



**NORTH CAROLINA
SOIL & WATER CONSERVATION
COMMISSION TELECONFERENCE MEETING MINUTES
September 19, 2012**

Archdale Building
Fifth Floor Conference Room
512 N. Salisbury Street
Raleigh, NC 27604

| Commission Members | Others Present | |
|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Vicky Porter | Pat Harris | Dewitt Hardy |
| Craig Frazier | David Williams | Julie Henshaw |
| Bobby Stanley | Dick Fowler | Tom Hill |
| Donald Heath | Robert Baldwin | Joe Hudyncia |
| Tommy Houser | Steve Bennett | Kelly Ibrahim |
| Charles Hughes | Leanne Branch | Ralston James |
| Bill Yarborough | Vernon Cox | Eric Pare |
| | Patty Dillinger | Pam Steuer |
| | Davis Ferguson | Sandra Weitzel |
| Commission Counsel | Mark Ferguson | Natalie Woolard |
| Jennie Hauser | Lisa Fine | |
| | Teresa Furr | |
| Guest | Donnarie Hales | |
| | David Harrison | |

Chairwoman Vicky Porter called the meeting to order at 9:04 a.m. and charged the Commission members to declare any conflict of interest, or appearance of conflict of interest, that may exist for agenda items under consideration as mandated by the State Ethics Act. None were noted. Commission, staff, and district members present identified themselves. Commissioner Bobby Stanley announced that he would be leaving early.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA: The meeting agenda was approved with an amendment to remove item 8 under "Action Item". Commissioner Bill Yarborough made a motion to accept the agenda with the amendment. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Tommy Houser. Motion carried.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES: The minutes of the Commission meeting held on August 14, 2012 were presented. A motion to approve the minutes was made by Commissioner Charles Hughes and seconded by Commissioner Tommy Houser. Motion carried.

IV. ACTION ITEMS

3. Consent Agenda: Commissioner Bill Yarborough made a motion to approve the consent agenda. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Bobby Stanley and it passed unanimously.

A. Nomination of Supervisors

None were presented.

B. Approval of NC ACSP Supervisor Contracts

| Contract No. | District | Supervisor Name | Practice(s) | Contract Amount |
|--------------|----------|-----------------|--|-----------------|
| 93-2013-001 | Warren | David M. Hight | Grassed waterways & field borders | \$3,437 |
| 93-2012-002 | Warren | David M. Hight | Grassed waterways, diversion & field borders | \$6,118 |

C. Technical Specialist Designation

Water Quality Technical Specialists

Jane Bernard; Raleigh for Waste Utilization Planning/Nutrient Management (WUP/NM) and Wettable Acres (WA).

4. PY2013 Agriculture Cost Share Program Financial Assistance Allocation: Mrs. Kelly Ibrahim, Ag Cost Share Program Manager, presented this item.

Commissioner Donald Heath made a motion to approve the PY2013 Agriculture Cost Share Program financial assistance allocation. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Bobby Stanley. Motion carried.

The handout provided for item 4 is attached and has been made an official part of the minutes.

5. PY2013 Community Conservation Assistance Program Financial Assistance Allocation: Mr. Tom Hill, Community Conservation Assistance Program Coordinator, presented this item.

Commissioner Tommy Houser made a motion to approve the PY2013 Community Conservation Assistance Program financial assistance allocation. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Bobby Stanley. Motion carried.

The handout provided for item 5 is attached and has been made an official part of the minutes.

6. PY2013 AgWRAP Pond Evaluation Criteria: Mrs. Julie Henshaw, Non Point Source Section Chief, presented this item.

- ❖ Commission members asked clarifying questions.
- ❖ Commissioner Bill Yarborough made a motion to strike item 2 from the Pond Evaluation Criteria. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Craig Frazier. Motion carried.
- ❖ Commissioner Craig Frazier made a motion to amend item 2 from the Pond Evaluation Criteria. The language should now read as “What percent will this pond decrease your dependence on a

public water system, reduction of water usage in stream above a water supply system or an aquifer in the CCPUA? Use Water Tool outputs as described in application*". The motion was seconded by Commissioner Bill Yarborough. Motion carried.

- ❖ Mrs. Henshaw indicated that the GIS maps will be shared at the next commission meeting in November. She added that it would help with the evaluation and ranking.
- ❖ Mrs. Henshaw noted that the on-line application will be amended in order to collect the data needed for the Pond Evaluation Criteria. A recommendation was made on behalf of the AgWRAP Committee to the Commission that approval was needed for the entire Pond Evaluation Criteria.
- ❖ Commissioner Craig Frazier made a motion to approve the remainder of the PY2013 AgWRAP Pond Evaluation Criteria as amended. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Bill Yarborough. Motion carried.

The handout provided for item 6 is attached and has been made an official part of the minutes.

7. Cost Share Committee Recommendations: Mrs. Julie Henshaw presented this item on revising cost share program manuals.

- ❖ Mrs. Jennie Hauser, Legal Counsel, suggested to Chairwoman Porter that this is a formal recommendation from the Cost Share Committee and therefore stands in place of a motion. The Commission does need to vote because it is a delegation.
- ❖ Commission members unanimously recommended approval to grant permission to revise policies for those where only a program name change is required.
- ❖ Mrs. Henshaw noted that the next teleconference Cost Share Committee meeting date is posted on the Division website (Monday, October 8, 2012 from 8:30 a.m. – 11:30 a.m.).

