DCA | Funding for Fire Environment monitoring equipment | |

| Objective 2.2.—Minimize negative impacts to forest health caused by major, locally significant, or imminent insects, diseases, and nonnative invasive plants. (Addresses key Assessment findings in sections 3a, 4j and USDA Forest Service national objectives 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 2.2, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| Strategy 2.2.1.—Train natural resource professionals to better identify, understand, report, and respond to forest health threats. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Forest Health Priority map Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map | Pest Control U&CF FM Field staff | NCDA—Plant Industry Division USFS—FHP, U&CF, NFNC, SRS DENR—DPR, NHP, NCMNS NCWRC NCCES NCSU (Entomology and Pathology Depts.) NCFA NCTFS TNC NCACF Certified arborists | Funding for training program and materials for professionals | Number of trainings Number of training aids developed Number of resource professionals trained |

d. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies Matrix

| Strategy 2.2.2.—Develop diverse information and education materials for the public to address identification and management of forest insect, disease, and non-native invasive threats. | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Major forest insect and disease threats map (Figure 3a-1) Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map | Pest Control I&E U&CF Field staff | DENR—DPR, NHP, NCMNS USFS—FHP, U&CF, NFNC, FHM, FHTET, STS, EFETAC USDI—USPS, USFWS NCFA NCTFS NCACF ISA City governments NCUFC NCSU (Plant and Disease Clinic) NCCES TNC Landowners Homeowners State forestry agencies —GA, SC, TN, VA | Funding for I&E materials Funding for Internet server space and enhanced Web presence | Number of products developed (ex. podcasts, webpages, news articles, posters, brochures, and other media) Number of users accessing materials through the Internet |
| Strategy 2.2.3.—Promote the use of local firewood to prevent the spread of invasive pests. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Forest Health Priority map Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map | Pest Control I&E U&CF Field staff | DENR—DPR, NHP, NCMNS USFS—FHP, U&CF, NFNC, FHM, FHTET, STS, EFETAC Private organizations USDI—USNPS, USFWS NCFA TNC State forestry agencies —AL, AR, FL, GA, KY, LA, MS, OK, SC, TN, TX, VA | Funding for I&E materials Funding for Internet server space and enhanced Web presence | Number of products developed (ex. podcasts, webpages, news articles, posters, brochures and other media) Number of users accessing materials through the Internet Number of camping/park facilities utilizing the I&E materials |

5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

| Strategy 2.2.4.—Survey and monitor outbreaks and spread of major and locally significant forest insect and disease threats. | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Major forest insect and disease threats map (Figure 3a-1) Southern pine beetle hazard map (Figure 3a-2) | Pest Control U&CF Field staff FEB GSB | NCDA—Plant Industry Division (Gypsy Moth and other programs) USFS—FHP, U&CF, NFNC, FHM, FHTET, SRS DENR—DPR, NHP, NCMNS and others NCCES NCSU (Entomology and Pathology Depts., Plant and Disease Clinic) | Funding for monitoring and trapping supplies/ equipment (ex. data recorders, digital aerial sketch mappers) Funding for increased GIS, database, and server capability | Number of insect and disease surveys completed Number of pest occurrences detected Number of outbreak locations included in Annual Fire Season Assessment Report |
| Strategy 2.2.5.—Monitor the spread and movement (early detection) of imminent non-native invasive species. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Imminent forest health threats map (Figure 3a-4) Major non-native invasive imminent threats map (Figure 3a-5) | Pest Control U&CF Field staff GSB | NCDA—Plant Industry Division USFS—FHP, U&CF, NFNC, FHM, FHTET, STS, EFETAC USDA—APHIS DENR—DPR, NHP, NCMNS and others NCCES NCSU (Entomology and Pathology Depts., Plant and Disease Clinic) TNC NCUFC NC Invasive Species Advisory Committee Southern App. Coop. Weed Mgmt. Partnership NC Nursery and Landscape Association State forestry agencies —GA, SC, TN, VA | Funding for monitoring equipment (ex. data recorders, digital aerial sketch mappers) Funding for increased GIS, database, and server capability | Number of monitoring surveys completed Number of notices released to forestry stakeholders Number of partnerships developed to assist with monitoring |

d. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies Matrix

| Strategy 2.2.6.—Promote interorganizational policy-making, collaboration, and planning, including rapid response planning, to address introduction and containment of forest health threats. | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Imminent forest health threats map (Figure 3a-4) Forest Health Priority map Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map | Pest Control U&CF FM Field staff | NC Legislature NCDA–Plant Industry Division USFS USDA–APHIS DENR NCCES NCSU (Entomology and Pathology Depts.) USNPS USFWS TNC Native plant societies NCUFC NCFA, NCTFS, NCACF N.C. Invasive Species Advisory Committee Southern App. Coop. Weed Mgmt. Partnership N.C. Nursery and Landscape Association State forestry agencies—GA, SC, TN, VA | Identification of lead individuals and/or organization and formation of teams focusing on policy-making, collaboration, and planning, including rapid response planning Development and implementation of interorganizational MOUs Funding for rapid response planning, personnel, and equipment Funding to support leadership development and program understanding USFS funding (ex. Redesign grants) | Identification of primary contacts for various phases of invasion Development of communications plan Development of targeted strategic plans Number of strategic plans implemented Number of partnerships developed Number of collaborative projects Number of MOUs developed Changes to state and local government regulations or policies to deal with current and imminent threats |
| Strategy 2.2.7.—Use sound silviculture practices and urban forest-management practices to mitigate forest health risks and minimize damage from threats. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Forest Health Priority map Southern pine beetle hazard map (Figure 3a-2) Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map | FM Pest Control U&CF Forest Stewardship Field staff SPBPP CWPP | NCFA NCTFS USFS—FHP, U&CF, NFNC, SRS NCCES NCUFC NCACF TNC Land trusts | Natural resource professionals to provide technical services Funding to implement Integrated Pest Management and conduct outreach Increased availability of silvicultural equipment and operators | Number of management plans addressing forest health risks Number of innovative approaches developed to minimize forest health risks Number of silviculture practices implemented to minimize forest health risks Number of acres managed to prevent southern pine beetle outbreaks Number of acres thinned utilizing SPBPP Cost-share Program |

5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

| <p>Objective 2.3.—Identify impacts and develop long-term approaches that minimize negative influences on forests caused by climate change, air quality, and weather events.</p> <p>(Addresses key Assessment findings in sections 2c, 3c, 4d, 4j and USDA Forest Service national objectives 1.1, 1.2, 2.2, 3.2, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7)</p> | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| <p>Strategy 2.3.1.—Promote research and knowledge sharing targeted towards better understanding of potential direct impacts to trees and forests from climate change and air quality.</p> | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map | FM&FD Stewardship U&CF Pest Control SP&A | DENR—DPR, NHP, NCMNS, Climate Change Work Group CNR UNC System USFS—EFETAC, FHTET, FHM, SRS TNC Native plant societies | Funding for increased GIS, database, and server capability Climatology research on impacts of climate change on NC forests, trees and ecosystems | Number of research projects Number of species/ecosystems identified by tolerance level to climate conditions Number of species/ecosystems identified as at-risk Number of collaborative efforts implemented to minimize impacts of climate change |
| <p>Strategy 2.3.2.—Develop and promote forest-management practices specifically for areas most likely to be affected by sea-level change and saltwater intrusion.</p> | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| NC coastal areas within 6 feet of sea level map (Figure 3c-1) | FM&FD Stewardship U&CF Pest Control | DENR—DPR, NHP, NCMNS, Climate Change Work Group CNR UNC System USFS—EFETAC, FHTET, FHM, SRS TNC Native plant societies NCFA NCACF State forestry agencies — SC, VA | Research and modeling related to saltwater intrusion Funding for natural resource professional training Funding for increased GIS, database, and server capability | Identification of priority management zones Number of forest -management practices implemented Number of acres managed for transition to future conditions Number of training events Number of professionals trained |
| <p>Strategy 2.3.3 Increase tree planting and use of silviculture practices to expand carbon storage capacities.</p> | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map Urban Forest Priority | U&CF FM&FD I&E Forest Stewardship | NCUFC NCFA/NCTFS Native plant societies ISA | Funding for state and federal forest conservation cost-share programs (ex. FDP) Funding for state and | Number of trees planted Number of acres planted Number of silviculture practices implemented Number of acres under silviculture treatment |

d. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies Matrix

| | | | | |
|---------------|------|---|---|---|
| Landscape Map | N&TI | NCCES NGO's (ex. TNC, LLA) USDOD Utilities NCACF Forest industry Carbon traders (ex. CCX) Green industry Forest nurseries | federal initiatives and conservation programs Forestry, wildlife, and conservation programs for financial and tax benefits Natural resource professionals to provide technical guidance, assistance, and implementation Funding to conduct research and outreach regarding carbon storage Funding to conduct social marketing and landowner outreach USFS funding (ex. Redesign grants, U&CF Grants) | Tons of carbon stored Number of landowners participating in carbon sequestration programs Number of acres under carbon sequestration programs |
|---------------|------|---|---|---|

| Strategy 2.3.4.— Promote interorganizational preplanning (to include response planning, policy-making, and collaboration) that leads to coordinated responses to manage forest resources affected by damaging weather events. | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|---|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Tropical cyclone hazard areas map (Figure 3c-3) Ice storm hazard areas map (Figure 3c-4) Priority Urban Landscapes Priority Rural Landscapes | FM&FD U&CF I&E Pest Control BMP/NPS Field staff | NCFA NCUFC USFS—FHP, S&P DOT DENR—DEM Local governments NCTFS NCACF ISA State forestry agencies —GA, SC, TN, VA | Identification of lead individuals and/or organization and formation of teams focusing on policy-making, collaboration, and planning (ex. Storm Working Goup) Development and implementation of interorganizational MOUs Funding for personnel and equipment to develop strike teams Funding to conduct aerial survey Funding for storm-related I&E materials USFS funding (ex. Redesign grants) | Identification of primary contacts for various coordinated responses Changes to state and local government regulations or policies to deal with response to weather events and forest damage Number of prestorm organizational meetings Preparedness plans developed Number of Urban Storm Preparedness Kits developed and distributed Number of educational materials developed and distributed Number of strike teams trained, organized, and deployed Number of communities and landowners assisted Number of MOUs established Number of forest and urban tree storm damage assessments completed |

5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

| |
|---|
| <p>Goal Statement 3.—Increase the restoration, maintenance, and management of fire-adapted species and ecosystems.</p> |
|---|

Many ecosystems in North Carolina were shaped by fire. Without regular burning, the health and numbers of fire-adapted plants and ecosystems have declined. Goal 3 is to restore and maintain fire-adapted ecosystems through the application of prescribed burning.

To meet this goal, we must increase our capacity to apply fire to the forest landscape as well as improve our understanding of the fire environment. Research in fire behavior, fuels, and air quality will allow the development of fire and ecological research tools, models, and techniques to better understand the fire environment. These tools, models, and techniques will allow more resource professionals to conduct safe and effective prescribed burns. We must also educate the public about the benefits of fire on forest ecosystems and forest health to promote greater public acceptance prescribed fire and increase its use.