The handout provided for item 7 is attached and has been made an official part of the minutes.

VI. PUBLIC COMMENTS:

None were noted.

VII. ADJOURNMENT

With there being no further items to discuss, Chairwoman Porter adjourned the meeting at 9:44 a.m. The next Commission meeting is scheduled for November 14, 2012 at the Graham Hall of Fame Room, 1025 Blue Ridge Road, Raleigh, North Carolina.



Patricia K. Harris, Director
Division of Soil & Water Conservation, Raleigh, N.C.
(Sign & Date)



Daphne Pinto, Recording Secretary
(Sign & Date)

These minutes were approved by the North Carolina Soil & Water Conservation Commission on November 28, 2012.



Patricia K. Harris, Director

(Sign & Date)

DRAFT
AGENDA

**NORTH CAROLINA SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION COMMISSION
RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA**

TELECONFERENCE

BUSINESS SESSION

Archdale Building
5th Floor Conference Room
512 N. Salisbury St.
Raleigh, NC 27604
September 19, 2012

Adobe Connect Pro Address: https://agr.ncgovconnect.com/dswc_swcc_091912/

Meet-Me Conference #: (919) 662-4658

9:00 a.m.

I. CALL TO ORDER

The State Government Ethics Act mandates that at the beginning of any meeting the Chair remind all the members of their duty to avoid conflicts of interest and inquire as to whether any member knows of any conflict of interest or potential conflict with respect to matters to come before the Commission. If any member knows of a conflict of interest or potential conflict, please state so at this time.

II. PRELIMINARY - Business Meeting

September 19, 2012

Welcome

III. AGENDA / MINUTES

- | | |
|--|--------------------|
| 1. Approval of agenda | Chair Vicky Porter |
| 2. Approval of the August 14, 2012 minutes | Chair Vicky Porter |

IV. ACTION ITEMS

- | | |
|---|---------------------|
| 3. Consent Agenda | |
| A. Nomination of supervisors | Ms. Pat Harris |
| B. Supervisor contracts | Ms. Kelly Ibrahim |
| C. Technical specialist designation | Ms. Natalie Woolard |
| 4. PY2013 Agriculture Cost Share Program financial assistance allocation | Ms. Kelly Ibrahim |
| 5. PY2013 Community Conservation Assistance Program financial assistance allocation | Mr. Tom Hill |

- | | |
|--|--------------------|
| 6. PY2013 AgWRAP pond evaluation criteria | Ms. Julie Henshaw |
| 7. Cost Share Committee Recommendations | Ms. Julie Henshaw |
| 8. CREP Easement Violation/Release Request | Ms. Donnarie Hales |

V. PUBLIC COMMENTS

VI. ADJOURNMENT

ATTACHMENT 3B

**NCACSP Supervisor Contracts
Soil and Water Conservation Commission**

| County | Contract Number | Supervisor Name | BMP | Contract Amount | Comments |
|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|--|------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Warren | 93-2013-001 | David M. Hight | Grassed waterways & field borders | \$ 3,437 | Supplement to contract 93-2012-018 |
| Warren | 93-2012-002 | David M. Hight | Grassed waterways, diversion & field borders | \$ 6,118 | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Total Number of Supervisor Contracts: 2

Total \$ 9,555



Technical Specialist Designation Recommendations

September 19th 2012

1. The Soil and Water Conservation Commission has authority to designate water quality technical specialists based upon specific criteria and procedures (15A NCAC 06H .0101). Individuals who are not employees of the approved agencies or who are professional engineers must submit a completed application to seek designation. The Division has received an application from Ms. Jane Bernard requesting designation for the following categories:

Waste Utilization Planning/Nutrient Management (WUP/NM)
Wettable Acres (WA)

Pursuant to the education and training requirements of this rule, I recommend the Commission approve this designation request.

State of North Carolina
 NC Soil and Water Conservation Commission
 Division of Soil and Water Conservation

APPLICATION FOR DESIGNATION AS A "TECHNICAL SPECIALIST"
 (Pursuant to 15A NCAC 2T .0100, 15A NCAC 6F & 15A NCAC 6H)

Applicant's Name Jane R. Bernard Home Phone # 919-690-1103

Business Name NCDEUR Aquifer Protection Work Phone # 919-991-4200

Mailing Address 3800 Barrett Drive

City Raleigh State NC Zip 27609

Email jane-bernard@NCDEUR.GOV

- I. Place a check (✓) mark by the category(s) for which you are seeking approval authority and indicate the years of experience in each category being sought. See Attachment I for a description of each category and the minimum requirements for designation.

| ✓ | Designation Category | Category Code | Years of Experience |
|---|--|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| | Irrigation Equipment | (I) | |
| ✓ | Waste Utilization Planning/Nutrient Management | (WUP/NM) | 5+ |
| | Inorganic Nutrient Management | (INM) | |
| ✓ | Wettable Acres | (WA) | 20+ |
| | Runoff Controls | (RC) | |
| | Water Management | (WM) | |
| | Structural Animal Waste | (SD - Design) (SI - Inspection) | |

II. List applicable education, registrations, certifications, etc. currently held.

C-well, Grad Turastewates, Spray irrigations,

III. Provide information on required training courses attended (See Technical Specialist Criteria).

| Name of Training Course | Primary Instructor | Date(s) attended |
|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Wettable Acres | NCSO-Cooperative Ext | 1-18-12 (3/4/12) |
| Nutrient Management | " " " | 8-31-07 ✓ |
| Plat Rulse Training | " " " | 11-20-07 ✓ |
| Software training | " " " | (maybe 08) 4-2-09 ✓ |
| | | |
| | | |

mean per roster

IV. Provide evidence of experience by each category sought. Types of documentation that are also accepted as evidence of experience can be Waste Utilization Plans, Nutrient Management Plans, and Irrigation Designs etc.

| Category Code | Type of Facility/Operation | Relative Experience | County |
|----------------|--|---------------------|--------|
| all | Review Waste Utilization plans for producers when submitted for permitting and during compliance inspections | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

V. List three references for each category of authority sought. These references should be able to attest to your technical proficiency. (Attach additional sheets as necessary)

| Category Code | Name | Address | Phone |
|---------------|---------------|---|--------------|
| ALL | Jay Zimmerman | 3800 Barrett Dr Raleigh NC 27609 | 919-807-6300 |
| ALL | Keith Larick | 512 W. Salisbury St Raleigh NC 27604 | 919-807-6444 |
| ALL | Boster Towell | 3800 Barrett Dr Raleigh NC 27609 | 919-791-4200 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

VI. List your employment record for the past five years, starting with your current employer. (Attach additional sheets as necessary)

| Employer | Address | Phone | Start/End Date |
|------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| WCDENR DWS | 3800 Barrett Dr Raleigh NC 27609 | 919-791-4200 | 7-1-07 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

VI. Provide a copy of related school transcripts, degrees, certifications, etc.

I certify that the information provided above is true, complete and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. In the event confirmation is needed in connection with my qualifications, I authorize employers, clients, educational institutions, associations, registration and licensing boards to furnish whatever detail is available concerning my qualifications.