Longleaf pine forests are of special interest for conservation and restoration efforts in North Carolina and across the Southeast. Many local partnerships have formed to promote the restoration of longleaf pine forests through the increased use of prescribed fire and reforestation incentives. The NC Division of Forest Resources is an important partner in leading these efforts and helping to develop conservation and management strategies. Similar efforts are needed to identify and assess the status and condition of other fire-adapted species, habitats, and ecosystems. Key components of the effort to restore fire-adapted ecosystems are (1) educating the public on the value of these ecosystems and (2) training natural resource professionals on how to better manage and conserve them.

d. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies Matrix

| Objective 3.1.—Promote a greater acceptance of prescribed fire and its increased use. (Addresses key Assessment findings in sections 2e, 3a, 3b, 4g and USDA Forest Service national objectives 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 2.2, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7) | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| Strategy 3.1.1.—Promote the application of prescribed fire as a management technique to benefit forest health, wildlife habitat, fuel reduction, and fire-adapted ecosystems. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| NC fire occurrences map (Figure 3b-2) NC communities at risk of wildfire map (Figure 3b-7) Wildland-urban interface map (Figure 3b-6) Protecting the Wildland-Urban Interface Map Priority Ecosystems (State Wildlife Action Plan) Conserving Working Forestlands Map Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map | FM&FD Forest Stewardship Protection FEB FHM CWPP FireWise Educational and state forests BRIDGE Field staff GSB SP&A FDP FM | DENR—DAQ USFWS USFS USDOD NCWRC Natural Heritage TNC NCPFC USBIA USNPS SCO—NC NRCS | Development and implementation of inter-organizational MOUs Funding for state and federal cost-share programs (ex. FDP, EQIP, WHIP) Funding for state and federal initiatives and conservation programs Forestry, wildlife, and conservation programs for financial and tax benefits Merging of the fire danger and agriculture networks to create a Fire Environment Observation Network. Funding for increased GIS capability and development of a smoke management database | Completion of an interorganizational “Fire Danger Operating Plan” Number of acres where prescribed burning occurs for silviculture benefits, wildlife habitat enhancement, and fuel reduction. Number of acres of fire-dependent habitats restored Number of cooperative projects with DFR participation and technical assistance. Number of prescribed burning outreach and educational activities and programs. Number of weather observation stations Number of burning days available to conduct prescribed burning activities |

5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

| Strategy 3.1.2.—Promote and conduct applied fire and ecological research to better understand and manage the fire environment. | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| <p>NC smoke sensitive areas map (Figure 3b-4)</p> <p>Wildland-urban interface map (Figure 3b-6)</p> <p>Protecting the Wildland-Urban Interface Map</p> <p>Protecting Forests and Communities from Wildfire Risk Map</p> <p>Conserving Working Forestlands Map</p> <p>Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map</p> <p>Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map</p> | <p>FEB</p> <p>Forest Protection</p> <p>FM</p> <p>TD&P</p> <p>CWPP</p> <p>Firewise</p> <p>Field staff</p> <p>GSB</p> | <p>DENR—DAQ</p> <p>USFWS</p> <p>USFS—Fire Research Labs and Model Consortium</p> <p>USDOD</p> <p>NCWRC</p> <p>Natural Heritage</p> <p>TNC</p> <p>NCPFC</p> <p>NWCG</p> <p>NOAA</p> <p>SCO—NC</p> <p>USEPA</p> | <p>Identification of lead individuals and/or organization and formation of teams focusing on fire environment research</p> <p>Funding and personnel for applied fire, and ecological research, field application, validation, and implementation of fire environment products, projects, and activities</p> <p>Funding for increased GIS capability and development of models</p> <p>Funding to conduct professional training</p> | <p>Development of applied fire and ecological research tools and techniques to promote increased use of prescribed fire (ex. social behavior/marketing survey)</p> <p>Development of new models and techniques for practitioners (ex. fire behavior; fuels—airial, surface, and ground); estimating smoldering potential of organic soils, root mat, or deep duffs; smoke and air quality models)</p> <p>Number of cooperative applied fire research projects with DFR and/or fire environment efforts</p> <p>Number of new initiatives identified for future research and development</p> <p>Number of outreach activities to share research findings and information</p> |
| Strategy 3.1.3.—Increase the resource capacity of trained and qualified personnel to conduct prescribed burning on private land. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| <p>Wildland-urban interface map (Figure 3b-6)</p> <p>Protecting the Wildland-Urban Interface Map</p> <p>Conserving Working Forestlands Map</p> <p>Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map</p> | <p>Forest Protection</p> <p>Training officer</p> <p>FEB</p> <p>FM</p> <p>Field staff</p> <p>GSB</p> | <p>NCPFC</p> <p>NCACF</p> <p>USFWS</p> <p>USFS</p> <p>USDOD</p> <p>NCWRC</p> <p>NCCES</p> <p>TNC</p> | <p>Funding for natural resource professional training and outreach materials</p> <p>Funding for prescribed burning equipment and specialized tools</p> <p>Funding for development of and training on fuel and advanced smoke modeling programs</p> <p>Funding for increased GIS capability (ex. Real-time mapping of all planned and unplanned fires)</p> | <p>Number of training workshops</p> <p>Number of burning crews, Certified Burners and private contractors</p> <p>Amount of equipment and specialized tools to conduct prescribed burning (air quality and smoke monitoring stations, estimated smoldering potential sensor arrays for organic soils or deep duffs)</p> |

d. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies Matrix

| Strategy 3.1.4.—Publicize the importance, value, and benefits of prescribed fire and educate the public about these aspects. | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|---|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| NC fire occurrences map (Figure 3b-2) NC communities at risk of wildfire map (Figure 3b-7) Wildland-urban interface map (Figure 3b-6) Protecting the Wildland-Urban Interface Map Protecting Forests and Communities from Wildfire Risk Map Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map | FM Forest Protection I&E Unit Forest Stewardship ESF/SF | DENR USFS USFWS NCWRC NCCES NCPFC NWCG TNC USBIA USNPS USDOD | Funding for education and outreach efforts (ex. websites, workshops, media releases) Funding to conduct social marketing survey on the use of prescribe fire | Development of a website and information clearinghouse for the public Number of information and outreach materials developed and distributed Number of workshops and other training activities to promote prescribed fire Number of acres of forestland where prescribed burning occurred |
| Strategy 3.1.5.—Support the efforts of prescribed burners to acquire adequate and affordable liability insurance. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Wildland-urban interface map (Figure 3b-6) Protecting the Wildland-Urban Interface Map Protecting Forests and Communities from Wildfire Risk Map Conserving Working Forestlands Map Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map | FEB Forest Protection FM Law Enforcement Field staff | DENR— DAQ DOI NC Legislature NCPFC NCFA NOAA SCO–NC USEPA | State policy addressing the acquisition of adequate and affordable liability insurance for prescribed burners Funding to conduct research on current status of liability issues and costs Funding to develop and maintain a certification system for prescribed burners Funding for outreach | Development of policy that appropriately limits liability for prescribed burners Number of prescribed burners and private contractors who can conduct controlled burns Number of acres where prescribed burning occurred Number of insurance underwriters for prescribed burners Development of certification system for prescribed burners Number of outreach activities and materials that promote insurance opportunities |

d. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies Matrix

| Strategy 3.2.3.—Promote the increased application of fire and ecological research and techniques to restore and manage fire-adapted species, habitats, and ecosystems. | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| <p>NC fire occurrences map (Figure 3b-2)</p> <p>NC smoke sensitive areas map (Figure 3b-4)</p> <p>Protecting Forests and Communities from Wildfire Risk Map</p> <p>Conserving Working Forestlands Map</p> | <p>FEB</p> <p>Forest Protection</p> <p>FM</p> <p>TD&P</p> <p>FIA</p> <p>Field staff</p> <p>GSB</p> <p>Forest Stewardship</p> | <p>DENR—DAQ</p> <p>USFWS</p> <p>USFS – Fire Research Labs & Model Consortium</p> <p>USDOD</p> <p>NCWRC</p> <p>Natural Heritage</p> <p>TNC</p> <p>NCPFC</p> <p>NWCG</p> <p>NOAA</p> <p>SCO–NC</p> <p>USEPA</p> | <p>Identification of lead individuals and/or organization for improved coordination and collaboration among various state agencies, key stakeholders, and cooperative partners</p> <p>Funding for applied fire and ecological research</p> <p>Funding and personnel for applied fire and ecological research, field application, validation, and implementation of fire environment products, projects, and activities</p> <p>Funding for increased GIS capability and development of models</p> <p>Increased resource capacity and funding to support research efforts.</p> <p>Funding for professional training</p> | <p>Development of applied fire and ecological research tools and techniques to promote increased use of prescribed fire</p> <p>Development of new modeling tools and techniques for practitioners in the areas of fuels, fire behavior, smoke management, and air quality</p> <p>Number of cooperative applied fire research projects with DFR participation</p> <p>Number of acres (mgmt. units) of fire-adapted species habitat and ecosystems that are restored, conserved, and managed</p> <p>Number of activities that support the restoration, conservation, and management efforts for fire-adapted species, habitats, and ecosystems</p> <p>Number of burning days available to conduct prescribed burning activities</p> <p>Number of new initiatives identified for future research and development</p> <p>Number of workshops, advanced training sessions, and technical transfers of research findings and information</p> |

5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

| Objective 3.3.—Restore and conserve longleaf pine forests. (Addresses key Assessment findings in sections 2b, 2e, 2f, 4g and USDA Forest Service national objectives 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 2.2, 3.1, 3.3, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7) | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Strategy 3.3.1.—Identify, evaluate, and support management and conservation opportunities for longleaf pine forests in North Carolina. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| North Carolina longleaf pine forest distribution map (Figure 2b-1) Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map Priority Ecosystems (State Wildlife Action Plan) | FM Longleaf Initiative ARRA Longleaf Grant Forest Legacy TD&P Forest Stewardship GSB | DENR USFWS USFS USDOD NCWRC Natural Heritage NRCS FSA TNC Longleaf Alliance America’s Longleaf NC Longleaf Coalition | Identification of lead individuals and/or organization to improve coordination and collaboration among key stakeholders and partners Funding for increased GIS, inventory, and database of longleaf pine distribution and extent | Development of a regional or statewide strategic conservation plan Number of initiatives developed Number of collaborative projects developed Identification of priority conservation areas Number of MOUs established |
| Strategy 3.3.2.—Increase restoration of longleaf pine by afforestation, reforestation, and natural regeneration techniques. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| North Carolina longleaf pine forest distribution map (Figure 2b-1) Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map Conserving Working Forestlands Map | FM TD&P Longleaf Initiative ARRA Longleaf Grant Field staff N&TI Forestation FEB Forest Stewardship | NRCS FSA NCACF USFWS USFS USDOD NCWRC NCCES Research Cooperatives NGOs (ex. TNC, Longleaf Alliance, America’s Longleaf, NC Longleaf Coalition) | Funding for state and federal cost-share programs (ex. FDP) Funding for state and federal initiatives and conservation programs Funding for training, education, and outreach for professionals Funding for developing economic analysis tools and growth and yield models for longleaf pine Funding for professionals to provide service | Number of management plans that promote longleaf pine establishment Number of acres of longleaf pine restored. Number of activities or acres affected by practices that promote longleaf pine restoration Number of longleaf pine seedlings produced (nursery capacity) Number of pounds of improved longleaf seed produced Development of economic analysis tools and growth and yield models for Longleaf pine Number of professionals providing services related to restoration of longleaf pine |

d. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies Matrix

| Strategy 3.3.3.—Increase the use of prescribed fire as a management tool to restore longleaf pine ecosystems. | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| <p>NC fire occurrences map (Figure 3b-2)</p> <p>Protecting Forests and Communities from Wildfire Risk Map</p> <p>Conserving Working Forestlands Map</p> <p>Priority Ecosystems (State Wildlife Action Plan)</p> | <p>FM</p> <p>Longleaf Initiative</p> <p>ARRA Longleaf Grant</p> <p>Forest Protection</p> <p>FEB</p> <p>Field staff</p> <p>GSB</p> <p>Forest Stewardship</p> | <p>NCPFC</p> <p>NCACF</p> <p>USFWS</p> <p>USFS</p> <p>USDOD</p> <p>NCWRC</p> <p>NCCES</p> <p>NRCS</p> <p>DENR—DAQ</p> <p>NGOs (ex. TNC, Longleaf Alliance, America’s Longleaf, NC Longleaf Coalition)</p> | <p>Funding for state and federal cost-share programs (ex. FDP)</p> <p>Funding for state and federal initiatives and conservation programs</p> <p>Funding for training, education, and outreach for professionals</p> <p>Funding for developing fuel and atmospheric dispersion (smoke) models</p> <p>Development of a real-time Web-based GIS mapping of all fires (planned and unplanned ignitions)</p> | <p>Number of acres burned to benefit the restoration and maintenance of longleaf pine forests</p> <p>Number of burning days available to conduct prescribed burning activities</p> <p>Development of new modeling tools and techniques for practitioners in the areas of fuels and fire behavior, smoke management, and air quality</p> <p>Number of burning crews, certified burners, and private contractors</p> <p>Number of workshops and advanced training sessions that focus on the technical transfer of research findings and information</p> |
| Strategy 3.3.4.—Publicize the benefits of restoring longleaf pine and educate landowners, resource professionals, and the public about these benefits. | | | | |
| Priority Landscape Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| <p>North Carolina longleaf pine forest distribution map (Figure 2b-1)</p> <p>Conserving Working Forestlands Map</p> <p>Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map</p> <p>Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map</p> | <p>FM</p> <p>Longleaf Initiative</p> <p>ARRA Longleaf Grant</p> <p>Forest Protection</p> <p>I&E</p> <p>Forest Stewardship</p> <p>ESF/SF</p> <p>GSB</p> | <p>DENR</p> <p>USFS</p> <p>USFWS</p> <p>NCWRC</p> <p>NCCES</p> <p>NCPFC</p> <p>NGOs (ex. TNC, Longleaf Alliance, America’s Longleaf, NC Longleaf Coalition)</p> | <p>Funding for education and outreach efforts (ex. website, workshops, media releases)</p> <p>Funding for developing GIS tools, economic analysis tools, and growth and yield models for longleaf pine</p> | <p>Number of products developed (ex. podcasts, webpages, news article, posters, brochures and other media)</p> <p>Number of users accessing materials through the Internet</p> <p>Development of economic analysis tools and growth and yield models for longleaf pine</p> |

5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

| |
|--|
| Goal 4.—Maintain or increase the viability and sustainability of existing and emerging markets. |
|--|

This goal will focus our resources on working with new and existing partners and agencies to help maintain or increase the viability and sustainability of existing and emerging markets. North Carolina's forest products industry has undergone dramatic changes since 1990. Declines have occurred in the number of establishments and the types of products that are being produced by traditional forest enterprises. We need to promote traditional markets, strengthen traditional markets that are at-risk, develop new products for traditional markets, and promote emerging markets for ecosystem services and nontraditional forest resources.

Biomass will be an increasingly important market-sector at the urban-rural landscape level due to increasing demand for biomass as a feedstock for biopower and biofuels. Ecosystem services markets can also help meet the expected increase in demand placed upon our forest resources and the constituent components of clean water, clean air, wildlife habitat, and recreation. Many rural and urban landscape areas (as described in the Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map) may be suitable for ecosystem services for carbon management or conservation benefits. Localized niche markets developed around the growing, collecting and harvesting of non-timber products currently exist in North Carolina and may be further developed as interest in these products increases.

Any effort to establish, promote, and monetize forest-based markets should directly benefit forestland owners and the forest products industry, while indirectly benefiting the public. Implementing these specific strategies will result in (1) a more robust and economically strong forest products industry and (2) an increased number of market opportunities for landowners to increase supplemental income from their forestland. Landowners could use this income to sustainably manage their forestland for multiple benefits while contributing to a healthy environment and economy.

d. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies Matrix

| Objective 4.1.—Advocate forest sustainability and market viability (current and future) for consumers and producers. (Addresses key Assessment findings in sections 2b, 2c, 2e, 2f, 3c, 4a, 4d, 4e, 4g, 4h, 4i, 4j, 4k and USDA Forest Service national objectives 1.1, 1.2, 2.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6) | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| Strategy 4.1.1.—Advocate forest sustainability. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Softwood Market Strength Map Hardwood Market Strength Map Conserving Working Forestlands Map Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map | Field staff ESF/SF FM Forest Legacy Forest Stewardship GSB I&E Outreach State Forests TD&P U&CF BMP/NPS FPG/WQ | USFS NCACF NCFA Forest Industry NCCES FEOP AF&PA FSC NCTFS NCWRC NC Woodlands NCAPL NGOs Forest landowners SGSF | Funding for I&E materials Funding for Internet server space and enhanced Web presence USFS funding (ex. Redesign grants) Funding for training, education, and outreach for professionals | Number of products developed (ec. podcasts, webpages, news articles, posters, brochures, and other media) Number of users accessing materials through the Internet Number of landowner cooperatives Number of acres under sound forest management Number of workshops or training opportunities provided Number of professionals trained |
| Strategy 4.1.2.—Educate forestland owners and partnering agencies about current and future forest-market opportunities. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Softwood Market Strength Map Hardwood Market Strength Map Conserving Working Forestlands Map Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map | Field staff ESF/SF FM Forest Legacy Forest Stewardship Forest Protection GSB I&E TD&P U&CF BMP/NPS FPG/WQ FIA | USFS NCACF NCFA Forest Industry NCCES FEOP AF&PA FSC NCTFS NCWRC NC Woodlands NCAPL NGOs SGSF | Funding for I&E materials Funding for training, education, and outreach (ex. newsletter articles, e-mails, billboards and radio/television PSAs) USFS funding (ex. Redesign grants) | Number of products developed (ex. podcasts, webpages, news articles, posters, brochures, and other media) Number of users accessing materials through the Internet Number of workshops or training opportunities provided Number of landowners trained on forest markets |

5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

| Strategy 4.1.3.— Provide technical assistance, information, and outreach to forest-based industries regarding forest sustainability and market viability. | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Softwood Market Strength Map | FM | USFS | Identification of lead individuals and/or organization to develop partnerships among key partners and stakeholders focused on forest industry | Number of products developed (ex. podcasts, webpages, news articles, posters, brochures, and other media) |
| | Forest Protection | NCACF | | Number of users accessing materials through the Internet |
| Hardwood Market Strength Map | GSB | NCFA | Funding for I&E materials | Number of workshops or training opportunities provided |
| | I&E | NCCES | | Number of people trained |
| Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map | TD&P | FEOP | Funding for Internet server space and enhanced Web presence | Number of MOUs established |
| | U&CF | UNC System | | Number of clients served |
| Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map | BMP/NPS | NGOs | Funding for training, education, and outreach (ex. newsletter articles, e-mails, billboards, and radio/television PSAs) | |
| | FPG/WQ | NCDA – EXPORT | | |
| | FIA | NCAPL | Funding for developing GIS tools and market analysis | |
| | | DOC | | |
| | | SGSF | USFS funding (ex. Redesign grants) | |
| Strategy 4.1.4.—Support and advocate for a favorable business environment for forest-based industries. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Softwood Market Strength Map | FM | Field offices | Identification of lead individuals and/or organization and formation of partnerships focusing on business recruitment and retention | Number of forest-based industries created |
| | GSB | ESF/SF | | Number of products manufactured by primary and secondary processors |
| Hardwood Market Strength Map | I&E | FM | Policies aimed at recruiting and retaining forest-based industries | Number of jobs in forestry-related industries |
| | TD&P | Forest Legacy | | Amount of tax revenues from forestry-related industries |
| Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map | U&CF | Forest Stewardship | Development and implementation of inter-organizational MOUs | Changes to state and local government regulations or policies that support and advocate for a favorable business environment for forest-based industries |
| | | GSB | | Number of products developed (ex. podcasts, webpages, news articles, posters, brochures, and other media) |
| Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map | | I&E | Funding for training, education, and outreach (ex. newsletter articles, e-mails, billboards and radio/television PSAs) | Number of users accessing materials through the Internet |
| | | TD&P | | Number of workshops or training opportunities provided |
| | | U&CF | USFS funding (ex. Redesign grants) | Number of people trained |
| | | BMP/NPS | | Number of MOUs established |
| | | FPG/WQ | | Number of clients served |
| | | NCDA – EXPORT | | Number of grants awarded |
| | | DOC | | |
| | | Trade associations | | |
| | | SGSF | | |
| | | NCFA | | |

d. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies Matrix

| Objective 4.2.—Advocate and promote domestic and export market opportunities for traditional forest products, including biomass and underutilized species. (Addresses key Assessment findings in sections 2e, 3c, 4e, 4h, 4k and USDA Forest Service national objectives 1.1, 1.2, 2.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6) | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Strategy 4.2.1.—Identify and promote the retention and recruitment of domestic and export markets for biomass, underutilized species, and low-grade materials, and traditional forest products. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Softwood Market Strength Map | Field staff ESF/SF FM | USFS NCACF NCFA | Policies aimed at retention and recruiting of domestic and export markets. Funding for I&E materials | Number of products manufactured by primary and secondary processors Number of jobs in forestry-related industries |
| Hardwood Market Strength Map | GSB I&E TD&P | Forest Industry NCCES FEOP | Funding for Internet server space and enhanced Web presence Funding for developing GIS tools and market analysis | Amount of tax revenues from forestry-related industries Number of industries recruited or retained (ex. facilities capable of processing woody biomass, tree care businesses involved in urban biomass removal, utilities) |
| Conserving Working Forestlands Map | U&CF Forest Protection FIA | AF&PA FSC NCTFS NCWRC NC Woodlands NCAPL NGOs | Identification of lead individuals and/or organization to develop partnerships among key partners and stakeholders related to market development, utilization, and education USFS funding (ex. Redesign grants) | Number of markets developed Number of market analyses completed Number of I&E products developed (ex. podcasts, webpages, news articles, posters, brochures, social media, and other media) |
| Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map | | NCCES DoC | | Development of analytical GIS products for low-grade and underutilized forest products. Development of GIS database of buyers and sellers of forest products |
| Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map | | NCDA – EXPORT Trade associations APHIS | | Number of tons of woody biomass materials diverted from local landfills Number of MOUs established Number of clients served Number of grants awarded Value added for low-grade materials |