Jane R Bernard
Applicant's Signature

8/28/12
Date

| DISTRICT | REGULAR ACSP (CS) | | | CREP EARMARK (CE) | | Impaired/Impacted Earmark (II) | | TOTAL PY 2013 ALLOCATION |
|-------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| | REQUESTED | RECEIVED August 2012 | RECEIVED September 2012 | REQUESTED | RECEIVED September 2012 | REQUESTED | RECEIVED September 2012 | |
| ALAMANCE | \$ 162,257 | \$ 23,345 | \$ 28,021 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 51,366 |
| ALEXANDER | \$ 136,401 | \$ 24,943 | \$ 29,059 | \$ - | | \$ 50,000 | 17,711 | \$ 71,713 |
| ALLEGHANY | \$ 252,500 | \$ 22,896 | \$ 23,072 | \$ - | | \$ 58,300 | 15,076 | \$ 61,044 |
| ANSON | \$ 611,000 | \$ 23,404 | \$ 27,200 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 50,604 |
| ASHE | \$ 160,000 | \$ 21,932 | \$ 24,326 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 46,258 |
| AVERY | \$ 163,756 | \$ 20,677 | \$ 23,509 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 44,186 |
| BEAUFORT | \$ 225,750 | \$ 21,928 | \$ 26,578 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 48,506 |
| BERTIE | \$ 439,845 | \$ 15,298 | \$ 16,473 | \$ 2,000 | | \$ - | - | \$ 31,771 |
| BLADEN | \$ 80,000 | \$ 21,547 | \$ 24,938 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 46,485 |
| BRUNSWICK | \$ 50,000 | \$ 18,956 | \$ 24,571 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 43,527 |
| BUNCOMBE | \$ 317,000 | \$ 23,915 | \$ 27,215 | \$ - | | \$ 64,500 | 16,769 | \$ 67,899 |
| BURKE | \$ 38,825 | \$ 19,423 | \$ 19,402 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 38,825 |
| CABARRUS | \$ 75,000 | \$ 23,622 | \$ 26,167 | \$ 10,000 | | \$ 20,000 | 16,330 | \$ 66,119 |
| CALDWELL | \$ 112,926 | \$ 19,155 | \$ 20,951 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 40,106 |
| CAMDEN | \$ 51,800 | \$ 15,816 | \$ 15,450 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 31,266 |
| CARTERET | \$ 47,500 | \$ 12,016 | \$ 33,281 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 45,297 |
| CASWELL | \$ 117,000 | \$ 19,772 | \$ 27,593 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 47,365 |
| CATAWBA | \$ 99,062 | \$ 22,920 | \$ 21,364 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 44,284 |
| CHATHAM | \$ 197,250 | \$ 28,728 | \$ 28,686 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 57,414 |
| CHEROKEE | \$ 114,000 | \$ 21,857 | \$ 23,823 | \$ - | | \$ 25,000 | 14,982 | \$ 60,662 |
| CHOWAN | \$ 81,000 | \$ 19,359 | \$ 21,624 | \$ - | | \$ 27,500 | 13,442 | \$ 54,425 |
| CLAY | \$ 175,000 | \$ 14,106 | \$ 15,878 | \$ - | | \$ 50,000 | 9,834 | \$ 39,818 |
| CLEVELAND | \$ 75,833 | \$ 22,320 | \$ 21,803 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 44,123 |
| COLUMBUS | \$ 112,800 | \$ 21,516 | \$ 26,361 | \$ 37,351 | | \$ - | - | \$ 47,877 |
| CRAVEN | \$ 36,000 | \$ 16,869 | \$ 19,131 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 36,000 |
| CUMBERLAND | \$ 146,265 | \$ 12,633 | \$ 12,070 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 24,703 |
| CURRITUCK | \$ 20,000 | \$ 18,000 | \$ 2,000 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 20,000 |
| DARE | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ - |
| DAVIDSON | \$ 50,100 | \$ 22,240 | \$ 27,860 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 50,100 |
| DAVIE | \$ 79,000 | \$ 26,187 | \$ 23,863 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 50,050 |
| DUPLIN | \$ 240,050 | \$ 33,110 | \$ 38,513 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 71,623 |
| DURHAM | \$ 80,384 | \$ 18,968 | \$ 18,344 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 37,312 |
| EDGEcombe | \$ 169,105 | \$ 17,551 | \$ 18,458 | \$ 7,597 | | \$ - | - | \$ 36,009 |
| FORSYTH | \$ 76,250 | \$ 17,066 | \$ 18,020 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 35,086 |
| FRANKLIN | \$ 203,836 | \$ 23,541 | \$ 26,521 | \$ - | | \$ 13,368 | 13,368 | \$ 63,430 |
| GASTON | \$ 98,056 | \$ 22,498 | \$ 24,144 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 46,642 |
| GATES | \$ 67,500 | \$ 12,680 | \$ 13,526 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 26,206 |
| GRAHAM | \$ 38,180 | \$ 14,296 | \$ 12,047 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 26,343 |
| GRANVILLE | \$ 84,940 | \$ 25,133 | \$ 27,492 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 52,625 |
| GREENE | \$ 102,500 | \$ 21,233 | \$ 24,664 | \$ 3,000 | | \$ 3,000 | 3,000 | \$ 48,897 |
| GUILFORD | \$ 245,875 | \$ 22,253 | \$ 22,396 | \$ - | | \$ 48,750 | 14,644 | \$ 59,293 |
| HALIFAX | \$ 908,300 | \$ 21,483 | \$ 21,741 | \$ 54,194 | | \$ - | - | \$ 43,224 |
| HARNETT | \$ 150,000 | \$ 19,704 | \$ 20,193 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 39,897 |
| HAYWOOD | \$ 295,000 | \$ 19,314 | \$ 21,606 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 40,920 |
| HENDERSON | \$ 220,000 | \$ 23,511 | \$ 29,173 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 52,684 |
| HERTFORD | \$ 141,640 | \$ 14,450 | \$ 16,682 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 31,132 |
| HOKE | \$ 195,000 | \$ 13,734 | \$ 17,426 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 31,160 |
| HYDE | \$ 100,000 | \$ 17,873 | \$ 19,478 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 37,351 |
| IREDELL | \$ 161,500 | \$ 22,536 | \$ 24,840 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 47,376 |
| JACKSON | \$ 64,349 | \$ 18,129 | \$ 19,694 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 37,823 |
| JOHNSTON | \$ 300,841 | \$ 25,061 | \$ 27,915 | \$ 4,500 | | \$ - | - | \$ 52,976 |
| JONES | \$ 104,450 | \$ 21,864 | \$ 26,843 | \$ 500 | | \$ - | - | \$ 48,707 |
| LEE | \$ 67,955 | \$ 21,578 | \$ 21,325 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 42,903 |
| LENOIR | \$ 141,635 | \$ 18,487 | \$ 17,828 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 36,315 |
| LINCOLN | \$ 85,000 | \$ 25,990 | \$ 28,474 | \$ - | | \$ 40,000 | 17,863 | \$ 72,327 |
| MACON | \$ 150,000 | \$ 15,656 | \$ 18,309 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 33,965 |
| MADISON | \$ 87,000 | \$ 21,469 | \$ 24,138 | \$ - | | \$ 53,500 | 14,958 | \$ 60,565 |
| MARTIN | \$ 459,575 | \$ 13,348 | \$ 14,160 | \$ 15,000 | | \$ 25,000 | 9,022 | \$ 36,530 |
| MCDOWELL | \$ 31,032 | \$ 9,933 | \$ 21,099 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 31,032 |
| MECKLENBURG | \$ 40,000 | \$ 10,525 | \$ 14,495 | \$ - | | \$ 10,000 | 8,206 | \$ 33,226 |
| MITCHELL | \$ 276,125 | \$ 22,580 | \$ 24,553 | \$ - | | \$ 50,000 | 15,458 | \$ 62,591 |
| MONTGOMERY | \$ 434,000 | \$ 18,304 | \$ 19,329 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 37,633 |
| MOORE | \$ 217,500 | \$ 20,224 | \$ 23,120 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 43,344 |
| NASH | \$ 1,104,500 | \$ 24,340 | \$ 25,010 | \$ 23,450 | | \$ - | - | \$ 49,350 |
| NEW HANOVER | \$ 20,000 | \$ 8,000 | \$ 12,000 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 20,000 |

| DISTRICT | REGULAR ACSP (CS) | | | CREP EARMARK (CE) | | Impaired/Impacted Earmark (II) | | TOTAL PY 2013 ALLOCATION |
|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| | REQUESTED | RECEIVED August 2012 | RECEIVED September 2012 | REQUESTED | RECEIVED September 2012 | REQUESTED | RECEIVED September 2012 | |
| NORTHAMPTON | \$ 539,350 | \$ 17,149 | \$ 19,992 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 37,141 |
| ONslow | \$ 125,000 | \$ 21,734 | \$ 26,502 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 48,236 |
| ORANGE | \$ 252,349 | \$ 24,374 | \$ 27,727 | \$ 11,400 | | \$ 27,042 | 17,088 | \$ 69,189 |
| PAMLICO | \$ 103,000 | \$ 22,396 | \$ 25,416 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 47,812 |
| PASQUOTANK | \$ 56,800 | \$ 20,000 | \$ 21,262 | \$ - | | \$ 10,000 | 10,000 | \$ 51,262 |
| PENDER | \$ 138,750 | \$ 15,802 | \$ 19,556 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 35,358 |
| PERQUIMANS | \$ 74,000 | \$ 18,703 | \$ 20,213 | \$ - | | \$ 36,000 | 12,763 | \$ 51,679 |
| PERSON | \$ 171,232 | \$ 20,546 | \$ 23,599 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 44,145 |
| PITT | \$ 151,250 | \$ 22,224 | \$ 22,540 | \$ 4,000 | | \$ 24,000 | 14,682 | \$ 59,446 |
| POLK | \$ 62,500 | \$ 15,738 | \$ 19,156 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 34,894 |
| RANDOLPH | \$ 103,000 | \$ 25,856 | \$ 29,674 | \$ 25,000 | | \$ - | - | \$ 55,530 |
| RICHMOND | \$ 248,950 | \$ 16,179 | \$ 19,317 | \$ - | | \$ 140,550 | 11,642 | \$ 47,138 |
| ROBESON | \$ 282,400 | \$ 27,578 | \$ 32,775 | \$ 20,000 | | \$ - | - | \$ 60,353 |
| ROCKINGHAM | \$ 120,725 | \$ 23,021 | \$ 24,638 | \$ - | | \$ 105,450 | 15,631 | \$ 63,290 |
| ROWAN | \$ 209,000 | \$ 25,274 | \$ 28,604 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 53,878 |
| RUTHERFORD | \$ 145,470 | \$ 22,469 | \$ 23,552 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 46,021 |
| SAMPSON | \$ 248,000 | \$ 28,014 | \$ 31,679 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 59,693 |
| SCOTLAND | \$ 143,500 | \$ 12,099 | \$ 16,328 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 28,427 |
| STANLY | \$ 173,050 | \$ 25,968 | \$ 27,847 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 53,815 |
| STOKES | \$ 198,419 | \$ 16,709 | \$ 19,484 | \$ - | | \$ 35,000 | 11,871 | \$ 48,064 |
| SURRY | \$ 840,250 | \$ 28,990 | \$ 33,175 | \$ 125,000 | | \$ 187,363 | 20,389 | \$ 82,554 |
| SWAIN | \$ 55,800 | \$ 10,965 | \$ 12,825 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 23,790 |
| TRANSYLVANIA | \$ 51,625 | \$ 16,506 | \$ 21,010 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 37,516 |
| TYRRELL | \$ 74,300 | \$ 20,759 | \$ 23,064 | \$ 15,000 | | \$ - | - | \$ 43,823 |
| UNION | \$ 149,000 | \$ 23,339 | \$ 23,368 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 46,707 |
| VANCE | \$ 60,515 | \$ 22,357 | \$ 20,500 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 42,857 |
| WAKE | \$ 228,500 | \$ 22,396 | \$ 25,013 | \$ - | | \$ 25,000 | 15,549 | \$ 62,958 |
| WARREN | \$ 135,550 | \$ 19,944 | \$ 20,635 | \$ - | | \$ 9,200 | 9,200 | \$ 49,779 |
| WASHINGTON | \$ 67,500 | \$ 20,000 | \$ 20,154 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 40,154 |
| WATAUGA | \$ 321,350 | \$ 21,100 | \$ 24,948 | \$ - | | \$ 160,000 | 15,103 | \$ 61,151 |
| WAYNE | \$ 275,520 | \$ 21,949 | \$ 19,281 | \$ - | | \$ 10,119 | 10,119 | \$ 51,349 |
| WILKES | \$ 766,182 | \$ 24,447 | \$ 26,362 | \$ - | | \$ 27,322 | 16,664 | \$ 67,473 |
| WILSON | \$ 150,644 | \$ 17,162 | \$ 11,962 | \$ 500 | | \$ 5,000 | 5,000 | \$ 34,124 |
| YADKIN | \$ 345,375 | \$ 24,289 | \$ 25,011 | \$ - | | \$ - | - | \$ 49,300 |
| YANCEY | \$ 168,900 | \$ 19,436 | \$ 22,101 | \$ - | | \$ 27,500 | 13,623 | \$ 55,160 |
| TOTALS | \$ 18,627,704 | \$ 2,007,274 | \$ 2,225,095 | \$ 358,492 | \$ 300,000 | \$ 1,368,464 | \$ 399,987 | \$ 4,632,356 |