5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

| Objective 4.3.—Advocate and promote markets for forest-derived ecosystem services, non-timber products, and ecotourism. (Addresses key Assessment findings in sections 2a, 2b, 2c, 2d, 3b, 3c, 4a, 4d, 4e, 4f, 4g, 4h, 4i, 4j and USDA Forest Service national objectives 1.1, 1.2, 2.2, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7) | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| Strategy 4.3.1.—Identify and support entities involved in market retention, recruitment, and expansion of forest-derived ecosystem services, non-timber products, and ecotourism. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Wetland and stream mitigation site opportunities for private landowners Map (Figure 2f-1) Nutrient offset bank opportunities for private land owners (Figure 2f-2) Federally-listed species occurrences in North Carolina Map (Figure 2f-3) Forest carbon biomass in North Carolina Map (Figure 2f-4) Conserving Working Forestlands Map Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map | Field staff ESF/SF FM Forest Legacy Forest Stewardship GSB I&E ESF/SF TD&P U&CF BMP/NPS FPG/WQ | NCDA – EXPORT Trade Associations NCDT DENR—EEP Mitigation banks Conservation groups Land trusts Landowners FSC SFI ATFS Greentag USFS NRCS USEPA DOT DOC USFWS USDOD NCFA NCACF NCCES SGSF | Identification of lead individuals and/or organization to develop partnerships among key partners and stakeholders related to market development, expansion, and education Policies aimed at retaining and recruiting markets. Funding for Internet server space and enhanced Web presence Funding for developing GIS tools and market analysis USFS funding (ex. Redesign grants) Funding for market and product development for non-timber products and ecotourism | Number of MOUs established Changes to state and local government regulations or policies that support and advocate for a favorable business environment Number of business entities involved in forest-derived ecosystem services, non-timber products, and ecotourism markets Number of acres available for ecosystem services, non-timber products, and ecotourism Number of permits issued for plant collection Creation of analytical GIS products for non-timber products and eco-tourism Database of forest-derived ecosystem services, non-timber products, and ecotourism opportunities Spatial database of buyers and sellers of forest products, including non-timber products and ecotourism. Number of market analyses completed (ex. market analysis report of water treatment costs correlated with source of water from forested watersheds) Number of credits established and utilized (ex. water quality trading, carbon credits, nutrients) Number of jobs created Number of aggregators and traders recruited or retained Number of clients served Number of grants awarded |

d. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies Matrix

| Strategy 4.3.2.—Create and disseminate information that explains the concept of ecosystem services, non-timber products, and ecotourism. | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Wetland and stream mitigation site opportunities for private landowners map (Figure 2f-1) | Field staff ESF/SF FM Forest Legacy Forest Stewardship GSB | Landowners USEPA USFS DENR—DSWC NRCS Conservation groups | Funding for state and federal Initiatives and Programs Policies aimed at retaining and recruiting markets (ex. tax incentives) Funding for education and outreach (ex. websites, newsletter articles, e-mails, billboards, and radio/television PSAs) | Number of products developed (ex. podcasts, webpages, news articles, posters, brochures, demonstration sites, social media sites, and other media) Number of users accessing materials through the Internet Number of workshops or training opportunities provided |
| Nutrient offset bank opportunities for private land owners (Figure 2f-2) | I&E ESF/SF TD&P U&CF | Land trusts NCFA ESF/SF FM | Funding for developing GIS tools and market analyses (ex. quantifying ecosystem services and market viability) | Number of people attending educational workshops and training events |
| Federally-listed species occurrences in North Carolina map (Figure 2f-3) | BMP/NPS FPG/WQ | Forest Legacy Forest Stewardship GSB I&E | USFS funding (ex. Redesign grants) Funding for market and product development for non-timber products and ecotourism | |
| Forest carbon biomass in North Carolina Map (Figure 2f-4) | | TD&P U&CF BMP/NPS FPG/WQ NCDA – EXPORT | | |
| Conserving Working Forestlands Map | | DOC Trade associations | | |
| Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map | | NCACF NCCES NCDT SGSF | | |

5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

| Strategy 4.3.3.—Educate forestland owners and partners about current and future market opportunities for forest-derived ecosystem services, non-timber products, and ecotourism. | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Wetland and stream mitigation site opportunities for private landowners map (Figure 2f-1) | Field staff BMP/NPS U&CF Forest Stewardship N&TI TD&P | DENR—EEP, DSWC, DWQ, DAQ NRCS USACE DOT Mitigation banks | Identification of lead individuals and/or organization to develop partnerships among key partners and stakeholders focused on forest industries Funding for training natural resource professionals and landowners | Number of products developed (ex. podcasts, webpages, news articles, posters, brochures, demonstration sites on ESF/SF, and other media) Number of users accessing materials through the Internet Number of workshops or training opportunities provided Number of landowners attending workshops and training events |
| Nutrient offset bank opportunities for private landowners (Figure 2f-2) | I&E FEB FM ESF/SF | Conservation groups Land trusts USFWS Landowners | Funding for Internet server space and enhanced Web presence Funding for education and outreach (ex. newsletter articles, e-mails, billboards, and radio/television PSAs) | Number of landowners who participate in forest-derived ecosystem services, non-timber products, or ecotourism markets. Number of MOUs established |
| Federally-listed species occurrences in North Carolina map (Figure 2f-3) | Forest Legacy GSB FPG/WQ FIA | Forest certification programs NCFA NCACF NCCES NCDA – EXPORT DOC Trade associations NCDT SGSF | Funding for developing GIS and databases (ex. partnership directory) USFS funding (ex. Redesign grants) | Amount of funding for promotional materials Number of participants in collaborative projects and partnerships Creation of partnership directory and database Creation of analytical GIS products for non-timber products |
| Forest carbon biomass in North Carolina map (Figure 2f-4) | | | | |
| Conserving Working Forestlands Map | | | | |
| Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map | | | | |

Goal 5.—Increase and enhance forest fish and wildlife habitat.

The following objectives and strategies seek to increase and enhance fish and wildlife habitats across North Carolina's many diverse forests. With these objectives, we aim to conserve working forests as we seek to expand the public benefits that accrue from wildlife habitat sustainability. To prioritize this work, we look to forest ecosystems that are rare or declining, those that support rare and declining species, as well as those that support a diverse mix of wildlife species. Programs such as those available through the USDA Farm Bill are identified as tools currently available to increase fish and wildlife habitats. Funding resources to achieve management goals will come from various sources such as Farm Bill programs, competitive grants, Partners for Fish and Wildlife, and the Landowner Incentive Program, among others. Throughout North Carolina, various conservation partnerships exist with diverse members who focus on regionally important conservation targets. Conservation partnerships also bring together key partners in forestland conservation and are well-positioned to accomplish conservation objectives by combining and leveraging funds.

State and federal agency staff, land trusts personnel, and NGO biologists will provide technical assistance, individually and through partnerships. Tax incentives will be available through programs such as the Forest Legacy Program, NC Conservation Tax Credit, Forestry Present Use Valuation, and the Wildlife Conservation Land Program. An increase of private and public participation in wildlife conservation will develop—leading to forestland protection, habitat management, and environmental education. The result will be an increase in acres and enhancement of acres of protected and managed forestland benefitting wildlife. These objectives will be accomplished more specifically by such strategies as land acquisition, conservation easements, and conservation agreements, as well as by promoting forest-management plans and implementing forest-management practices that support natural forest ecosystems. The underlying key to success will come through education of landowners, citizens, and children.

Note: Several issues areas are not addressed within Goal 5 because they are addressed in other goals. For example, issues pertaining to the use of prescribed fire are addressed in Goal 3.

5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

| Objective 5.1.—Protect and conserve priority forest fish and wildlife habitat. (Addresses key Assessment findings in section 4g and USDA Forest Service national objectives 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 2.2, 3.1, 3.2, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Strategy 5.1.1.—Protect and conserve forestland with priority wildlife habitat through acquisition (fee simple) and conservation easements. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Priority Ecosystems (State Wildlife Action Plan) Forest Legacy “Areas of Need” Conserving Working Forestlands Map Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map | Forest Legacy Forest Stewardship Field staff FM | Landowners NRCS NCWRC USFWS USFS DENR—DPR, DSWC, NHP CWMTF TNC Land trusts USNPS NGO’s Riverkeepers Various NC conservation partnerships CREP | Funding for state and federal initiatives and programs (ex. fully funded CREP, WRP, Forest Legacy) Competitive grants Funding for training and dedicated personnel to provide technical services on conservation easements opportunities Forestry, wildlife, and conservation programs for financial and tax benefits (ex. NC Conservation Tax Credit) | Number of acres protected and conserved through acquisition or conservation easements Number of properties brought into an easement program Number of stream miles protected Number of Natural Heritage Program acres conserved Number of priority ecosystem acres conserved as identified by the State Wildlife Action Plan Number of floodplain acres conserved |
| Strategy 5.1.2.—Enroll private forestland in long-term, nonpermanent conservation agreements using federal and state conservation programs. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Priority Ecosystems (State Wildlife Action Plan) Conserving Working Forestlands Map Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map | Forest Stewardship FSPP FM Field staff | NRCS NCWRC USFWS DENR—NHP FSA TNC NGOs Partners for Fish and Wildlife Wildlife Conservation Land Program Safe Harbor CREP CWMTF | Funding for state and federal initiatives and programs (ex. fully funded FSPP, CRP, CREP, EQIP, WHIP, Partners for Fish/Wildlife Program) Competitive Grants Funding for training and dedicated personnel to provide technical services on conservation agreement opportunities Forestry, wildlife, and conservation programs for financial and tax benefits (ex. NC Conservation Tax Credit) Funding for Wildlife Conservation Land Program | Number of acres under long-term conservation agreements Number of priority species’ habitats protected Number of acres in Safe Harbor Number of acres enrolled in Farm Bill programs, such as CREP, annually Number of properties and acres listed in NC Registry of Natural Heritage Areas |

d. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies Matrix

| Strategy 5.1.3.—Increase compliance with existing regulations that protect fish and wildlife habitat. | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Priority Ecosystems (State Wildlife Action Plan) | | NCWRC DENR—DWQ NRCS USFWS Land trusts Wildlife Conservation Land Program | Funding for wildlife enforcement officers Funding to maintain NCWRC Enforcement Communication Center (1-800-662-7137) | Number of acres patrolled or monitored Number of wildlife violations reported Number of educational programs offered to increase awareness Number of wildlife enforcement officers hired Number of calls to NCWRC Enforcement Communication Center (1-800-662-7137) |
| Strategy 5.1.4.—Provide technical assistance for the protection or mitigation of forest wildlife habitats affected by transportation and utility infrastructure. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Priority Ecosystems (State Wildlife Action Plan) Forest Legacy “Areas of Need” Conserving Working Forestlands Map Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map | Forest Stewardship FSPP Forest Legacy U&CF BMP/NPS FM | NRCS NCWRC USFWS DENR—EEP, NHP DOT Land trusts USDOD FERC USEPA Utility companies | Funding for mitigation Funding to ensure an effective review and permitting process. Grants (ex. federal, foundation, state wildlife, NRCS Conservation Innovation grants) Full funding to carry out duties as designated in Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act and the Migratory Bird Treaty Act | Number of service calls provided by NCWRC Number of acres protected or mitigated (ex. wetlands) Number of stream miles protected or restored Number of impacts avoided Number of workshops held for DOT and utilities Number of collaborative projects and partnerships |

5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

| Strategy 5.1.5.—Promote the sound management of riparian buffers with native species. | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| <p>Priority Ecosystems (State Wildlife Action Plan)</p> <p>Wetland and stream mitigation site opportunities for private landowners map (Figure 2F-1)</p> <p>Conserving Working Forestlands Map</p> <p>Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map</p> | <p>FDP</p> <p>Pest Control</p> <p>Forest Stewardship</p> <p>FSPF</p> <p>NPS</p> <p>FM</p> <p>N&TI</p> | <p>DENR—NHP</p> <p>USFWS</p> <p>NCWRC</p> <p>NGOs</p> <p>SGSF</p> <p>Private nurseries</p> <p>CWMTF</p> <p>NCACF</p> <p>NCCES</p> <p>Riverkeepers</p> | <p>Identification of lead individuals and/or organization for improved coordination and collaboration between various state agencies, key stakeholders, and cooperative partners</p> <p>Development and implementation of inter-organizational MOUs</p> <p>Funding for training and dedicated personnel to provide technical services related to riparian buffer establishment and management</p> <p>Funding for education and outreach (ex. websites, newsletter articles, e-mails, billboards, and radio/television PSAs)</p> <p>Funding for state and federal cost-share programs (ex. FSPP, FDP, EQIP)</p> <p>Funding for state and federal initiatives and conservation programs (ex. non native invasive species control)</p> <p>Funding to support tree nurseries in the production of native riparian plant species</p> <p>Forestry, wildlife, and conservation programs for financial and tax benefits</p> | <p>Number of MOUs created</p> <p>Number of workshops held</p> <p>Number of professionals trained</p> <p>Number of miles or acres of riparian buffers established</p> <p>Number of participants in workshops</p> <p>Number of landowners implementing riparian management practices</p> <p>Number of seedlings produced for riparian buffer establishment</p> <p>Amount of cost-share funds available for landowners to implement management practices</p> <p>Number of ESF/FS annual visitors</p> <p>Number of NCWRC education center visitors</p> |

d. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies Matrix

| Objective 5.2.—Restore and actively manage forests to benefit priority fish and wildlife habitats. (Addresses key Assessment findings in section 4g and USDA Forest Service national objectives 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 2.2, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Strategy 5.2.1.—Use technical and financial assistance programs to identify and restore critical terrestrial and aquatic habitats at risk. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| <p>Priority Ecosystems (State Wildlife Action Plan)</p> <p>Forest Legacy “Areas of Need”</p> <p>Conserving Working Forestlands Map</p> <p>Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map</p> | <p>Forest Stewardship</p> <p>Forest Legacy</p> <p>FM</p> <p>FDP</p> <p>BMP/NPS</p> <p>Field staff</p> <p>FPG/WQ</p> <p>FSPP</p> | <p>Landowners</p> <p>NCWRC</p> <p>USFWS</p> <p>DENR—NHP</p> <p>NGOs</p> <p>NCTFS</p> <p>NC Woodlands</p> <p>NCACF</p> <p>Riverkeepers</p> <p>NCCES</p> <p>NRCS</p> <p>NCSWCD</p> | <p>Identification of lead individuals and/or organization for improved coordination and collaboration between various state agencies, key stakeholders, and cooperative partners</p> <p>Development and implementation of inter-organizational MOUs</p> <p>Funding for training and dedicated personnel to provide technical services related to identifying and restoring critical terrestrial and aquatic habitat at risk</p> <p>Funding for education and outreach (ex. websites, newsletter articles, e-mails, billboards, and radio/television PSAs)</p> <p>Funding for state and federal cost-share programs (ex. FSPP, FDP, CRP, EQIP, WHIP, CREP)</p> <p>Funding for state and federal initiatives and conservation programs (ex. non-native invasive species control)</p> <p>Forestry, wildlife, and conservation programs for financial and tax benefits</p> | <p>Number of MOUs created</p> <p>Number of workshops held</p> <p>Number of participants in workshops</p> <p>Number of professionals trained</p> <p>Amount of cost-share funds available for landowners to implement management practices</p> <p>Number of landowners assisted</p> <p>Number of landowners implementing management practices to restore critical terrestrial and aquatic habitat</p> <p>Number of miles or acres of critical terrestrial and aquatic habitat restored</p> <p>Number of stewardship or other forest-management plans written</p> |

5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

| Strategy 5.2.2.—Assist landowners with developing and implementing comprehensive forest-management plans that incorporate landowner wildlife management objectives and focus on utilizing silviculture practices that mimic natural ecosystem conditions beneficial to native wildlife species. | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|---|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resource Needed | Measures of Success |
| Priority Ecosystems (State Wildlife Action Plan) Forest Legacy “Areas of Need” Conserving Working Forestlands Map Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map | Forest Stewardship Forest Legacy FM FEB SP&A U&CF FDP TD&P | Landowners NRCS NCWRC USFWS FSA NCCES NCACF USFS USGS DENR—DPR, NHP UNC System NCCES NCTFS NC Woodlands TNC Land trusts NCPFC NGOs (ex. The Wildlife Society, NC Longleaf Coalition) SAF | Funding for training and dedicated personnel to provide technical services to assist landowners with developing and implementing comprehensive forest-management plans Funding for education and outreach (ex. websites, newsletter articles, e-mails, billboards, and radio/television PSAs) Funding for state and federal cost-share programs (ex. FDP, CRP, EQIP, WHIP, CREP) Funding for state and federal initiatives and conservation programs Forestry, wildlife, and conservation programs (ex. Wildlife Conservation Land Program, Partners for Fish/Wildlife Program, NC Conservation Tax Credit) | Number of workshops held Number of participants in workshops Number of professionals trained Number of landowners assisted Number of stewardship or other forest-management plans written Number of acres enrolled in forest and wildlife conservation programs Amount of cost-share funds available for landowners to implement management practices Number of acres on which management practices (ex. reforestation, thinning, prescribed burning) were implemented Number of landowners implementing management practices (ex. reforestation, thinning, prescribed burning) |

d. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies Matrix

| Strategy 5.2.3.—Conserve, restore, and connect ecologically functioning forests to decrease fragmentation and enhance wildlife habitats. | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Priority Ecosystems (State Wildlife Action Plan) Forest Legacy “Areas of Need” Conserving Working Forestlands Map Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map | Forest Legacy Forest Stewardship Field staff SP&A FM | CWMTF NRCS NCWRC USFWS USFS USGS FSA DENR—NHP UNC System TNC Land trusts NCPFC The Wildlife Society NGO’s NC Longleaf Coalition SAF NCCES SGSF | Identification of lead individuals and/or organization for improved coordination and collaboration between various state agencies, key stakeholders, and cooperative partners Development and implementation of inter-organizational MOUs Funding for state and federal initiatives and conservation programs (ex. CWMTF) Forestry, wildlife, and conservation programs for financial and tax benefits Funding for training and dedicated personnel to provide technical services related to conserving, restoring, and connecting ecologically functioning forests Funding for education and outreach (ex. websites, newsletter articles, e-mails, billboards, and radio/television PSAs) Funding for state and federal cost-share programs (ex. FDP, CRP, EQIP, WHIP, CREP) | Number of MOUs created Number of wildlife corridors created Number of Forest Legacy tracts and acres Number of Natural Heritage Program acres conserved Number of SWAP defined acres conserved Number of floodplain acres conserved Number of workshops held Number of participants in workshops Number of professionals trained Number of landowners assisted Number of stewardship or other forest-management plans written Number of acres enrolled in forest and wildlife conservation programs Amount of cost-share funds available for landowners to implement management practices Number of acres in which management practices (ex. reforestation, thinning, prescribed burning) were implemented Number of landowners implementing management practices (ex. reforestation, thinning, prescribed burning) Number of specific wildlife species observed |

5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

| Objective 5.3.—Promote the restoration and conservation of declining tree species and forest ecosystems. (Addresses key Assessment findings in section 4g and USDA Forest Service national objectives 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 2.2, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7) | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|
| Strategy 5.3.1.—Assess, identify, and emphasize management and conservation strategies that prioritize declining tree species and forest ecosystems. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Priority Ecosystems (State Wildlife Action Plan) Forest Legacy “Areas of Need” Conserving Working Forestlands Map Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map | Forest Legacy Forest Stewardship FM FDP TD&P Pest Control SP&A GSB FIA | DENR—DPR, NHP USFWS USFS USDOD NCWRC NRCS FSA TNC UNC System NCCES NCPFC NGOs (ex., The Wildlife Society, QU, DU, NWTF, land trusts, NC Longleaf Coalition, America’s Longleaf Conservation Plan, Atlantic White Cedar Alliance, American Chestnut Foundation) | Identification of lead individuals and/or organization to improve coordination and collaboration among key stakeholders and partners Development and implementation of inter-organizational MOUs Funding for training and dedicated personnel to provide technical services related to management of declining tree species and forest ecosystems Funding for education and outreach (ex. websites, newsletter articles, e-mails, billboards, and radio/television PSAs) Funding for increased GIS, inventory, and database of specific tree species and forest ecosystems (distribution and abundance) Funding for state and federal cost-share programs (ex. FDP, CRP, EQIP, WHIP, CREP) Funding for state and federal initiatives and conservation programs (ex. CWMTF) Forestry, wildlife, and conservation programs (ex. Wildlife Conservation Land Program, Partners for Fish/Wildlife Program, NC Conservation Tax Credit) | Number of MOUs established Development of a regional or statewide strategic conservation plan that identifies key tree species and forest ecosystems in decline Number of new initiatives developed Number of priority conservation areas identified with declining tree species and forest ecosystems Participation and support of new partnerships and collaborative coalitions Number of acres of declining forest ecosystems restored or managed Number of new initiatives or action plan efforts developed for specific ecosystems and species in decline Number of workshops held Number of participants in workshops Number of professionals trained Number of products developed (ex. podcasts, webpages, news articles, posters, brochures, demonstration sites on ESF/SF, and other media) Number of ESF/FS annual visitors Number of NCWRC education center visitors |

d. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies Matrix

| Strategy 5.3.2.—Educate the public on the benefits, ecological importance, and value of restoring and conserving declining tree species and forest ecosystems. | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Priority Ecosystems (State Wildlife Action Plan) Forest Legacy “Areas of Need” Conserving Working Forestlands Map Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map | Forest Legacy Forest Stewardship FM Forest Protection I&E ESF/SF TD&P U&CF | DENR—DPR, NHP USFS USFWS NCWRC NCCES NCPFC TNC NCTFS NC Woodlands SGSF NCACF NGOs (ex., Longleaf Alliance, America’s Longleaf Conservation Plan, Atlantic White Cedar Alliance, American Chestnut Foundation) | Funding for education and outreach (ex. newsletter articles, e-mails, billboards, and radio/television PSAs) Funding for Internet server space and enhanced Web presence USFS funding (ex. Redesign grants) | Number of information and outreach programs, workshops, and conferences to promote declining tree species and forest ecosystems Number of products developed (ex. podcasts, webpages, news articles, posters, brochures, demonstration sites, social media, and other media) Number of users accessing materials through the Internet Number of ESF/FS annual visitors Number of NCWRC education center visitors |