| SOURCE | AMOUNT |
|--|---------------------|
| 2012 Appropriation | \$ 4,464,413 |
| Rollover from cancelations, releases and unencumbered Regular Cost Share funds | \$ 951,762 |
| Management Reduction | (209,489) |
| TOTAL AVAILABLE | \$ 5,206,686 |
| Total Allocated August 2012 | \$ 2,007,274 |
| Total Allocated September 2012 | \$ 2,925,081 |
| 5% Contingency Reserve | \$ 223,220 |

The proposed allocation transfers \$300,000 of regular CS to CREP Earmark and \$400,000 of regular CS funds to Impaired/Impacted Streams Initiative Earmark. CREP Earmark funds will be allocated to districts as CREP contracts are received.

PY2013 Community Conservation Assistance Program Allocation

| County | PY2013 BMP funds requested (CC - state appropriated funds) | PY2013 BMP funds allocated September 2012 (CC - state appropriated funds) |
|------------|--|---|
| ALAMANCE | \$17,000 | \$3,643 |
| ALEXANDER | \$4,500 | \$3,140 |
| ALLEGHANY | \$22,825 | \$1,543 |
| ANSON | \$4,600 | \$1,500 |
| ASHE | \$18,500 | \$1,561 |
| AVERY | \$8,280 | \$2,153 |
| BEAUFORT | \$0 | \$0 |
| BERTIE | \$0 | \$0 |
| BLADEN | \$0 | \$0 |
| BRUNSWICK | \$30,000 | \$2,700 |
| BUNCOMBE | \$76,900 | \$3,302 |
| BURKE | \$35,980 | \$3,257 |
| CABARRUS | \$42,000 | \$3,266 |
| CALDWELL | \$20,980 | \$3,059 |
| CAMDEN | \$5,031 | \$1,500 |
| CARTERET | \$50,000 | \$2,413 |
| CASWELL | \$0 | \$0 |
| CATAWBA | \$9,750 | \$3,275 |
| CHATHAM | \$25,270 | \$3,023 |
| CHEROKEE | \$15,000 | \$1,686 |
| CHOWAN | \$0 | \$0 |
| CLAY | \$30,000 | \$1,785 |
| CLEVELAND | \$14,780 | \$2,422 |
| COLUMBUS | \$2,375 | \$1,500 |
| Craven | \$0 | \$0 |
| CUMBERLAND | \$0 | \$0 |
| CURRITUCK | \$5,000 | \$1,731 |
| DARE | \$19,000 | \$1,500 |
| DAVIDSON | \$13,500 | \$3,023 |
| DAVIE | \$4,500 | \$2,790 |
| DUPLIN | \$27,000 | \$1,500 |
| DURHAM | \$187,422 | \$3,867 |
| EDGEcombe | \$9,510 | \$2,216 |
| FORSYTH | \$71,600 | \$3,230 |
| FRANKLIN | \$3,510 | \$2,790 |
| GASTON | \$11,568 | \$3,571 |
| GATES | \$0 | \$0 |
| GRAHAM | \$0 | \$0 |
| GRANVILLE | \$0 | \$0 |
| GREENE | \$3,000 | \$1,500 |
| GUILFORD | \$34,000 | \$3,436 |
| HALIFAX | \$0 | \$0 |
| HARNETT | \$15,750 | \$2,745 |
| HAYWOOD | \$28,600 | \$2,584 |
| HENDERSON | \$33,250 | \$3,427 |
| HERTFORD | \$25,500 | \$1,500 |
| HOKE | \$4,500 | \$2,315 |
| HYDE | \$0 | \$0 |
| IREDELL | \$49,300 | \$2,772 |
| JACKSON | \$25,750 | \$2,072 |
| JOHNSTON | \$16,000 | \$2,602 |
| JONES | \$164,730 | \$1,500 |
| LEE | \$3,000 | \$2,422 |
| LENOIR | \$3,500 | \$1,500 |
| LINCOLN | \$50,000 | \$3,239 |
| MACON | \$20,500 | \$1,866 |
| MADISON | \$10,100 | \$1,534 |
| MARTIN | \$21,000 | \$1,500 |

| County | PY2013 BMP funds requested (CC - state appropriated funds) | PY2013 BMP funds allocated September 2012 (CC - state appropriated funds) |
|--------------|--|---|
| MCDOWELL | \$0 | \$0 |
| MECKLENBURG | \$93,000 | \$2,952 |
| MITCHELL | \$21,100 | \$1,785 |
| MONTGOMERY | \$12,500 | \$1,606 |
| MOORE | \$7,500 | \$2,817 |
| NASH | \$64,500 | \$3,167 |
| NEW HANOVER | \$105,500 | \$3,490 |
| NORTHAMPTON | \$0 | \$0 |
| ONSLow | \$70,000 | \$2,925 |
| ORANGE | \$29,400 | \$3,840 |
| PAMLICO | \$0 | \$0 |
| PASQUOTANK | \$9,800 | \$2,503 |
| PENDER | \$0 | \$0 |
| PERQUIMANS | \$0 | \$0 |
| PERSON | \$4,500 | \$2,781 |
| PITT | \$70,000 | \$2,081 |
| POLK | \$6,000 | \$1,884 |
| RANDOLPH | \$10,000 | \$2,673 |
| RICHMOND | \$34,000 | \$2,413 |
| ROBESON | \$0 | \$0 |
| ROCKINGHAM | \$6,500 | \$2,395 |
| ROWAN | \$0 | \$0 |
| RUTHERFORD | \$4,700 | \$2,970 |
| SAMPSON | \$0 | \$0 |
| SCOTLAND | \$0 | \$0 |
| STANLY | \$0 | \$0 |
| STOKES | \$2,500 | \$2,428 |
| SURRY | \$27,500 | \$2,243 |
| SWAIN | \$13,594 | \$2,225 |
| TRANSYLVANIA | \$25,600 | \$1,624 |
| TYRRELL | \$0 | \$0 |
| UNION | \$8,300 | \$2,835 |
| VANCE | \$0 | \$0 |
| WAKE | \$124,500 | \$3,463 |
| WARREN | \$3,700 | \$1,570 |
| WASHINGTON | \$0 | \$0 |
| WATAUGA | \$65,800 | \$2,494 |
| WAYNE | \$0 | \$0 |
| WILKES | \$25,463 | \$2,090 |
| WILSON | \$8,800 | \$1,561 |
| YADKIN | \$6,150 | \$2,054 |
| YANCEY | \$14,600 | \$2,225 |
| TOTALS | \$2,170,368 | \$180,554 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| PY2013 Appropriation | \$136,937 |
| 5% withholding | \$6,847 |
| TA funds for New Hanover and Dare Districts | \$23,958 |
| Rollover from cancelations, releases, and unencumbered funds | \$74,453 |
| Total allocated PY2013 (9/19/12) | \$180,554 |

ATTACHMENT 6

| Pond evaluation criteria | Response | Point values | Comments |
|---|-----------------------|--------------|---|
| Increase in percent of water demand provided by proposed pond (largest increase would receive the most points) | % | 30 | |
| What percent will this pond decrease your dependence on a public water system or an aquifer in the CCPUA? Use Water Tool outputs as described in application* | % | 30 | |
| What water conservation measures are on the management unit currently? | Select practices used | 30 | Points set per measure, maximum point value of 30. No cap per category. Refer to application for point values.* |
| 401/404 exemption, permit or determination of no permit required obtained | Yes/No | 10 | |
| Has a backhoe soils investigation for the foundation or dug pond been completed on this site? | Yes/No | 10 | |
| Engineering design complete and included for project review. | Yes/No | 5 | |
| Farm is enrolled in a Voluntary Agriculture District | Yes/No | 5 | |
| Farm is enrolled in an Enhanced Voluntary Agriculture District | Yes/No | 10 | |
| TOTAL | | 130 | |

* Application will be completed online, a paper copy of the application is enclosed in this packet. Districts will also submit a signature page, the Water Needs Assessment Tool for NC outputs and preliminary pond site assessment form with their online submission.

**NORTH CAROLINA AGRICULTURAL WATER RESOURCES ASSISTANCE PROGRAM
PY2013 DRAFT APPLICATION FOR ASSISTANCE**

| Applicant | Landowner | Third Party/Landowner |
|--|--|--|
| NAME: _____ Phone: _____ BUSINESS: _____ Mobile: _____ ADDRESS: _____ DISTRICT SUPERVISOR? NO <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> | NAME: _____ Phone: _____ BUSINESS: _____ Mobile: _____ ADDRESS: _____ DISTRICT SUPERVISOR? NO <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> | NAME: _____ Phone: _____ BUSINESS: _____ Mobile: _____ ADDRESS: _____ DISTRICT SUPERVISOR? NO <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> |

If applicant is not the landowner, complete landowner information and have all parties sign all forms.

| | | | |
|---------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| COUNTY: | APPLICATION NUMBER: | LATITUDE: LONGITUDE: | 14 DIGIT HYDROLOGIC UNIT #: |
|---------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|

Applicant has provided a copy of one of the following documents to verify program eligibility: YES NO

- Farm owner or operator's federal tax Schedule F (form 1040) or an equivalent form for the most recent tax year showing the owner or operator's profit or loss from farming.
- Farm sales tax exemption certificate issued to the farm owner or operator by the Department of Revenue.
- Forest management plan for forestland actively engaged in the commercial growing of trees as defined in G.S. 105-277.2(6).

Please answer the following questions for the management unit that will benefit from the proposed pond.

1. Describe how the proposed project and/or BMP will increase water resources.

2. Type of agricultural operation. Animals Type _____ Number _____ Facility Number/DWQ Permit Number (if applicable) _____
 Field Crops (i.e. rotational wheat/soybeans/cotton, corn, rye, etc.) _____
 Green Industry Fruit and Vegetable Other (please describe) _____

3. Has the operation been in existence for more than one (1) year? YES NO Please provide the date the operation was established. _____

4. Is the proposed pond part of an expansion, conversion or modification of the operation? YES NO

If the answer is "yes", please describe (i.e., land purchase, increase # of animals, increase in irrigation, change in production type or crops grown).