5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

| Objective 5.4.—Educate natural resource professionals, the general public, landowners, and K-12 schoolchildren about forestland conservation, restoration, and management, and the value of forests for fish and wildlife habitat. (Addresses key Assessment findings in section 4g and USDA Forest Service national objectives 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 2.2, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7) | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| Strategy 5.4.1.—Educate natural resource professionals on wildlife habitat management programs and initiatives. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Priority Ecosystems (State Wildlife Action Plan) Forest Legacy “Areas of Need” Conserving Working Forestlands Map Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map | Forest Stewardship TD&P I&E FM FM&FD Field staff | NCWRC (including stewardship biologists) USFWS NCPFC SAF NCCES UNC System NCACF NRCS The Wildlife Society | Identification of lead individuals and/or organization to improve coordination and collaboration among key stakeholders and partners Funding for education and outreach (ex. newsletters, training aids, websites) Funding for training and dedicated personnel to provide technical services (NCWRC and its stewardship biologists, NRCS) USFS funding (ex. Redesign grants) | Number of products developed (ex. podcasts, webpages, articles, brochures, and other media) Number of users accessing materials through the Internet Number of workshops held Number of professionals trained Number of stewardship or other management plans developed Number of landowners provided with technical services from trained professionals Number of landowners enrolled in wildlife conservation programs |

d. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies Matrix

| Strategy 5.4.2.—Educate the general public, landowners, policy-makers, and K-12 schoolchildren about forestland conservation, restoration and management and the value of forests for wildlife habitat. | | | | |
|---|--------------------|---|---|--|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Priority Ecosystems (State Wildlife Action Plan) | Forest Stewardship | NCCES | Identification of lead individuals and/or organization to improve coordination and collaboration among key stakeholders and partners | Number of MOUs established |
| Forest Legacy “Areas of Need” | Forest Legacy | UNC System | | Number of products developed (ex. podcasts, webpages, news articles, posters, brochures, demonstration sites on ESF/SF, and other media) |
| | FM | School systems and home-school organizations | | Number of users accessing materials through the Internet |
| | Field staff | DPI | Development and implementation of inter-organizational MOUs | Number of workshops held |
| Conserving Working Forestlands Map | Forest Protection | DENR—OEE, NHP, DPR, DSWC | Funding for education and outreach (ex. newsletter articles, e-mails, displays for ESF/SF, billboards, and radio/television PSAs) | Number of participants in workshops |
| | BMP/NPS | (Resource Conservation Workshop/Environment) | | Number of stewardship or other management plans developed |
| Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map | SP&A | | | Number of ESF/FS annual visitors |
| | I&E | NRCS | Funding for training and dedicated personnel to provide technical services | Number of NCWRC education center visitors |
| Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map | ESF/SF | NCWRC | | Number of school programs |
| | TD&P | USFWS | Funding for Internet server space and enhanced Web presence | Number of youth attending environmental camps |
| | U&CF | USFS | | Number of PLT facilitators trained |
| | | TNC | Full funding for programs (ex. Partners for Fish/Wildlife Program, Forest Stewardship Program, NC Environmental Education Programs, PLT, NC Wild) | Number of school curriculum projects developed |
| | | Land trusts | | Number of schools using PLT or NC Wild in their curriculum |
| | | NCPFC | | |
| | | NCTFS | | |
| | | NC Woodlands | Funding to provide ESF/SF with adequate staff | |
| | | SGSF | | |
| | | NCACF | USFS funding (ex. Redesign grants) | |
| | | SAF | | |
| | | NCFA | | |
| | | NGOs (ex., The Wildlife Society, NC Longleaf Coalition) | | |

5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

Goal 6.—Manage, conserve, restore, and enhance forestlands important to current and future supplies of clean water for economic, social, and ecological uses.

With this goal, we will continue efforts to address water resource issues, particularly those issues tied to best management practices (BMPs) for traditional, rural forestry. Continued emphasis is needed on traditional programs tied to BMP technical assistance. These programs support the substantial level of silvicultural management within the state’s working forests. A unique opportunity has emerged, however, to bridge the gap between traditional BMP-program delivery and emerging nonpoint source pollution issues in rural-to-urban transitional forested watersheds. We can bridge this gap successfully only by diversifying the base of cooperators and stakeholders, many of whom historically have not been directly affiliated with forestry program delivery. This new approach will provide technical assistance to forestland owners, home owners, land developers, and local governments and describe for them opportunities to protect or enhance the health of their watershed(s) by integrating forestry-related practices. Sustainable funding sources and personnel are needed to support ongoing and future efforts to meet the objectives in this goal. National objectives related to water resources, working lands, and public benefits are addressed by this goal and the strategies that support it.

| <p>Objective 6.1.—Increase implementation of forestry BMPs and compliance with water-quality regulations. (Addresses key Assessment findings in sections 2c, 4e, 4f, 4g, 4h and USDA Forest Service national objectives 1.1, 1.2, 2.2, 3.1, 3.5, 3.6)</p> | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| <p>Strategy 6.1.1.—Evaluate forestry operations for implementation of forestry BMPs and compliance with water-quality regulations.</p> | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| <p>Conserving Working Forestlands Map (emphasis on active sites, areas with historically-lower BMP implementation and FPG compliance rates, environmentally sensitive areas, and cases identified through public complaints)</p> <p>Priority forest watersheds in North Carolina maps (Figures 4f-8a and 4f-8b)</p> <p>Freshwater conservation watersheds map (Figure 4f-4)</p> <p>Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map</p> | <p>FPG/WQ</p> <p>Law Enforcement</p> <p>BMP/NPS</p> <p>FM</p> <p>FM&FD</p> <p>Field staff</p> | <p>NCAPL</p> <p>NCFA (Prologger)</p> <p>Forest Industry</p> <p>Landowners</p> <p>DENR—DLR, DWQ</p> <p>USACE</p> <p>USEPA 319/NPS Program</p> | <p>Identification of lead individuals and/or organization to improve coordination and collaboration among key stakeholders and partners responsible for monitoring and enforcing regulations</p> <p>Development and implementation of inter-organizational MOUs</p> <p>Funding for DFR water quality foresters and associated technical support staff.</p> <p>Funding for and development of training for DFR staff related to site evaluations</p> <p>Funding for DFR BMP/NPS Program</p> | <p>Number of DFR water-quality foresters and associated technical support staff employed</p> <p>Number of MOUs established</p> <p>Number of sites inspected for compliance</p> <p>FPG compliance rate</p> <p>Number of BMP implementation surveys</p> <p>BMP implementation rate</p> <p>Monitoring of BMP effectiveness</p> <p>Number of training programs conducted</p> <p>Number of DFR personnel trained in site evaluation</p> <p>Amount of grant funding to support DFR BMP/NPS Program</p> |

d. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies Matrix

| Strategy 6.1.2.—Develop threshold criteria for determining when a noncompliant forestry operation directly contributes to a degradation or loss of in-stream aquatic habitat sufficient to warrant restoration or remediation of the affected water resource. | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| <p>Conserving Working Forestlands Map (emphasis on active sites, areas with historically-lower BMP implementation and FPG compliance rates, and environmentally sensitive areas)</p> <p>Priority forest watersheds in North Carolina maps (Figures 4f-8a and 4f-8b)</p> <p>Freshwater conservation watersheds map (Figure 4f-4)</p> <p>Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map</p> | <p>FPG/WQ</p> <p>Law Enforcement</p> <p>BMP/NPS</p> <p>FM</p> <p>FM&FD</p> <p>Field staff</p> <p>I&E</p> <p>ESF/SF</p> <p>N&TI</p> | <p>DENR—DCM, DLR, DMF, DWQ, EEP</p> <p>USACE</p> <p>USEPA</p> <p>SGSF (Water Resources Committee)</p> <p>NCWRC</p> <p>USFWS</p> <p>NCAPL</p> <p>Private nurseries</p> <p>Silviculture Contractors</p> | <p>Identification of lead individuals and/or organization to improve coordination and collaboration among key stakeholders and partners responsible for monitoring and enforcing regulations</p> <p>Development and implementation of inter-organizational MOUs</p> <p>Funding for DFR BMP/NPS Program</p> <p>Funding for research and analysis</p> <p>Funding for and development of training on threshold criteria</p> | <p>Number of MOUs established and interagency agreements executed</p> <p>Amount of grant funding to support DFR BMP/NPS Program and research</p> <p>Development of criteria</p> <p>Number of training programs conducted</p> <p>Number of personnel trained in threshold criteria</p> <p>Linear feet of impacted stream remediated or restored</p> <p>Volume of sediment removed from affected streams</p> <p>Number of trees planted along affected streams</p> |
| Strategy 6.1.3.—Increase the use of portable temporary bridging for crossing streams or ditches during forestry operations. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| <p>Conserving Working Forestlands Map (emphasis on active sites, areas with historically-lower BMP implementation and FPG compliance rates, and environmentally sensitive areas)</p> <p>Priority forest watersheds in North Carolina maps (Figure 4f-8a and 4f-8b)</p> <p>Freshwater conservation watersheds map (Figure 4f-4)</p> <p>Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map</p> | <p>BMP/NPS</p> <p>FPG/WQ</p> <p>FM</p> <p>FM&FD</p> <p>ESF/SF</p> <p>Field staff</p> | <p>NCAPL</p> <p>NCFA (Prologger)</p> <p>Forest Industry</p> <p>Landowners</p> <p>USEPA 319/NPS Program</p> <p>DENR—APNEP, DLR, DWQ</p> <p>Conservation groups</p> <p>Bridgemat suppliers</p> <p>USFS</p> <p>SGSF</p> | <p>Funding for DFR BMP/NPS personnel and for the portable bridgemat program.</p> <p>Funding for training and outreach (ex. Prologger, demonstration areas)</p> <p>Funding for survey and analysis on mat use</p> <p>Development of and funding for cost-share program</p> <p>USFS funding (ex. Redesign grants)</p> | <p>Amount of grant funding to support DFR BMP/NPS Program and research</p> <p>Number of sites where bridgemats are used.</p> <p>Number of stream crossings or ditches protected by use of bridgemats.</p> <p>Number of loggers using bridgemats.</p> <p>Development of a cost-share program for loggers to purchase bridgemats</p> |

5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

| Objective 6.2.—Retain or increase the area of forestland within priority watersheds. (Addresses key Assessment findings in sections 1b, 2b, 4e, 4f, 4h, 4i and USDA Forest Service national objectives 1.1, 1.2, 3.1, 3.5, 3.6) | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| Strategy 6.2.1.—Conserve and acquire forestlands in priority watersheds for the purposes of protecting or restoring water quality, water supply, and aquatic habitat. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| <p>Priority forest watersheds in North Carolina maps (Figures 4f-8a and 4f-8b)</p> <p>Freshwater conservation watersheds map (Figure 4f-4)</p> <p>Forest Legacy “Areas of Need”</p> <p>Priority Ecosystems (State Wildlife Action Plan)</p> | <p>Forest Stewardship</p> <p>FSPP</p> <p>Forest Legacy</p> <p>BMP/NPS</p> <p>FDP</p> <p>FM</p> <p>FM&FD</p> <p>Field staff</p> <p>ESF/SF</p> <p>U&CF</p> | <p>Landowners</p> <p>NGOs (ex. Conservation groups and land trusts)</p> <p>CWMTF</p> <p>NHTF</p> <p>ADFPTF</p> <p>DENR—DWQ, DPR, DCM, DMF, DSWC</p> <p>NCWRC</p> <p>NCACF</p> <p>NC Woodlands</p> <p>NCTFS</p> <p>NCFA</p> <p>NCCES</p> <p>USFS</p> <p>USDOD</p> <p>NCSWCD</p> <p>NCRS</p> <p>VAD</p> | <p>Identification of lead individuals and/or organization to improve coordination and collaboration among key stakeholders and partners responsible for land acquisition and conservation</p> <p>Funding for training and outreach for natural resources professionals and landowners (ex. identification of priority watersheds, conservation easements, land gifts)</p> <p>Funding for state and federal initiatives and programs for conservation (ex. FSPP, FDP, CREP, WRP)</p> <p>Conservation programs for financial and tax benefits</p> <p>Funding for DFR BMP/NPS Program</p> <p>Funding for state and federal initiatives and programs for acquisition (ex. fully funded Forest Legacy, CWMTF, ADFPTF)</p> <p>Funding to hire personnel to manage and oversee land that is acquired in-fee</p> | <p>Number of MOUs established and interagency agreements executed</p> <p>Amount of grant funding to support DFR BMP/NPS Program and research</p> <p>Number of workshops held</p> <p>Number of professionals trained</p> <p>Number of landowners in priority watersheds provided with technical services from trained professionals</p> <p>Number of stewardship or other management plans developed</p> <p>Number of acres in priority watersheds under a stewardship plan or other conservation management plan</p> <p>Number of projects converting impervious cover to forest cover</p> <p>Number of acres protected and conserved through acquisition or conservation easements</p> <p>Number of stream miles protected</p> <p>Number of endemic aquatic species protected</p> <p>Number of floodplain acres conserved</p> |

d. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies Matrix

| Objective 6.3.—Conduct education and outreach on the relationships between forests and water resources. (Addresses key Assessment findings in sections 2c, 4a, 4e, 4h, 4i, 4j and USDA Forest Service national objectives 1.2, 2.2, 3.1, 3.4, 3.6) | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| Strategy 6.3.1: Educate natural resources professionals and landowners on how to protect water quality from nonpoint source pollution that may result from forestry operations. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| <p>Conserving Working Forestlands Map (emphasis on active sites, areas with historically-lower BMP implementation and FPG compliance rates, and environmentally sensitive areas)</p> <p>Priority forest watersheds in North Carolina Maps (Figures 4f-8a and 4f-8b)</p> <p>Freshwater conservation watersheds map (Figure 4f-4)</p> <p>Forest Legacy “Areas of Need”</p> <p>Priority Ecosystems (State Wildlife Action Plan)</p> <p>Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map</p> | <p>Forest Stewardship</p> <p>FSPP</p> <p>FPG/WQ</p> <p>BMP/NPS</p> <p>FM</p> <p>FM&FD</p> <p>Field staff</p> <p>I&E</p> <p>ESF/SF</p> <p>U&CF</p> | <p>FEOP</p> <p>NCCES</p> <p>Landowners</p> <p>NCACF</p> <p>NC Woodlands</p> <p>NCTFS</p> <p>NCAPL</p> <p>NCFA (Prologger)</p> <p>Forest Industry</p> <p>DENR—DLR, DWQ</p> <p>USACE</p> <p>USEPA 319/NPS Program</p> | <p>Funding for DFR water-quality foresters and associated technical support staff</p> <p>Funding for DFR BMP/NPS Program</p> <p>Funding for development and training on the use of preharvest planning tools</p> <p>Funding for educating natural resources professionals and landowners (ex. workshops, training aids, demonstration sites, website)</p> <p>Funding for state and federal initiatives and programs for conservation (ex. FSPP)</p> | <p>Number of DFR water-quality foresters</p> <p>Amount of funding for DFR BMP/NPS Program</p> <p>Amount of funding for preharvest planning tool development</p> <p>Number of professionals and landowners utilizing the preharvest planning tool</p> <p>Number of products developed (ex. webpages, workshops, demonstration sites)</p> <p>Number of users accessing materials through the Internet</p> <p>Number of workshops held</p> <p>Number of professionals trained</p> <p>Number of landowners with technical services from trained professionals</p> <p>Number of stewardship or other management plans developed</p> <p>Number of acres under a stewardship plan or other conservation management plan</p> |

5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

| Strategy 6.3.2.—Raise awareness of landowners, the general public, policy-makers, and K-12 schoolchildren on the relationship between forests, water quality, and nonpoint source pollution prevention. | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|---|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map Priority forest watersheds in North Carolina maps (Figures 4f-8a and 4f-8b) Conserving Working Forestlands Map | U&CF I&E ESF/SF FPG/WQ BMP/NPS Forest Stewardship FSPF FM FM&FD Field staff | Landowners DENR—OEE, DLR, DWQ FEOP NCCES Water-supply utility companies Local and municipal government officials Councils of Government League of Municipalities School systems and home school organizations DPI NCACF NC Woodlands SAF NCTFS NCAPL NCFA Forest industry SGSF USFS USACE USEPA 319/NPS Program | Identification of lead individuals and/or organization to improve coordination and collaboration among key stakeholders and partners Development and implementation of inter-organizational MOUs Funding to adequately staff DFR U&CF, ESF/SF, and BMP/NPS programs Funding for outreach (ex. news articles, social media, websites, e-mails, billboards and radio/television PSAs, demonstration materials) Full funding for programs (ex. Forest Stewardship Program, OEE Environmental Education programs, PLT, NC Project WET) USFS funding (ex. Redesign grants) | Number of MOUs established Number of information and outreach events, workshops, and demonstrations Number of products developed (ex. podcasts, webpages, news releases, posters, brochures, social media) Number of users accessing materials through the Internet Number of ESF/FS annual visitors Number of participants in workshops Number of stewardship or other management plans developed Number of school programs Number of youth attending environmental camps Number of PLT facilitators trained Number of school curricula projects developed Number of schools using PLT or NC Project WET in their curricula |

d. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies Matrix

| Objective 6.4.—Offer landowners technical assistance that incorporates water-resource management with forest management. (Addresses key Assessment findings in sections 2a, 2b, 2c, 2d, 3b, 3c, 4e, 4f, 4j and USDA Forest Service national objectives 1.1, 1.2, 2.2, 3.1, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6) | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| Strategy 6.4.1.—Assist landowners with assessing and managing their forests to protect watersheds or restore degraded aquatic conditions. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| <p>Priority forest watersheds in North Carolina maps (Figures 4f-8a and 4f-8b)</p> <p>Freshwater conservation watersheds map (Figure 4f-4)</p> <p>Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map</p> <p>Conserving Working Forestlands Map (emphasis on active sites, areas with historically-lower BMP implementation and FPG compliance rates, and environmentally sensitive areas)</p> <p>Forest Legacy “Areas of Need”</p> <p>Priority Ecosystems (State Wildlife Action Plan)</p> | <p>U&CF</p> <p>I&E</p> <p>BMP/NPS</p> <p>Forest Stewardship</p> <p>FSPP</p> <p>FDP</p> <p>FPG/WQ</p> <p>FM</p> <p>FM&FD</p> <p>Field staff</p> <p>N&TI</p> <p>GSB</p> <p>ESF/SF</p> | <p>Landowners</p> <p>DENR—DSWC, DWQ, DMF, DLR, DCM, EEP</p> <p>NRCS</p> <p>NCTFS</p> <p>NC Woodlands</p> <p>NCFA</p> <p>NCCES</p> <p>USACE</p> <p>USEPA</p> <p>SGSF</p> <p>NCWRC</p> <p>USFWS</p> <p>Private nurseries</p> <p>Silvicultural contractors</p> | <p>Funding to fully support DFR BMP/NPS Program</p> <p>Funding for DFR Water Quality Foresters and associated technical support staff.</p> <p>Funding to develop and implement DFR Forest Watershed Assistance Program</p> <p>Funding to educate natural resources professionals and landowners on watershed protection and restoration opportunities</p> <p>Funding for state and federal initiatives and programs for watershed protection and restoration (ex. FSPP, EQIP, FDP, CREP, WRP)</p> <p>Funding for enhancing GIS capabilities</p> | <p>Amount of funds to fully support DFR BMP/NPS Program, DFR Water Quality Foresters, and GIS capabilities</p> <p>Number of DFR Water Quality Foresters and associated technical support staff</p> <p>Establishment of DFR Forest Watershed Assistance Program</p> <p>Amount of funds for state and federal initiatives and programs for watershed protection and restoration</p> <p>Number of workshops, outreach materials, and events</p> <p>Number of participants in education and outreach activities</p> <p>Number of landowners assisted</p> <p>Number of acres under stewardship plans or other forest watershed plans</p> <p>Retention of forest cover</p> <p>Number of acres afforested and/ or reforested</p> <p>Linear feet of stream restored or enhanced</p> <p>Acres of wetlands restored or enhanced</p> <p>Report of stream and wetland restoration opportunities on DFR-managed lands</p> |

5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

| Strategy 6.4.2.—Evaluate and promote the utilization of forestry practices to manage nonpoint source runoff from nonforested lands in transition areas between rural, suburban, and urban environments. | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| <p>Forest and/or natural cover trends in relation to impervious cover map (Figure 4f-5)</p> <p>Freshwater conservation watersheds map (Figure 4f-4)</p> | <p>BMP/NPS</p> <p>U&CF</p> <p>I&E</p> <p>Forest Stewardship</p> <p>FSPF</p> <p>FM&FD</p> <p>Field staff</p> <p>N&TI</p> <p>ESF/SF</p> <p>GSB</p> | <p>DENR—DSWC, DWQ</p> <p>NRCS</p> <p>USEPA 319/NPS Program</p> <p>USFS-SRS</p> <p>NCSU</p> <p>Landowners</p> <p>Local governments</p> <p>Municipal land-use planning officials</p> <p>Residential and commercial land developers</p> | <p>Identification of lead individuals and/or organization to improve coordination and collaboration among key stakeholders and partners</p> <p>Development and implementation of inter-organizational MOUs</p> <p>Funding to fully support DFR BMP/NPS Program</p> <p>Funding for research to assess suitability of urban forestry modeling (ex. I-Tree and UFORE models) and how forestry practices can be used to manage runoff from non-forested lands</p> <p>Funding for DFR Water Quality Foresters and associated technical support staff.</p> <p>Funding to develop and implement DFR Forest Watershed Assistance Program and creation of a North Carolina urban forest watershed manual</p> <p>Funding for state and federal initiatives and programs for NPS runoff mitigation and watershed protection</p> <p>Funding for demonstration areas and outreach project implementation</p> <p>Funding to educate natural resources professionals and landowners on how forestry practices can be used to manage runoff from nonforested lands</p> | <p>Number of MOUs established</p> <p>Amount of funds to fully support DFR BMP/NPS Program and DFR Water Quality Foresters</p> <p>Creation of a North Carolina urban forest watershed manual</p> <p>Number of DFR Water Quality Foresters and associated technical support staff</p> <p>Establishment of DFR Forest Watershed Assistance Program</p> <p>Number of research grants obtained and projects completed</p> <p>Amount of funds for state and federal initiatives and programs for managing nonpoint source runoff with forestry practices</p> <p>Number of workshops, outreach materials, and events</p> <p>Number of participants in education and outreach activities</p> <p>Number of landowners or communities assisted</p> <p>Number of acres under stewardship plans or other forest watershed plans</p> <p>Retention of forest cover</p> <p>Number of acres where forestry practices are used to manage nonpoint source runoff</p> <p>Number of forestry practices implemented to manage nonpoint source runoff</p> <p>Integration of forests and forestry practices with new or existing stormwater management, LEED principles, low-impact development (LID), and/or green infrastructure projects</p> |

Goal 7.—Enhance the benefits and sustainable management of urban forests.

Objectives and strategies under this goal are focused on sustaining and facilitating healthy urban forests across the state by promoting strategic planning and proactive management of our urban natural resources. By definition, a *healthy urban forest* is an urban forest that (1) is actively managed for long-term benefits, (2) is structurally diverse enough to withstand environmental change and periodic catastrophic events, and (3) consists of an interconnected network of green space that conserves the natural ecosystem’s values and functions. To improve urban forest health and viability throughout North Carolina, strategies will focus on tree conservation and planting, strategic land-use planning and management, and local urban forest program capacity. Because the urban forest resource reaches beyond municipal boundaries, partnerships will need to be multidimensional and broad. The success of these strategies depends greatly upon increasing the awareness and knowledge level of urban forestry professionals, land-use planners, elected officials and developers. Doing so will entail (1) conducting many training programs on proper tree care and urban forest management as well as (2) developing outreach materials specific to North Carolina’s needs.

5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

| Objective 7.1.—Reduce the impacts of land-use change and urbanization on forested landscapes in and around urban areas. (Addresses key Assessment findings in section 4k and USDA Forest Service national objectives 1.1, 1.2, 3.1, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7) | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|---|
| Strategy 7.1.1.—Encourage the incorporation of forests and green space in land-use planning through the principles of green infrastructure, low-impact development (LID), and sustainability certification programs. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map Maintaining Viable Urban Forests Map Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map | U&CF BMP/NPS Forest Stewardship FM TD&P Field staff | NC land conservation groups DENR—NHP, DPR Local governments Municipal land-use planning officials COG League of Municipalities Residential and commercial land developers RC&D NCWRC NCAPA NCCES NCSWCD NC Green Builders Assoc. NCUFC Conservation Fund Certification programs SGSF NRCS USFS | Identification of lead individuals and/or organization to improve coordination and collaboration among regional partners and grass roots organizations (ex. LEED and other sustainable certification programs) Funding to fully support DFR U&CF Program and DSWC CCAP Funding for education and outreach Funding to conduct local and regional natural resource assessments Funding to implement the use of the One North Carolina Conservation Planning Tool Funding to conduct UFORE studies Conservation programs for financial and tax benefits for landowners USFS funding (ex. Redesign grants) | Amount of funds to fully support DFR U&CF Program and DSWC CCAP Number of county and regional green infrastructure plans adopted Number of products developed (ex. podcasts, webpages, demonstration sites, guidance documents, social media) Number of education and outreach events held with municipal planners, developers, and natural resource professionals Number of restoration projects implemented for improved urban ecological function Number of sustainability certifications Number of local and regional natural resource assessments conducted Number of certification standards incorporating trees and green space |

d. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies Matrix

| Strategy 7.1.2.—Promote and support the active management of publicly-owned urban wooded areas for multiple-use benefits. | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map Maintaining Viable Urban Forests Map Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map | U&CF I&E Forest Stewardship FSPP FDP FM TD&P FireWise BMP/NPS GSB Field staff | NGOs (ex. The Conservation Fund) NCWRC Local governments USFS SAF NCACF and arborists Land-use planners NCCES FEOP Land trusts HOAs SGSF COG League of Municipalities NRCS | Develop partnerships with land trust organizations, local government, HOAs Funding for education and outreach (ex. newsletter articles, e-mails, displays for ESF/SF, billboards and radio/television PSAs) Funding for state and federal cost-share programs (ex. FDP, FSPP, EQIP, WHIP) Funding to educate natural resources professionals and urban landowners (ex. identification of priority urban wooded areas, Changing Roles program) Funding to develop and implement a “Community Working Forest” recognition program Funding for enhancing GIS capabilities USFS funding (ex. Redesign grants) | Number of MOUs established Number of products developed (ex. podcasts, webpages, demonstration sites, guidance documents, case studies, social media) Development of a “Community Working Forest” recognition program Number of workshops, outreach materials, and events Number of participants in education and outreach activities Number of people trained under the Changing Roles program Number of landowners or communities assisted Number of plans and acres under stewardship plans or other management plans Number of forestry practices implemented to manage urban wooded areas |

| Objective 7.2.—Facilitate strategic planting and maintenance of community trees for public benefits. (Addresses key Assessment findings in section 4k and USDA Forest Service national objectives 1.1, 1.2, 3.1, 3.2, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7) | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|---|---|--|
| Strategy 7.2.1.—Promote and support tree canopy analyses that model regional tree populations to determine their conditions, derived benefits, and values. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map (focus on Piedmont region) | U&CF GSB FM | Local governments USFS CGIA Consulting arborists COG FEOP NCCES NGOs (ex. The Conservation Fund) | Full funding for grant programs (ex. U&CF grant program) Funding to educate natural resources professionals and local governments (ex. I-Tree, CITYgreen software and training) Funding for enhancing geospatial capabilities (ex. aerial imagery, GIS, software, analysis) USFS funding (ex. Redesign grants) | Number of tree canopy analyses conducted Number of regional cost-benefit reports for urban canopy Number of education and outreach events held Amount of outreach materials developed and distributed |

5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

| Strategy 7.2.2.—Assist communities in the development of long-term goals and large-scale tree-planting plans. | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map (focus on Piedmont region) | U&CF FM&FD Forest Stewardship FSPP T&NI Field staff GSB | Local Governments NCUFC COG Volunteer organizations Green Industry Council NC Nursery and Landscape Association Private nurseries NCCES DENR | Full funding for grant programs (ex. U&CF grant program) Funding for enhancing geospatial capabilities for tree canopy studies and inventories (ex. aerial imagery, GIS, software, analysis) Funding and personnel dedicated to providing technical services | Number of DFR U&CF staff employed to provide assistance Number of urban plans written Number of communities with tree planting or canopy goals Number of urban strategic plans addressing long-term goals Increased urban tree canopy cover |
| Strategy 7.2.3.—Develop guidelines for tree planting and maintenance to conserve energy and improve air quality. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map (focus on Piedmont region) | U&CF FHM FM&FD BMP/NPS T&NI Forest Protection | NCUFC Utility companies NCCES Local governments Nonprofit organizations DENR—DAQ, DWQ Green Industry Council Conference of Mayors NCLM UNC System | Identification of lead individuals and/or organization to improve coordination and collaboration among stakeholders Development and implementation of inter-organizational MOUs Funding to establish baseline measures of energy efficiency and air quality Funding for heat island studies | Number of MOUs established Number of partnerships developed (ex. Partnership with Conference of Mayors Climate Protection Agreement communities) Number of utility companies recognized as TreeLine USA Decrease in USEPA designated air-quality nonattainment areas Incorporation of trees into the State Implementation Plan |

d. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies Matrix

| <p>Objective 7.3.—Assist communities with establishing and managing their urban forests. (Addresses key Assessment findings in section 4k and USDA Forest Service national objectives 1.1, 1.2, 2.2, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7)</p> | | | | |
|---|-------------------|---|---|---|
| <p>Strategy 7.3.1.—Assist communities with establishing and retaining municipal tree manager positions.</p> | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map (focus on Piedmont region) | U&CF | Local governments NCUFC SMA NCCES | Full funding for grant programs (ex. U&CF grant program) Identification of lead individuals and/or organization to improve coordination and collaboration among stakeholders (ex. local advocacy group) Development and implementation of MOUs between local governments and other organizations Funding to provide education and technical assistance | Number of MOUs established Number of partnerships developed Number of workshops held Number of people attending trainings and workshops Number of products developed (ex. trainings, webpages, workshops) Number of ISA certified arborists and/or municipal specialists working for/in communities Number of manager positions created Number of Municipal Forester Institute graduates Number of contracts between communities and private tree care companies/consultants |
| <p>Strategy 7.3.2.—Provide local governments assistance for tree inventories and enhanced GIS/spatial analysis capabilities that lead to improved management planning.</p> | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map (focus on tree inventories in the Piedmont region) Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map | U&CF GSB | USFS NCCGIA Local governments RC&D COG NCCES FEOP SGSF USFS Consulting arborists NCUFC NCWRC | Full funding for grant programs (ex. U&CF grant program) Funding to educate natural resources professionals and local governments (ex. utilization of the Green Growth Toolbox) Funding for tree inventories and enhancing geospatial capabilities (ex. aerial imagery, GIS, software, analysis) Funding for training and utilization of tree inventory protocol process USFS funding (ex. Redesign grants) | Number of education and outreach events held Number of people trained Quantities of outreach materials developed and distributed Number of tree canopy analyses or tree inventories conducted Number of regional cost/benefit reports for urban canopy Amount of grants awarded to conduct tree inventories that lead to the development of urban forest-management plans Number of urban forest-management plans based on tree inventory data Number of communities participating in Arbor Day programs |

5. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies

| Objective 7.4.—Encourage policies and guidelines that sustain urban and community forests for the public’s benefit. (Addresses key Assessment findings in section 4k and USDA Forest Service national objectives 1.2, 3.1, 3.2, 3.4, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|
| Strategy 7.4.1.—Raise awareness levels of elected officials and policy-makers on the benefits of urban trees and their management. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map | U&CF I&E | NCUFC Local governments Nonprofit and volunteer groups NCCES/FEOP DENR NCLM USFS SGSF | Funding for outreach (ex. news articles, social media, websites, e-mails, billboards and radio/television PSAs, demonstration materials) Full funding for grant programs (ex. U&CF grant program) Identification of lead individuals and/or organization to improve coordination and collaboration among stakeholders (ex. NCLM) USFS funding (ex. Redesign grants) | Number of information and outreach events, workshops, and demonstrations Level of awareness indicated by stakeholder surveys Number of products developed (ex. podcasts, webpages, news releases, posters, brochures, social media) Number of users accessing materials through applicable websites Number of participants in outreach events |
| Strategy 7.4.2.—Enhance technical and professional capacity of tree-care professionals and the green industry. | | | | |
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map (focus on Piedmont region) | U&CF I&E FM&FD T&NI | Local governments NCUFC NCNLA NC Green Industry Council Consulting arborists Tree care firms ISA Southern Chapter NCCES Charlotte Arborists Association Forestry Advisory Council Private nurseries SGSF USFS NCACF | Full funding for grant programs (ex. U&CF grant program) Identification of lead individuals and/or organization to improve coordination and collaboration among stakeholders Funding to educate tree-care professionals and the green industry USFS funding (ex. Redesign grants) | Number of education and outreach events held Number of people trained Quantities of outreach materials developed and distributed Number of tree care professionals becoming certified Creation of policies and/or regulations related to professional licensing of tree-care professionals and the green industry |

d. Goals, Objectives, and Strategies Matrix

| Strategy 7.4.3.—Improve local governments’ capability to write effective tree ordinances and land-use policies. | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|---|---|--|
| Priority Area(s) | DFR Program Areas | Key Stakeholders and Partners | Resources Needed | Measures of Success |
| Urban Forest Priority Landscape Map Rural Forest Priority Landscape Map | U&CF FPG/WQ | Local governments NCUFC City and regional planners COG NCAPA NCCES NCSU UNC School of Government Residential and commercial land developers Landscape architects Tree care industry Tree boards USFS SGSF NCWRC | Full funding for grant programs (ex. U&CF grant program) Funding for education and outreach efforts (ex. websites, workshops, media releases) Funding to maintain the ordinance database (http://www.ces.ncsu.edu/forestry/ordinance/) Funding for Internet server space and enhanced Web presence Funding for Arbor Day programs USFS funding (ex. Redesign grants) | Number of educational products developed (ex. podcasts, webpages, webinars, workshops) Number of participants in educational events Number of users accessing materials through the Internet Development of a Tree Board Academy Number of people completing the Tree Board Academy training Number of ordinances developed and updated Number of Tree City, Tree Campus, and Tree Line USA entities Amount of funding to support U&CF program Amount of grants awarded for U&CF program |