5. Directions to proposed site from the office (list major interstates, intersections, and road crossings).

6. What water sources are you currently using on this management unit? Estimate the volume of water used in acre-feet per year (link on website).

7. Do you use a public water system? YES NO

What percent will this pond decrease your dependence on a public water system?

Calculate this percent by running the Water Needs Assessment Tool for NC twice: once with the current system, once with the system and pond and comparing the outputs. Please enclose both outputs with your application.

8. Do you use water from aquifers in the Central Coastal Plain Capacity Use Area? YES NO

What percent this pond decrease your dependence on aquifers in the Central Coastal Plain Capacity Use Area?

Calculate this percent by running the Water Needs Assessment Tool for NC twice: once with the current system, once with the system and pond and comparing the outputs. Please enclose both outputs with your application.

9. What water conservation measures (steps taken to improve efficiency) are on the management unit currently?

| Water conservation measures already in practice on management unit | Point value | Acres/units |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Increasing organic matter and improving infiltration | | |
| No-till | 3 | |
| Cover crops | 3 | |
| Contour farming | 3 | |
| Sod based rotation | 3 | |
| Strip-cropping | 3 | |
| Soil amendments or mulch to add organic matter | 3 | |
| Reducing irrigation demand | | |
| Micro-irrigation / drip irrigation | 15 | |
| Low pressure system (not micro or drip) | 4 | |
| End gun shutoffs | 4 | |
| Plasticulture | 10 | |
| Night irrigation | 5 | |
| Use water meter to track water usage | 3 | |
| Follow a pre-existing written irrigation management plan | 5 | |
| Studying water use | | |
| Have a completed water usage audit | 5 | |
| Managing aquaculture & livestock water use | | |
| Ball waterers | 3 | |
| Reduce flushing of ponds between harvesting fish and receiving new fish | 10 | |
| Transfer water to emptied ponds instead of discharging | 10 | |
| Other: please describe (points to be determined at ranking) | | |
| | | |
| | | |

10. Has a 401/404 exemption, permit or determination of no permit required been obtained? YES NO If no, date requested: _____

11. Has a backhoe soils investigation been completed on this site? YES NO

12. Is an engineering design complete for this pond? YES NO

13. Is this farm enrolled in a Voluntary Agriculture District YES NO

14. Is this farm enrolled in an Enhanced Voluntary Agriculture District YES NO

15. What is the cost estimate for this project?

16. Is the applicant willing to construct the pond given the cost share available: 75% of actual costs with receipts up to \$15,000 for construction?

17. Please provide any additional information or comments regarding this pond application. A response is not required, nor will it receive points during application ranking, but comments will be shared with application reviewers.

18. Is this applicant eligible for ninety (90) percent cost share assistance? YES NO

If the answer is "yes", please choose identify the criteria that applies. For Limited Resource Farmers and New Farmers, form NC-AgWRAP-1E must be signed.

Limited Resource Farmer

New Farmer

Enhanced Voluntary Agriculture District (EVAD)

19. Does applicant have an adjusted gross income in each of the previous two years that is \$250,000 or more? YES NO

If the answer is "yes", is seventy-five percent (75%) or more of this adjusted gross income derived directly from farming, ranching, or forestry operations? YES NO

I hereby apply for cost sharing assistance under the North Carolina Agricultural Water Resources Assistance Program. This application does not guarantee cost share approval or obligate the applicant to enter into a cost share agreement. For the purposes of developing and implementing my NCAgWRAP application, I authorize the release of records that are in custody of USDA. I acknowledge that producer, landowner and farm information provided on this NCAgWRAP application and/or accompanying documents, including information and documents received from the USDA, are subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.

Applicant _____

Date _____

Landowner _____

Date _____

District Chair _____

Date _____

Approved _____

Denied _____

N.C. Division of Soil and Water Conservation _____

Date _____

Approved _____

Denied _____

SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION COST SHARE COMMITTEE: REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS: 8/30/12

I. **Revising cost share program manuals:**

The committee is revising policies for cost share program manuals to create one user-friendly document for all commission cost share programs. In order to facilitate these revisions, the committee requests that the commission consider granting the committee delegated authority to revise policies for those where only a program name change is required. Example: changing the *NC Agriculture Cost Share Program* to *Cost Share Programs*.

For policies which would require changes in addition to a program name change, the committee would like to present these as a package for commission consideration at the November meeting. The committee can prepare the revised policies in two formats: one with track changes and one as the proposed policies, and include both in the November commission packet mailing.

II. **Next meeting date:**

Monday, October 8, 2012: 8:30 a.m. – 11:30 a.m.; Archdale Building, 5th Floor Conference Room with remote access:

Teleconference: (919)250-4221

To join the meeting: <https://agr.ncgovconnect.com/csc/>

Agenda will focus on manual revisions. You can track the progress of policy revisions by visiting the committee website at http://www.ncagr.gov/SWC/commission/cost_share_committee.html